

Some Neumann problems with asymmetric nonlinearities

FRANCISCO ODAIR DE PAIVA

(FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF SÃO CARLOS)

Brazilian PDE Days

Universidad de Granada

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

for all $M > 0$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$g(x, u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \text{ is non-decreasing in } u \text{ on } [-M, M],$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

for all $M > 0$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$g(x, u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \text{ is non-decreasing in } u \text{ on } [-M, M],$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Then Then there exist t_1 such that (1) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

for all $M > 0$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$g(x, u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \text{ is non-decreasing in } u \text{ on } [-M, M],$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Then Then there exist t_1 such that (1) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

F.O. de P, M. Montenegro (Unicamp) (2012)

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\varphi \geq 0$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\varphi \geq 0$$

and/or

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow |\infty|} g(x, s) = \infty.$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Teorema (Mawhin -1987)

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Teorema (Mawhin -1987)

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^q + 1), \quad (1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}).$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Teorema (Mawhin -1987)

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^q + 1), \quad (1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}).$$

Then there exist t_1 such that (2) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) + t & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega - 1987)

Assume $|g(s)| \leq M$ for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(s) = \infty$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) + t & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega - 1987)

Assume $|g(s)| \leq M$ for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(s)| \leq C(|s|^q + 1), \quad (1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}).$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) + t \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega - 1987)

Assume $|g(s)| \leq M$ for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(s)| \leq C(|s|^q + 1), \quad (1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}).$$

Then there exist t_1 such that (3) has zero and at least one solution according to $t > t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + (u^+)^q + f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + (u^+)^q + f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Ward (1982), Neumann case - existence of solution when $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}$, $f \in L^1$ and $\int f < 0$.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + (u^+)^q + f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Ward (1982), Neumann case - existence of solution when $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}$, $f \in L^1$ and $\int f < 0$.

Cuesta, De Figueiredo and Srikanth (2003) , Dirichlet case - existence of solution when $1 < q < \frac{N+1}{N-1}$, $f \in L^r$, $r > N$, and $\int f \varphi_1 < 0$.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + (u^+)^q + f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Ward (1982), Neumann case - existence of solution when $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-2}$, $f \in L^1$ and $\int f < 0$.

Cuesta, De Figueiredo and Srikanth (2003) , Dirichlet case - existence of solution when $1 < q < \frac{N+1}{N-1}$, $f \in L^r$, $r > N$, and $\int f \varphi_1 < 0$.

The p -Laplacian case is an open problem.

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u .

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciabile in u and ∇u .
Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u .
Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty$$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u . Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s, p)| \leq C(|s|^\alpha + |p|^\beta + 1), \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{N}{N-1}.$$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u . Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s, p)| \leq C(|s|^\alpha + |p|^\beta + 1), \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{N}{N-1}.$$

Then there exist t_1 such that (N) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciabile in u and ∇u .

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u .
Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u .
Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty, \quad |g(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq M, \quad \forall u \geq 0,$$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciabile in u and ∇u . Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty, \quad |g(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq M, \quad \forall u \geq 0,$$

and

$$|g(x, s, p)| \leq C(|s|^\alpha + |p|^\beta + 1), \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{N}{N-1}.$$

Teorema (de P-Presoto-2016)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u, \nabla u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

Assume that g is continuous and diferenciable in u and ∇u . Suppose that $g(x, u, \nabla u) \geq \bar{g}(x, u)$ with

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{g}(x, s) = \infty, \quad |g(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq M, \quad \forall u \geq 0,$$

and

$$|g(x, s, p)| \leq C(|s|^\alpha + |p|^\beta + 1), \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{N}{N-1}.$$

Then there exist t_1 such that (N) has zero and at least one solution according to $t > t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Teorema (de P-Nascimento-preprint)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (Q)$$

Teorema (de P-Nascimento-preprint)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (Q)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

Teorema (de P-Nascimento-preprint)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (Q)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Teorema (de P-Nascimento-preprint)

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (Q)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Then there exist $t_1 \geq t_2$ such that (Q) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t_2 < t \leq t_1$ and $t \leq t_2$.

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Ambrosetti-Prodi-1972)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Ambrosetti-Prodi-1972)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Ambrosetti-Prodi-1972)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists a connected manifold $M_1 \subset C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ of codimension 1

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Ambrosetti-Prodi-1972)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists a connected manifold $M_1 \subset C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ of codimension 1 such that $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus M = M_0 \cup M_2$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + v(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Ambrosetti-Prodi-1972)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists a connected manifold $M_1 \subset C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ of codimension 1 such that $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus M = M_0 \cup M_2$ and (D) has exactly zero, one or two solutions according as v is in M_0 , M_1 or M_2 .

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists t_1

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists t_1 such that

(i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists t_1 such that

- (i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;
- (ii) if $t = t_1$, (D) has exactly one solution;

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Berger-Podolak-1975)

If

$$0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_2,$$

and

$$f''(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists t_1 such that

- (i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;
- (ii) if $t = t_1$, (D) has exactly one solution;
- (iii) if $t < t_1$, (D) has exactly two solutions.

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Amann-Hess-1979)

If

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty,$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Amann-Hess-1979)

If

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty,$$

then there exists t_1

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Amann-Hess-1979)

If

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty,$$

then there exists t_1 such that

(i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Amann-Hess-1979)

If

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty,$$

then there exists t_1 such that

- (i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;
- (ii) if $t = t_1$, (D) has at least one solution;

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Amann-Hess-1979)

If

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} < \infty,$$

then there exists t_1 such that

- (i) if $t > t_1$, (D) has no solution;
- (ii) if $t = t_1$, (D) has at least one solution;
- (iii) if $t < t_1$, (D) has at least two solutions.

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega-1984)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega-1984)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(x, s) = \infty,$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega-1984)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(x, s) = \infty,$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^{\frac{N+1}{N-1}} + 1),$$

Consider the semilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Kannan-Ortega-1984)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(x, s) = \infty,$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^{\frac{N+1}{N-1}} + 1),$$

then there exist t_1 such that (D) has zero, at least one or at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Consider the ODE Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = g(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Consider the ODE Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = g(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Chiappinelli-Mawhin-Nugari-1987)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

Consider the ODE Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = g(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Chiappinelli-Mawhin-Nugari-1987)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

then there exist $t_0 \leq t_1$ such that (D) has zero, at least one or at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ and $t < t_0$.

Consider the ODE Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = g(u) + t\varphi_1 + h(x) \text{ in } [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

Teorema (Chiappinelli-Mawhin-Nugari-1987)

If

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [g(x, s) - \lambda_1 s] = \infty,$$

then there exist $t_0 \leq t_1$ such that (D) has zero, at least one or at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ and $t < t_0$.

Moreover, if $s \rightarrow Ms + g(s)$ is nondecreasing in a neighborhood of 0 for some M , then $t_0 = t_1$.

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;
3. (D) has a supersolution for $t \ll -1$;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;
3. (D) has a supersolution for $t \ll -1$;
4. $t_1 = \sup\{t; (D) \text{ has a solution}\}$;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;
3. (D) has a supersolution for $t \ll -1$;
4. $t_1 = \sup\{t; (D) \text{ has a solution}\}$;
5. the solutions of (D) are bounded for t in a bounded set;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;
3. (D) has a supersolution for $t \ll -1$;
4. $t_1 = \sup\{t; (D) \text{ has a solution}\}$;
5. the solutions of (D) are bounded for t in a bounded set;
6. (D) has a solution for all $t \leq t_1$;

Steps in the proof

1. (D) has no solution for $t \gg 1$;
2. (D) has a subsolution for all t ;
3. (D) has a supersolution for $t \ll -1$;
4. $t_1 = \sup\{t; (D) \text{ has a solution}\}$;
5. the solutions of (D) are bounded for t in a bounded set;
6. (D) has a solution for all $t \leq t_1$;
7. (D) has two solution for all $t < t_2 \leq t_1$.

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (B)$$

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (B)$$

Teorema (Hess-1980; Hofer-1981; Berestycki-Lions-1981)

If

$$-\infty < \limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < \infty,$$

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Bu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (B)$$

Teorema (Hess-1980; Hofer-1981; Berestycki-Lions-1981)

If

$$-\infty < \limsup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < \lambda_1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < \infty,$$

then there exist t_1 such that (B) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Koizumi-Schmitt-2005, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq c > 0$;

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Koizumi-Schmitt-2005, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq c > 0$;

Mawhin-2006 - periodic ODE;

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Koizumi-Schmitt-2005, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq c > 0$;

Mawhin-2006 - periodic ODE;

Arias-Cuesta-2010, superlinear;

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Koizumi-Schmitt-2005, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq c > 0$;

Mawhin-2006 - periodic ODE;

Arias-Cuesta-2010, superlinear;

Miotto -2010, superlinear;

Problems involving the p -Laplace operator, $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$:

Arcoya-Ruiz-2006, $\varphi \succ 0$;

Koizumi-Schmitt-2005, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq c > 0$;

Mawhin-2006 - periodic ODE;

Arias-Cuesta-2010, superlinear;

Miotto -2010, superlinear;

Vélez-Santiago-2015, 2017, 2018 - nonlocal boundary conditions.

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^p + 1), \quad 1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}.$$

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(x, s) = \infty$$

and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(|s|^p + 1), \quad 1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}.$$

Then there exist t_1 such that (N) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t = t_1$ and $t < t_1$.

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Let u be a solution of (N_t) ,

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Let u be a solution of (N_t) , then

$$0 = \int -\Delta u = \int g(x, u) + t \int \varphi.$$

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Let u be a solution of (N_t) , then

$$0 = \int -\Delta u = \int g(x, u) + t \int \varphi.$$

It follows that

$$g_0 |\Omega| \leq \int g(x, u) = -t \int \varphi,$$

where $g_0 = \inf g(x, u)$,

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Let u be a solution of (N_t) , then

$$0 = \int -\Delta u = \int g(x, u) + t \int \varphi.$$

It follows that

$$g_0 |\Omega| \leq \int g(x, u) = -t \int \varphi,$$

where $g_0 = \inf g(x, u)$, i.e.

$$t \leq -\frac{g_0 |\Omega|}{\int \varphi}.$$

Step 1

(N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$.

Let u be a solution of (N_t) , then

$$0 = \int -\Delta u = \int g(x, u) + t \int \varphi.$$

It follows that

$$g_0 |\Omega| \leq \int g(x, u) = -t \int \varphi,$$

where $g_0 = \inf g(x, u)$, i.e.

$$t \leq -\frac{g_0 |\Omega|}{\int \varphi}.$$

Thus (N_t) has no solution for $t \gg 1$. □

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Since $g(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$,

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Since $g(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, there exists a $M_t > 0$ such that

$$g(x, -M_t) \geq |t| \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Since $g(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, there exists a $M_t > 0$ such that

$$g(x, -M_t) \geq |t| \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Thus

$$-\Delta(-M_t) = 0 \leq g(x, -M_t) + t\phi.$$

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Since $g(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, there exists a $M_t > 0$ such that

$$g(x, -M_t) \geq |t| \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Thus

$$-\Delta(-M_t) = 0 \leq g(x, -M_t) + t\phi.$$

So, $-M_t$ is a negative subsolution of (N_t) .

□

Step 2

(N_t) has a negative lower solution for all t .

Since $g(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, there exists a $M_t > 0$ such that

$$g(x, -M_t) \geq |t| \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Thus

$$-\Delta(-M_t) = 0 \leq g(x, -M_t) + t\phi.$$

So, $-M_t$ is a negative subsolution of (N_t) .

□

Notice that M_t can be large as we want.

Given $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(x, u) = g(x, v) + \gamma(x, v) + t\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

Given $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(x, u) = g(x, v) + \gamma(x, v) + t\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, s) = \int_0^s [\text{sign}(g_s(x, u) - |g_s(x, u)|)g_s(x, u)] du + s.$$

Given $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(x, u) = g(x, v) + \gamma(x, v) + t\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, s) = \int_0^s [\text{sign}(g_s(x, u) - |g_s(x, u)|)g_s(x, u)] du + s.$$

We can show that

$s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s)$ and $s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s) + g(x, s)$ are strictly increasing.

Given $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(x, u) = g(x, v) + \gamma(x, v) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, s) = \int_0^s [\text{sign}(g_s(x, u) - |g_s(x, u)|)g_s(x, u)] du + s.$$

We can show that

$s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s)$ and $s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s) + g(x, s)$ are strictly increasing.

(P) has a unique solution u ,

Given $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(x, u) = g(x, v) + \gamma(x, v) + t\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, s) = \int_0^s [\text{sign}(g_s(x, u) - |g_s(x, u)|)g_s(x, u)] du + s.$$

We can show that

$s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s)$ and $s \rightarrow \gamma(x, s) + g(x, s)$ are strictly increasing.

(P) has a unique solution u , and so we can define the following operator

$$T : \mathbb{R} \times C(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega}); \quad v \mapsto u(t, v),$$

Moreover, T is compact and increasing.

Step 3

(N_t) has a solution for some $t = t_0$.

Step 3

(N_t) has a solution for some $t = t_0$.

Claim 1: There exists $t_0 < 0$ such that $u(t_0, 0) < 0$.

Step 3

(N_t) has a solution for some $t = t_0$.

Claim 1: There exists $t_0 < 0$ such that $u(t_0, 0) < 0$.

Claim 2: $u(t_0, -M) > -M$.

Step 3

(N_t) has a solution for some $t = t_0$.

Claim 1: There exists $t_0 < 0$ such that $u(t_0, 0) < 0$.

Claim 2: $u(t_0, -M) > -M$.

Thus

$$T_{t_0} : [-M, 0]_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow [-M, 0]_{C(\bar{\Omega})}.$$

Step 3

(N_t) has a solution for some $t = t_0$.

Claim 1: There exists $t_0 < 0$ such that $u(t_0, 0) < 0$.

Claim 2: $u(t_0, -M) > -M$.

Thus

$$T_{t_0} : [-M, 0]_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow [-M, 0]_{C(\bar{\Omega})}.$$

Therefore T_{t_0} has a fixed point.



Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Define

$$t_1 = \sup\{t; (N) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Define

$$t_1 = \sup\{t; (N) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

For $t < t_1$, take t' such that $t < t' < t_1$ and $(N_{t'})$ has a solution u' .

Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Define

$$t_1 = \sup\{t; (N) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

For $t < t_1$, take t' such that $t < t' < t_1$ and $(N_{t'})$ has a solution u' .

This solution u' is an upper solution of (N_t) .

Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Define

$$t_1 = \sup\{t; (N) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

For $t < t_1$, take t' such that $t < t' < t_1$ and $(N_{t'})$ has a solution u' .

This solution u' is an upper solution of (N_t) .

Now, (N_t) has a subsolution $-M_t$, and we can assume that $-M_t < u'$.

Step 4

There is t_1 such that (N_t) has a solution for $t < t_1$ and no solution for $t > t_1$.

Define

$$t_1 = \sup\{t; (N) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

For $t < t_1$, take t' such that $t < t' < t_1$ and $(N_{t'})$ has a solution u' .

This solution u' is an upper solution of (N_t) .

Now, (N_t) has a subsolution $-M_t$, and we can assume that $-M_t < u'$.

Therefore, (N_t) has a solution. □

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$,

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{|u_n^0|}$,

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{|u_n^0|}$, we have

$$v_n = \frac{u_n^1}{|u_n^0|} + \frac{u_n^0}{|u_n^0|} \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{|u_n^0|}$, we have

$$v_n = \frac{u_n^1}{|u_n^0|} + \frac{u_n^0}{|u_n^0|} \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus $u_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$,

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u^0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{|u_n^0|}$, we have

$$v_n = \frac{u_n^1}{|u_n^0|} + \frac{u_n^0}{|u_n^0|} \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus $u_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and so

$$-t_n \int \varphi = \int g(x, u_n) \rightarrow \infty,$$

Step 5

Let u_n be a solution of (N_{t_n}) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, then $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded.

Claim: If $u = u^1 + u^0$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int u$, is a solution of (N) with $|t| \leq T$, then

$$\|u^1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(1 + |u^0|^\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

Now, suppose that u_n is a solution of (N) with $t_n \in [a, b]$, with

$$\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the *Claim*, $|u_n^0| \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{|u_n^0|}$, we have

$$v_n = \frac{u_n^1}{|u_n^0|} + \frac{u_n^0}{|u_n^0|} \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus $u_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and so

$$-t_n \int \varphi = \int g(x, u_n) \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Let $t < t_0$, and let $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$ be lower and upper solutions.

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Let $t < t_0$, and let $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$ be lower and upper solutions.

Consider the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, denoted by A , $v_0 \in A$, and the map $T_\eta : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ given by

$$T_\eta(v) = \eta T_t(v) + (1 - \eta)v_0,$$

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Let $t < t_0$, and let $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$ be lower and upper solutions.

Consider the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, denoted by A , $v_0 \in A$, and the map $T_\eta : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ given by

$$T_\eta(v) = \eta T_t(v) + (1 - \eta)v_0,$$

We have

$$\deg(I - T_t, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_\eta, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_0, A, 0) = 1.$$

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Let $t < t_0$, and let $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$ be lower and upper solutions.

Consider the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, denoted by A , $v_0 \in A$, and the map $T_\eta : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ given by

$$T_\eta(v) = \eta T_t(v) + (1 - \eta)v_0,$$

We have

$$\deg(I - T_t, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_\eta, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_0, A, 0) = 1.$$

By the other hand

$$\deg(I - T_t, KB, 0) = \deg(I - T_{t_0+1}, KB, 0) = 0.$$

Step 6 If $t < t_0$, then (N) has at least two solutions.

Let $t < t_0$, and let $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$ be lower and upper solutions.

Consider the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, denoted by A , $v_0 \in A$, and the map $T_\eta : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ given by

$$T_\eta(v) = \eta T_t(v) + (1 - \eta)v_0,$$

We have

$$\deg(I - T_t, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_\eta, A, 0) = \deg(I - T_0, A, 0) = 1.$$

By the other hand

$$\deg(I - T_t, KB, 0) = \deg(I - T_{t_0+1}, KB, 0) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\deg(I - T_t, KB \setminus A, 0) = \deg(I - T_t, KB, 0) - \deg(I - T_t, A, 0) = -1.$$

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq \lambda(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Ambrosetti-Prodi type result

Let $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonnegative bounded function. Consider the semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(x, u) + t\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (N_t)$$

Assume that g is continuous,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} < 0 < \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{|s|^{p-2}s},$$

and

$$|g(x, u)| \leq \lambda(1 + |t|^{p-1}).$$

Then there exist $t_1 \geq t_2$ such that (Q) has zero, at least one and at least two solutions according to $t > t_1$, $t_2 < t \leq t_1$ and $t \leq t_2$.

Claim: There exists τ_1 such that (P_t) has a solution for all $t \leq \tau_1$.

Claim: There exists τ_1 such that (P_t) has a solution for all $t \leq \tau_1$.

Step 1: Define the solution operator $S : L^\infty \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $K(f)$, being the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Claim: There exists τ_1 such that (P_t) has a solution for all $t \leq \tau_1$.

Step 1: Define the solution operator $S : L^\infty \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $K(f)$, being the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Now define

$$K_t(u) = S(g(\cdot, u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + t\varphi).$$

Step 2: To given $R > 0$ there exists $t(R)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\|v^+\| = R$, $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall t \leq t(R)$.

Step 2: To given $R > 0$ there exists $t(R)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^+\| = R$, $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall t \leq t(R)$.

Step 3: For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $R = R(t)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^-\| = R$ and $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.

Step 2: To given $R > 0$ there exists $t(R)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^+\| = R$, $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall t \leq t(R)$.

Step 3: For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $R = R(t)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^-\| = R$ and $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.

Step 4: Given $R > 0$, fix $t \leq t(R)$, and take $R(t)$ and let

$$\Lambda_t = \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}); \|v^+\| < R, \|v^-\| < R(t)\}.$$

We have

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in \partial\Lambda_t$ and $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.

Step 2: To given $R > 0$ there exists $t(R)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^+\| = R$, $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall t \leq t(R)$.

Step 3: For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $R = R(t)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^-\| = R$ and $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.

Step 4: Given $R > 0$, fix $t \leq t(R)$, and take $R(t)$ and let

$$\Lambda_t = \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}); \|v^+\| < R, \|v^-\| < R(t)\}.$$

We have

$$v \neq \eta K_t v, \quad \forall v \in \partial\Lambda_t \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \eta \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\deg(I - K_t, \Lambda_t, 0) = \deg(I - K_t, \eta\Lambda_t, 0) = \deg(I, \Lambda_t, 0) = 1.$$

Step 2: To given $R > 0$ there exists $t(R)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^+\| = R$, $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $\forall t \leq t(R)$.

Step 3: For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $R = R(t)$ such that

$v \neq \eta K_t v$, $\forall v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v^-\| = R$ and $\forall \eta \in [0, 1]$.

Step 4: Given $R > 0$, fix $t \leq t(R)$, and take $R(t)$ and let

$$\Lambda_t = \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}); \|v^+\| < R, \|v^-\| < R(t)\}.$$

We have

$$v \neq \eta K_t v, \quad \forall v \in \partial\Lambda_t \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \eta \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\deg(I - K_t, \Lambda_t, 0) = \deg(I - K_t, \eta\Lambda_t, 0) = \deg(I, \Lambda_t, 0) = 1. \quad \square$$

Obrigado!