Espacios de Banach de funciones continuas

Antonio Avilés (U. de Murcia)

Proyecto MTM2011-25377 y fondos FEDER

Sevilla 2013

• K is a Hausdorff compact topological space

- K is a Hausdorff compact topological space
- $C(K) = \{f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}$

- K is a Hausdorff compact topological space
- $C(K) = \{f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}\$ considered as a Banach space with $||f|| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in K\}.$

Theorem (Banach-Stone)

If C(K) and C(L) are isometric, then K is homeomorphic to L.

- K is a Hausdorff compact topological space
- $C(K) = \{f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}\$ considered as a Banach space with $||f|| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in K\}.$

Theorem (Banach-Stone)

If C(K) and C(L) are isometric, then K is homeomorphic to L.

What happens when C(K) and C(L) are just isomorphic?

- K is a Hausdorff compact topological space
- $C(K) = \{f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}\$ considered as a Banach space with $||f|| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in K\}.$

Theorem (Banach-Stone)

If C(K) and C(L) are isometric, then K is homeomorphic to L.

What happens when C(K) and C(L) are just isomorphic? That is, when there is an operator $T:C(K)\longrightarrow C(L)$ whose inverse $T^{-1}:C(L)\longrightarrow C(K)$ is also an operator.

Theorem (Milyutin)

If K is compact metrizable, then

Theorem (Milyutin)

If K is compact metrizable, then

• either K is countable, so K is homeomorphic to a countable ordinal,

Theorem (Milyutin)

If K is compact metrizable, then

- lacktriangledown either K is countable, so K is homeomorphic to a countable ordinal,
- ② or C(K) is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$.

Theorem (Milyutin)

If K is compact metrizable, then

- either K is countable, so K is homeomorphic to a countable ordinal,
- ② or C(K) is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$.

In case 1, C(K) has separable dual.

Theorem (Milyutin)

If K is compact metrizable, then

- either K is countable, so K is homeomorphic to a countable ordinal,
- ② or C(K) is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$.

In case 1, C(K) has separable dual. In case 2, C(K) has nonseparable dual.

Open problem

If X is a complemented subspace of C(K),

Open problem

If X is a complemented subspace of C(K), must X be isomorphic to C(L) for some other L?

Open problem

If X is a complemented subspace of C(K), must X be isomorphic to C(L) for some other L?

In the separable case, it is known when X^* is nonseparable (Rosenthal) and some other particular cases (Bourgain).

Open problem

If X is a complemented subspace of C(K), must X be isomorphic to C(L) for some other L?

In the separable case, it is known when X^* is nonseparable (Rosenthal) and some other particular cases (Bourgain).

In the nonseparable case the problem remains open as well.

Definition

A Banach space E is injective if every operator $T: X \longrightarrow E$ can be extended to any superspace $Y \supset X$.

Definition

A Banach space E is injective if every operator $T: X \longrightarrow E$ can be extended to any superspace $Y \supset X$.

The space $E = \mathbb{R}$ is injective by Hahn-Banach.

Definition

A Banach space E is injective if every operator $T: X \longrightarrow E$ can be extended to any superspace $Y \supset X$.

The space $E = \mathbb{R}$ is injective by Hahn-Banach.

Problem

If E is injective, must E be isomorphic to a C(K) space?

Definition

A Banach space E is injective if every operator $T: X \longrightarrow E$ can be extended to any superspace $Y \supset X$.

The space $E = \mathbb{R}$ is injective by Hahn-Banach.

Problem

If E is injective, must E be isomorphic to a C(K) space? indeed, to a 1-injective C(K)?

Theorem (Gowers-Maurey)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T:X\longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T=\lambda\cdot I+S$ where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ and S is strictly singular.

Theorem (Gowers-Maurey)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T:X\longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T=\lambda\cdot I+S$ where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ and S is strictly singular.

Theorem (Argyros-Haydon)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T = \lambda \cdot I + S$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and S is compact.

Theorem (Gowers-Maurey)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T:X\longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T=\lambda\cdot I+S$ where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ and S is strictly singular.

Theorem (Argyros-Haydon)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T = \lambda \cdot I + S$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and S is compact.

Such an X cannot be a C(K) space, because we always have multiplication operators:

Theorem (Gowers-Maurey)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T = \lambda \cdot I + S$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and S is strictly singular.

Theorem (Argyros-Haydon)

There exists a Banach space X such that every operator $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is of the form $T = \lambda \cdot I + S$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and S is compact.

Such an X cannot be a C(K) space, because we always have multiplication operators: If $f \in C(K)$, we have the operator $f \cdot I : C(K) \longrightarrow C(K)$.

Theorem (Koszmider)

There exists a space C(K) such that every operator $T:C(K)\longrightarrow C(K)$ is of the form $f\cdot I+S$ where $f\in C(K)$ and S is weakly compact.

Theorem (Koszmider)

There exists a space C(K) such that every operator $T: C(K) \longrightarrow C(K)$ is of the form $f \cdot I + S$ where $f \in C(K)$ and S is weakly compact.

Theorem (Koszmider)

There exists a space C(K) such that every operator $T: C(K) \longrightarrow C(K)$ is of the form $f \cdot I + S$ where $f \in C(K)$ and S is weakly compact.

- ② C(K) is not isomorphic to any C(L) with L 0-dimensional.

Theorem (Koszmider)

There exists a space C(K) such that every operator $T: C(K) \longrightarrow C(K)$ is of the form $f \cdot I + S$ where $f \in C(K)$ and S is weakly compact.

- ② C(K) is not isomorphic to any C(L) with L 0-dimensional.

Theorem (Koszmider)

There exists a space C(K) such that every operator $T:C(K)\longrightarrow C(K)$ is of the form $f\cdot I+S$ where $f\in C(K)$ and S is weakly compact.

- ② C(K) is not isomorphic to any C(L) with L 0-dimensional.
- K does not contain convergent sequences.
- **5** Every continuous function $\varphi: K \longrightarrow K$ is either the identity or constant.

Injective operators are surjective

Theorem (Avilés-Koszmider)

There exists an infinite-dimensional Banach space X such that every injective operator $X \longrightarrow X$ is surjective.

Injective operators are surjective

Theorem (Avilés-Koszmider)

There exists an infinite-dimensional Banach space X such that every injective operator $X \longrightarrow X$ is surjective.

This is a C(K) space with few operators similar to the previously mentioned,

Injective operators are surjective

Theorem (Avilés-Koszmider)

There exists an infinite-dimensional Banach space X such that every injective operator $X \longrightarrow X$ is surjective.

This is a C(K) space with few operators similar to the previously mentioned, with the additional property that every nonempty G_{δ} subset of K has nonempty interior.

Definition

A Banach space X is Asplund if every separable subspace has separable dual.

Definition

A Banach space X is Asplund if every separable subspace has separable dual.

Definition

A compact space K is RN if it is homeomorphic to a weak*-compact subset of the dual of an Asplund space.

Definition

A Banach space X is Asplund if every separable subspace has separable dual.

Definition

A compact space K is RN if it is homeomorphic to a weak*-compact subset of the dual of an Asplund space.

Theorem (Avilés-Koszmider)

There exists K a continuous image of an RN compactum which is not RN compact.

Definition

A Banach space X is Asplund if every separable subspace has separable dual.

Definition

A compact space K is RN if it is homeomorphic to a weak*-compact subset of the dual of an Asplund space.

Theorem (Avilés-Koszmider)

There exists K a continuous image of an RN compactum which is not RN compact.

C(K) is not isomorphic to any C(L) with L 0-dimensional.

Boolean C(K)

Only two examples are known of C(K) which is not isomorphic to C(L) with L 0-dimensional: The aformentioned by Koszmider and Avilés-Koszmider.

Boolean C(K)

Only two examples are known of C(K) which is not isomorphic to C(L) with L 0-dimensional: The aformentioned by Koszmider and Avilés-Koszmider.

Open problem

Let B be the closed ball of a nonspearable Hilbert space in its weak topology. Is C(B) isomorphic to C(L) with L 0-dimensional?