LITTLE GROTHENDIECK‘S THEOREM FOR REAL JB*-TRIPLES

ANTONIO M. PERALTA*

Abstract

We prove that given a real JB*-triple E, and a real Hilbert space H, then the set of those bounded linear operators T from E to H, such that there exists a norm one functional $\varphi \in E^*$ and corresponding pre-Hilbertian semi-norm $\|.\|_\varphi$ on E such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 4\sqrt{2}\|T\| \ \|x\|_\varphi$$

for all $x \in E$, is norm dense in the set of all bounded linear operators from E to H.

As a tool for the above result, we show that if A is a JB-algebra and $T : A \to H$ is a bounded linear operator then there exists a state $\varphi \in A^*$ such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\|T\|\varphi(x^2)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17C65, 46K70, 46L05, 46L10 and 46L70.

1 Introduction.

It is well known [Gro] that there is a universal constant K such that if Ω is a compact Hausdorff space and T is a bounded linear operator from $C(\Omega)$ to

*Supported by Programa Nacional F.P.I., Ministry of Education and Science grant and the Bilateral Spanish-Hungarian Research Cooperation grant No. TET E-3/97

a complex Hilbert space H, then there exists a probability measure μ on Ω such that

$$\|T(f)\|^2 \leq K^2\|T\|^2\left(\int_\Omega |f|^2 d\mu\right)$$

for all $f \in C(\Omega)$. This result is called "Little Grothendieck's inequality" or "Little Grothendieck's Theorem" for commutative C*-álgebras. In the non-commutative case, Pisier ([P1], [P2]) and Haagerup ([H1],[H2]) proved a "Little Grothendieck Theorem" for C*-algebras. That is, if $T : C \to \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear operator from a C*-algebra, C, to a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}$, we can find a state ψ of C such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 2\|T\|\psi(\frac{1}{2}(xx^* + x^*x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (x \in C).$$

As is pointed out in [CIL], Pisier's proof of the "Little Grothendieck's theorem" for C*-algebras [P2, Theorem 9.4] can be verbatim extended for JB*-algebras in the following setting. For every bounded linear operator T from a JB*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, to a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a state $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that

$$\|T(z)\| \leq 2\|T\|\left(\varphi(z \circ z^*)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$. For the most general class of complex Banach spaces called JB*-triples (which includes C*-algebras and JB*-algebras) a "Little Grothendieck's Theorem" is established by Barton and Friedman [BF, Theorem 1.3]. According to the formulation of that Theorem in [BF], for every bounded linear operator T from a complex JB*-triple $\mathcal{E}$ to a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ there is a normalized functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^*$ such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|T\|\|x\|_\varphi$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\|x\|_\varphi^2 = \varphi\{x, x, e\}$ for some tripotent $e \in \mathcal{E}^{**}$ with $\varphi(e) = 1$. However, the Barton-Friedman proof contains a gap. Indeed, they assert, that for T as above, T^{**} attains its norm (at a complete tripotent), a fact that is not always true. Indeed, consider the operator S from the complex ℓ_2 space to itself, whose associated matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{n}{n+1} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is worth mentioning that, although the operator S above does not attain its norm, it satisfies

$$\|S(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|S\|\|x\|_\varphi$$

for every $x \in \ell_2$ and every normalized functional $\varphi \in \ell_2^*$. Therefore it does not become a counterexample to the Barton-Friedman "Little Grothendieck's Theorem". In fact we do not know if Theorem 1.3 of [BF] is true.

From the proof of [BF, Theorem 1.3], it may be concluded that if T is a bounded linear operator from a complex JB*-triple $\mathcal{E}$ to a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ whose second transpose T^{**} attains its norm at a complete tripotent, then there exists a norm one functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^*$ such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|T\|\|x\|_\varphi$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\|x\|_\varphi^2 = \varphi\{x, x, e\}$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}^{**}$ is a tripotent with $\varphi(e) = 1$.

If T^{**} attains its norm, the norm is attained at a complete tripotent (see the proof of Theorem 4.3). Finally, since the set of all operators $T \in BL(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})$ such that T^{**} attains its norm is norm dense in $BL(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})$, (see [L, Theorem 1]), the result of Barton and Friedman can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex JB*-triple and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space. Then the set of those bounded linear operators T from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ such that there exists a norm one functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^*$ satisfying*

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|T\|\|x\|_\varphi$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{E}$, is norm dense in the set of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{H}$.

In this paper we prove a similar result for the most general class of Banach spaces called real JB*-triples.

Complex JB*-triples were introduced by Kaup [K1] in the study of bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces. He shows that every bounded symmetric domain in a complex Banach space is biholomorphically equivalent to the open unit ball of a complex JB*-triple [K2]. Every C*-algebra and every JB*-algebra are JB*-triples with triple product $\{x, y, x\} := xy^*x$ and $\{a, b, c\} := (a \circ b^*) \circ c + (c \circ b^*) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b^*$ respectively. See [U], [R], [Ru] and [CM] for the general theory of JB*-triples.

Definitions of real JB*-triples have been introduced in ([U],[IKR],[DR]) and we adopt the definition of [IKR] in this paper. Real JB*-triples are defined as closed real subtriples of complex JB*-triples. The class of real JB*-triples is bigger than the class of complex JB*-triples. Every complex JB*-triple, JB-algebra, real C*-algebra and J*B-algebra is a real JB*-triple (see [IKR], [HS], [G] and [A]). Recently real JB*-triples have been the object of intensive investigations (see for example [D], [CDRV], [IKR], [K3], [CGR], [MP] and [PS]).

The aim of this paper is to obtain a "Little Grothendieck's Theorem" for real JB*-triples. Section 2 presents some preliminary results. In section 3 we proceed with the study of the "Little Grothendieck Theorem" in the particular case of a JB-algebra. This result will be very useful in the proof of the main result. Finally section 4 provides a detailed proof of the "Little Grothendieck Theorem" for real JB*-triples. In the complex case the proof of the Little Grothendieck Theorem is based in the fact that $it(L(a, b)+L(b, a))$ is a derivation for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a complex JB*-triple and so $\exp(it(L(a, b)+L(b, a)))$ is an isometric bijection for every t in $\mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$. In the real case $it(L(a, b)+L(b, a))$ does not make sense but we can use that $\delta(a, b) := L(a, b) - L(b, a)$ is a derivation for all a, b in a real JB*-triple E and then $\exp(t(L(a, b) - L(b, a)))$ is an isometric bijection for every t in $\mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in E$ (see [IKR, Proposition 2.5]). This fact will be the basic idea in the proof of the main result.

2 Background.

We recall that a complex JB*-triple is a complex Banach space $\mathcal{E}$ with a continuous triple product $\{.,.,.\} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ which is bilinear and symmetric in the outer variables and conjugate linear in the middle variable, and satisfies:

1. (Jordan Identity) $L(a,b)\{x,y,z\} = \{L(a,b)x,y,z\} - \{x,L(b,a)y,z\} + \{x,y,L(a,b)z\}$ for all a,b,c,x,y,z in $\mathcal{E}$, where $L(a,b)x := \{a,b,x\}$;

2. The map $L(a,a)$ from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{E}$ is an hermitian operator with spectrum ≥ 0 for all a in $\mathcal{E}$;

3. $\|\{a,a,a\}\| = \|a\|^3$ for all a in $\mathcal{E}$.

Following [IKR], a real Banach space E together with a trilinear map $\{.,.,.\} : E\times E\times E \to E$ is called a real JB*-triple if there is a complex JB*-triple $\mathcal{E}$ and an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isometry λ from E to $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\lambda\{x,y,z\} = \{\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z\}$ for all x,y,z in E.

Real JB*-triples are essentially the closed real subtriples of complex JB*-triples and, by [IKR, Proposition 2.2], given a real JB*-triple E there exists a unique complex JB*-triple $\widehat{E}$ and a unique conjugation (conjugate linear and isometric mapping of period 2) τ on $\widehat{E}$ such that $E = \widehat{E}^{\tau} := \{x \in \widehat{E} : \tau(x) = x\}$. In fact, $\widehat{E}$ is the complexification of the vector space E, with triple product extending in a natural way the triple product of E and a suitable norm. For the rest of the paper, given a real JB*-triple E, we will denote by $\widehat{E}$ its complexification and by τ the canonical conjugation on $\widehat{E}$ such that $E = \widehat{E}^{\tau}$.

JBW*-triples (real JBW*-triples resp.) are JB*-triples (real JB*-triples resp.) which are Banach dual spaces [BT] ([MP] resp).

Real and complex JB*-triples are Jordan triples. Therefore, given a tripotent e ($\{e,e,e\} = e$) in a real or complex JB*-triple U, there exist two decompositions of U

$$U = U_0(e) \oplus U_1(e) \oplus U_2(e) = U^1(e) \oplus U^{-1}(e) \oplus U^0(e)$$

where $U_k(e) = \{x \in U : L(e,e)x = \frac{k}{2}x\}$ for $k = 0,1,2$ and $U^k(e)$ is the k-eigenspace of the operator $Q(e)x := \{e,x,e\}$ for $k = 1,-1,0$. It is well known that if $\mathcal{E}$ is a complex JB*-triple and $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is a tripotent then $\mathcal{E}_2(e)$ is a JB*-algebra with product $x \circ y := \{x,e,y\}$ and involution $x^* := \{e,x,e\}$. In the case that E is a real JB*-triple and $e \in E$ is a tripotent, $E^1(e)$ is a JB-algebra with product $x \circ y := \{x,e,y\}$. $E_k(e)$ is called the Peirce k-space of e. For a real or complex JB*-triple U the following rules are satisfied:

1. $U_2(e) = U^1(e) \oplus U^{-1}(e)$ and $U^0(e) = U_1(e) \oplus U_0(e)$
2. $\{U^i(e), U^j(e), U^k(e)\} \subseteq U^{ijk}(e)$ if $ijk \neq 0$
3. $\{U_i(e), U_j(e), U_k(e)\} \subseteq U_{i-j+k}(e)$, where $i, j, k = 0, 1, 2$ and $U_l(e) = 0$ for $l \neq 0, 1, 2$.
4. $\{U_0(e), U_2(e), U\} = \{U_2(e), U_0(e), U\} = 0$.

The last two rules are known as Peirce arithmetic. In particular, Peirce k-spaces are subtriples.

The projection $P_k(e)$ of U onto $U_k(e)$ is called the Peirce k-projection of e. These projections are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(e) &= Q(e)^2; \\ P_1(e) &= 2(L(e,e) - Q(e)^2); \\ P_0(e) &= Id_U - 2L(e,e) + Q(e)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper we will denote by $P^k(e)$ the natural projection $P^k(e) : U \to U^k(e)$ $(k : 1, 0, -1)$.

Remark 2.1 *Let E be a real JB*-triple, we write $\widehat{E}$ for its complexification and τ for the canonical conjugation on $\widehat{E}$ with $\widehat{E}^\tau = E$. Let us consider*

$$\phi : \widehat{E}^* \to \widehat{E}^*$$

by

$$\phi(f)(z) = \overline{f(\tau(z))}.$$

From [IKR] we can assure that ϕ is a conjugation (conjugate-linear isometry of period 2) on $\widehat{E}^*$. Furthermore the map

$$(\widehat{E}^*)^\phi := \{f \in \widehat{E}^* : \phi(f) = f\} \to (\widehat{E}^\tau)^*$$

$$f \mapsto f|_E$$

is an isometric bijection. In the same way if E is a real JBW-triple and we write $\widehat{E}$ for its complexification (which is a complex JBW*-triple) the predual of E, E_* can be regarded as $(\widehat{E}_*)^\phi := \{f \in \widehat{E}_* : \phi(f) = f\}$.*

The construction can be realized one more time to get a conjugation $\widehat{\phi}$ on $\widehat{E}^{**}$ such that

$$E^{**} \cong (\widehat{E}^{**})^{\widehat{\phi}}.$$

It is well known that the surjective linear (resp. conjugate linear) isometries between two complex JB*-triples are exactly the triple linear (resp. conjugate linear) isomorphisms [K2, Proposition 5.5]. Moreover if $\mathcal{E}$ is a JBW*-triple then every surjective linear or conjugate linear isometry on $\mathcal{E}$ is weak* continuous [BT], in particular if we have a JBW*-triple with a conjugation τ then τ is automatically weak* continuous.

We recall [FR, Proposition 2] that if $\mathcal{E}$ is a complex JBW*-triple and $f \in \mathcal{E}_*$ then there exists a unique tripotent $e(f)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $f = fP_2(e)$ and $f|_{\mathcal{E}_2(e)}$ is a faithful normal positive functional on the JBW*-algebra $\mathcal{E}_2(e)$. This tripotent is called the support tripotent of f.

Since the concept of support tripotent is preserved by weak* continuous automorphisms, given a complex JBW*-triple $\mathcal{E}$ with a conjugation τ, we can find a relationship between the support tripotents of f and $\phi(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{E}_*$ (Where ϕ is the conjugation constructed from τ like in Remark 2.1).

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex JBW*-triple, let τ be a conjugation on $\mathcal{E}$, $f \in \mathcal{E}_*$ and let e be the support tripotent of f. Then $\tau(e)$ is the support tripotent of $\phi(f)$. In particular if $\phi(f) = f$ and e is its support tripotent then $\tau(e) = e$ (by the uniqueness of the support tripotent).*

Proof

The proof is immediate from the previous comments. □

Let E be a real JB*-triple and let f be a norm one functional on E. f can be regarded as a norm one functional on the complexification of E, $\widehat{E}$, such that $\phi(f) = f$ (see Remark 2.1). From [FR, Proposition 2] there exists the support tripotent of f in $\widehat{E}^{**}$. By the previous Lemma, this support tripotent of f in $\widehat{E}^{**}$ is in fact in E^{**} and we call it the *support tripotent* of f in E^{**}.

The following Lemma is contained in [PS] and we include here by completeness reasons. It will play a very important role in the proof of the main Theorem.

Lemma 2.3 *Let E be a real JB*-triple, let e be a tripotent of E and $f \in E^*$ such that $\|f|_{E_2(e)}\| = \|f\| = 1$. Then $f = f \circ P_2(e)$. Moreover if $f(e) = 1$ then $f = f \circ P^1(e)$.*

Proof

By [MP, Lemma 2.9] we have $f = f \circ P_2(e)$. Let $y \in E^{-1}(e)$. We may assume without loss of generality $f(y) \geq 0$. Therefore $\{e,e,y\} = y$, $\{e,y,e\} = -y$ and we have the order estimate

$$\{e+ty, e+ty, e+ty\} = \{e,e,e\} + 2t\,\{e,e,y\} + \{e,y,e\} + O(|t|^2) = e + ty + O(|t|^2)$$

for $t > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence by induction we get

$$(e+ty)^{3^n} = e + ty + O(|t|^2) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots)\ .$$

Therefore, for $t > 0$,

$$\|e+ty\| \geq f(e+ty) = 1 + tf(y)$$

$$(1+tf(y))^{3^n} \leq \|e+ty\|^{3^n} = \|(e+ty)^{3^n}\| = \|e + ty + O(|t|^2)\| \leq$$

$$\leq 1 + t\|y\| + O(|t|^2)$$

$$1 + 3^n t f(y) + O(|t|^2) \leq 1 + t\|y\| + O(|t|^2)$$

$$3^n f(y) + O(|t|) \leq \|y\| + O(|t|).$$

Thus, for $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$f(y) \leq \frac{1}{3^n}\|y\| \qquad (n = 1, 2, \dots)\ .$$

It follows $f(y) = 0$ for every $y \in E^{-1}(e)$. Since $E_2(e) = E^1(e) \oplus E^{-1}(e)$ and $f = fP_2(e)$, we conclude $f = f \circ P^1(e)$. $\square$

The next Lemma extends [BF, Proposition 1.2] to real JB*-triples.

Lemma 2.4 *Let E be a real JB*-triple, $f \in E^*$ with $\|f\| = 1$ and let $e \in E$ such that $f(e) = \|e\| = 1$. Then*

$$f\{x,y,e\} = f\,\{y,x,e\}$$

$$f\,\{x,x,e\} \geq 0$$

for all $x, y \in E$, and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality holds:

$$|f\,\{x,y,e\}\,|^2 \leq f\,\{x,x,e\}\ \ f\,\{y,y,e\}$$

Moreover if $z \in E$ with $f(z) = \|z\| = 1 =$ then

$$f\,\{x,x,e\} = f\,\{x,x,z\}$$

for all $x \in E$ and if we define $\|x\|_f := (f\,\{x,x,e\})^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \forall x \in E$ then

$$\|x\| = Sup\{\|x\|_f : \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Proof

Let $\widehat{E}$ denote the complexification of E. By Remark 2.1 we can see f as an element of $\widehat{E}^*$ with $\|f\| = f(e) = 1$ and $\phi(f) = f$. From [BF, Proposition 1.2]

$$f\{a,b,e\} = \overline{f\{b,a,e\}},$$

$$f\{a,a,e\} \geq 0,$$

$$|f\{a,b,e\}|^2 \leq f\{a,a,e\}\ f\{b,b,e\}$$

$\forall a, b \in \widehat{E}$. Moreover if $z \in \widehat{E}$ with $f(z) = \|z\| = 1 =$ then

$$f\{a,a,e\} = f\{a,a,z\}$$

for all $a \in \widehat{E}$. Now applying that $\phi(f) = f$ $(f \in E^*)$ we have that $f(E) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and then we obtain the first three statements.

For the last affirmation we proceed as follows. Let $x \in E$ with $\|x\| = 1$, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem there exists $f \in E^*$ with $\|f\| = f(x) = 1$. We consider $f \in \widehat{E}^*$ with $\phi(f) = f$. Let $u \in \widehat{E}^{**}$ the support tripotent of f. Again by [BF, Proof of Proposition 1.2] $\|x\| = f\{x,x,u\} = \|x\|_f$ in $\widehat{E}$. Since $\phi(f) = f$, Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, assure that the support tripotent u of f is in the bidual of E, i. e. $u \in E^{**}$. Therefore we obtain the last statement. $\square$

From this Lemma, as in the complex case [BF], given a real JB*-triple E and a norm one functional f we can build a pre-Hilbertian seminorm $\|.\|_f$ on E, a real Hilbert space H_f and a natural map $J_f : E \to H_f$ with $\|J_f(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in E$. The real Hilbert space H_f is the completion of E/N_f where $N_f := \{x \in E : \|x\|_f = 0\}$ and J_f is the natural projection.

$$\|J_f x\|_f = \|x\|_f = (f\{x,x,e\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|x\|$$

where e is the support tripotent of f in E^{**}.

3 JB-Algebras

One of the most important examples of real JB*-triples are JB-algebras. We recall that every JB-algebra is a real JB*-triple with triple product given by

$\{x, y, z\} := (x \circ y) \circ z + (z \circ y) \circ x - (x \circ z) \circ y$. This section is devoted to prove a "little Grothendieck's Theorem" in the case of a JB-algebra.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a (complex) JB*-algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ can be regarded as (complex) JB*-triple under the triple product $\{x, y, z\} := (x \circ y^*) \circ z + (z \circ y^*) \circ x - (x \circ z) \circ y^*$. The "Grothendieck's Theorem" for (complex) JB*-algebras (which is a verbatim extension of Haagerup's proof for C*-algebras [H2]), is stated by Chu, Iochum and Loupias in [CIL, Theorem 2.].

Theorem 3.1 (Little Grothendieck's Theorem for JB*-algebras) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a (complex) JB*-algebra, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}$ a bounded linear operator. Then there is a state $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that*

$$\|T(z)\| \leq 2\|T\| \Big(\varphi(z \circ z^*)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$.

We can now state the analogue of "Little Grothendieck's Theorem" for (real) JB-algebras.

Theorem 3.2 (Little Grothendieck's Theorem for JB-algebras) *Let A be a JB-algebra, let H be a real Hilbert space and let $T : A \to H$ be a bounded linear operator. Then there is a state $\varphi \in A^*$ such that*

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\|T\| \Big(\varphi(x^2)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof

We denote by $\widehat{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ the complexifications of A and H respectively. $\widehat{A}$ is a JB*-algebra whose self-adjoint part is A and $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex Hilbert space. Consider $\widehat{T} : \widehat{A} \to \mathcal{H}$ the complex linear extension of T. It is easy to check that $\|\widehat{T}\|^2 \leq 2\|T\|^2$. From Theorem 3.1 there exists a state $\psi \in \widehat{A}^*$ such that

$$\|\widehat{T}(z)\|^2 \leq 4\|\widehat{T}\|^2 \psi(z \circ z^*) \leq 8\|T\|^2 \psi(z \circ z^*)$$

for all $z \in \widehat{A}$.

In particular if $x \in A$

$$\|T(x)\|^2 \leq 8\|T\|^2 \psi(x \circ x).$$

Since ψ is a state of $\widehat{A}$, $\psi|_A$ is a state of A, and the proof is concluded. □

4 Main Result

This section will be devoted to the proof of the "Little Grothendieck's Theorem for real JB*-triples". We start introducing some terminology.

Definition 4.1 *If E is a real JB*-triple and H is a real Hilbert space, we will say that a bounded linear operator T from E to H satisfies the "Little Grothendieck's inequality" if there exists a norm one functional $\varphi \in E^*$ with*

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 4\sqrt{2}\ \|T\|\ \|x\|_\varphi$$

for all $x \in E$. Let $LG(E, H)$ denote the set of all operators $T \in BL(E, H)$ satisfying the "Little Grothendieck's inequality".

We have seen (Lemma 2.4) that if E is a real JB*-triple, and f is a norm one functional on E, we can define a pre-Hilbertian seminorm $\|.\|_f$ on E given by $\|x\|_f^2 = f\{x, x, e\}$ where e is the support tripotent of f in E^{**}. Suppose that e is a complete tripotent ($E_0(e) = 0$) of E such that $f(e) = 1$. The following Lemma states that the projections associated with e, $P_k(e)$ $(k : 0, 1, 2)$ and $P^k(e)$ $(k : 1, -1, 0)$ are $\|.\|_f$-contractive.

Lemma 4.2 *Let E be a real JB*-triple, and let e be a complete tripotent of E. Suppose that f is a norm one functional on E such that $f(e) = 1$ then*

1. $\|x\|_f^2 = \|P_1(e)x\|_f^2 + \|P_2(e)x\|_f^2$ *($x \in E$).*
2. $\|P_2(e)x\|_f^2 = \|P^1(e)x\|_f^2 + \|P^{-1}(e)x\|_f^2$ *($x \in E$).*

In particular $P_k(e)$ $(k : 0, 1, 2)$ and $P^k(e)$ $(k : 1, -1, 0)$ are $\|.\|_f$-contractive.

Proof

Let $x \in E$ and let us denote by $x^k := P^k(e)x$ and $x_k := P_k(e)x$. Since e is complete $P_0(e) = 0$ $(x = x_1 + x_2\ \forall x \in E)$. Using Lemma 2.4, Peirce Arithmetic and Lemma 2.3 we can check that

$$\|x\|_f^2 = \|x_1 + x_2\|_f^2 = f\{x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2, e\} =$$

$$= f\{x_1, x_1, e\} + f\{x_2, x_2, e\} + 2f\{x_1, x_2, e\} =$$
$$= f\{x_1, x_1, e\} + f\{x_2, x_2, e\} = \|x_1\|_f^2 + \|x_2\|_f^2.$$

Similar considerations show that $\{x^1, x^{-1}, e\} \in E^{1(-1)1}(e) = E^{-1}(e)$ hence applying Lemma 2.3 again

$$\|P_2(e)x\|_f^2 = \|x^1+x^{-1}\|_f^2 = \|x^1\|_f^2+\|x^{-1}\|_f^2+2f\left\{x^1, x^{-1}, e\right\} = \|x^1\|_f^2+\|x^{-1}\|_f^2.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We can now state the analogue of [BF, Theorem 1.3] for real JB*-triples. As we have mentioned in the introduction this is a "Little Grothendieck's Theorem" with an additional hypothesis for T^{**}. Concretely we are going to prove that if T is a bounded linear operator from a real JB*-triple E to a real Hilbert space H such that T^{**} attains its norm, then $T \in LG(E, H)$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let E be a real JB*-triple, let H be a real Hilbert space and let $T : E \to H$ be a bounded linear operator. Suppose that T^{**} attains its norm. Then there exists a norm one functional φ on E such that*

$$\|T(x)\| \leq 4\sqrt{2}\|T\|\|x\|_\varphi$$

for all $x \in E$.

Proof
We can suppose that $\|T\| = 1$. We first prove that, in fact, T^{**} attains its norm at a complete tripotent $e \in E^{**}$. By hypothesis, T^{**} attains its norm, so we know that $\|T^{**}\| = \|T^{**}(c)\| = \|T\| = 1$ for $c \in E^{**}$. Let us consider $\rho(x) =< T^{**}(x)|T^{**}(c) >$. It is clear that ρ is a norm one and weak*-continuous functional on E^{**}, so by Alaoglu's Theorem, the Krein-Milman Theorem and the characterization of the complete tripotents, there exists a complete tripotent $e \in E^{**}$ such that

$$\|T^{**}\| = \rho(e) =< T^{**}(e)|T^{**}(c) >\leq \|T^{**}(e)\| \; \|T^{**}(c)\| = \|T^{**}(e)\| \leq \|T^{**}\|,$$

thus

$$\|T^{**}(e)\| = \|T^{**}\|.$$

Now we suppose that E is a real JBW*-triple and T is norm one and $w*$-continuous (we can consider $T^{**} : E^{**} \to H$) and there is a complete tripotent $e \in E$ such that $\|T\| = \|T(e)\|$. Let us define

$$\xi(x) :=< T(x)/T(e) > \quad (x \in E).$$

It is clear that $1 = \|\xi\| = \xi(e)$.

Let $a \in E$ and let us denote $a^k := P^k(e)a$ and $a_k := P_k(e)a$. It is well known [IKR, Proposition 2.5] that $\exp(t(L(a,e) - L(e,a)))$ is an isometric bijection for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, e \in E$. Then

$$1 \geq \|T(\exp(t(L(a,e) - L(e,a)))e)\|^2 =$$

$$= \|T(e) + tT((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e) + \frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e)\|^2 + O(|t|^3)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore

$$\|T(e) + tT((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e) + \frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e)\|^2 \leq 1 + O(|t|^3)$$

$$\|T(e) - tT((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e) + \frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e)\|^2 \leq 1 + O(|t|^3)$$

Now from the parallelogram law we obtain that

$$\|T(e)+\frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e)-L(e,a))^2 e)\|^2+\|tT((L(a,e)-L(e,a))e)\|^2 \leq 1+O(|t|^3) \quad (t.1)$$

Since

$$\|T(e)+\frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e)-L(e,a))^2 e)\|^2 \geq < T(e)+\frac{t^2}{2}T((L(a,e)-L(e,a))^2 e)/T(e) >^2=$$

$$= (1 + \frac{t^2}{2}\xi((L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e))^2$$

$(t.1)$ shows that

$$t^2\|T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e)\|^2 \leq t^2\xi(-(L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e) + O(|t|^3)$$

and

$$\|T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e)\|^2 \leq \xi(-(L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e) + O(|t|) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

And letting $t \to 0$ we obtain that

$$\|T((L(a,e) - L(e,a))e)\|^2 \leq \xi(-(L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e) \qquad (t.2)$$

Now we must compute $\xi(-(L(a,e) - L(e,a))^2 e)$. In this part of the proof Lemma 2.4 and Peirce Arithmetic play a very important role. $-(L(a,e) -$

$L(e,a))^2e = -\{a,e,\{a,e,e\}\}+\{a,e,\{e,a,e\}\}+\{e,a,\{a,e,e\}\}-\{e,a,\{e,a,e\}\}$. By Peirce Arithmetic $\{\{e,a,e\},a,e\} = \{e,\{a,e,a\},e\}$. Now using Peirce Arithmetic, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3

$$\xi(\{e,a,\{e,a,e\}\}) = \xi(\{e,\{a,e,a\},e\}) = \xi(\{\{a,e,a\},e,e\})$$

$$= \xi(\{\{a_1,e,a_1\},e,e\}) + 2\xi(\{\{a_1,e,a_2\},e,e\}) + \xi(\{\{a_2,e,a_2\},e,e\})$$

$$= \xi(\{\{a_2,e,a_2\},e,e\}) = \xi(\{a_2,e,a_2\}) = \xi(\{a,e,a\}) \quad (t.3)$$

By the same method

$$\xi(\{a,e,\{e,a,e\}\}) = \xi(\{a_1,e,\{e,a_2,e\}\}+\{a_2,e,\{e,a_2,e\}\}) = \xi(\{a_2,e,\{e,a_2,e\}\})$$

$$= 2\xi(\{a_2,a_2,e\})-\xi(\{e,\{a_2,a_2,e\},e\}) = 2\xi(\{a_2,a_2,e\})-\xi(\{\{a_2,a_2,e\},e,e\})$$

$$= \xi(\{a_2,a_2,e\}) \quad (t.4)$$

$$\xi(\{e,a,\{a,e,e\}\}) = \xi(\{\{a,e,e\},a,e\}) = \xi(\{a,\{a,e,e\},e\})$$

$$= \xi(\{a_2,a_2,e\}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi(\{a_1,a_1,e\}) \quad (t.5)$$

and

$$\xi(\{a,e,\{a,e,e\}\}) = \xi(\{a,e,a\}) \quad (t.6)$$

We conclude from (t.3),(t.4),(t.5) y (t.6) that

$$\xi(-(L(a,e)-L(e,a))^2e) = -2\xi\{a,e,a\} + 2\xi\{a_2,a_2,e\} + \frac{1}{2}\xi\{a_1,a_1,e\}$$

$$= 2\xi\{\{e,e,a\},\{e,e,a\},e\} - 2\xi\{a,e,a\}$$

Finally from (t.2) we have

$$\|T(\{a,e,e\}-\{e,a,e\})\|^2 \leq 2\xi(\{\{e,e,a\},\{e,e,a\},e\}-\{a,e,a\})\ (a\in E) \quad (t.7)$$

Since e is a complete tripotent, $L(e,e)$ is a bijection. Hence if we denote $x = \{e,e,a\}$, Peirce Arithmetic and (t.7) show that

$$\|T(x-\{e,x,e\})\|^2 \leq 2\xi(\{x,x,e\}-\{x,e,x\})\ (x\in E) \quad (t.8)$$

In particular, as $x_1 \in E_1(e)$ by Peirce Arithmetic and Lemma 2.3 $\{e,x_1,e\} = \{x_1,e,x_1\} = 0$ then from (t.8)

$$\|T(x_1)\|^2 \leq 2\xi\{x_1,x_1,e\} = 2\|x_1\|_\xi^2 \quad (t.9)$$

Similarly as $x^{-1} \in E^{-1}(e)$ ($\{e, x^{-1}, e\} = -x^{-1}$) then

$$\|T(x^{-1})\|^2 \leq \xi \left\{x^{-1}, x^{-1}, e\right\} = \|x^{-1}\|_\xi^2 \quad (t.10)$$

The problem is that from $(t.8)$ we are unable to estimate $\|T(x^1)\| \leq M\|x^1\|_\xi$ for all x^1 in the JBW-algebra $E^1(e)$ (with unit e), and some positive constant M, as we have made before for $x_1 \in E_1(e)$ and $x^{-1} \in E^{-1}(e)$. At this point we apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain a state ψ of $E^1(e)$ such that

$$\|T(x^1)\|^2 \leq 8\psi(x^1 \circ x^1) = 8\psi\left\{x^1, x^1, e\right\} = 8\|x^1\|_\psi^2 \ \ (x^1 \in E^1(e)) \quad (t.11)$$

We can see $\psi = \psi P^1(e)$ as a linear functional on E using Lemma 2.3.

Let $x \in E$ from (t.9), (t.10) and (t.11) $\|T(x)\| \leq \|T(x_1)\| + \|T(x^{-1})\| + \|T(x^1)\| \leq \sqrt{8}\|x^1\|_\psi + \|x^{-1}\|_\xi + \sqrt{2}\|x_1\|_\xi$. Hence Lemma 4.2 shows that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \sqrt{8}\|x\|_\psi + \|x\|_\xi + \sqrt{2}\|x\|_\xi$$

$$= \sqrt{8}\|x\|_\psi + (1+\sqrt{2})\|x\|_\xi \leq \sqrt{8}(\|x\|_\psi + \|x\|_\xi)$$

$$\|T(x)\|^2 \leq 8(\|x\|_\psi^2 + \|x\|_\xi^2 + 2\|x\|_\psi\|x\|_\xi) \leq$$

$$\leq 16(\|x\|_\psi^2 + \|x\|_\xi^2) = 16(\psi\{x,x,e\} + \xi\{x,x,e\}) =$$

$$= 32\frac{\psi+\xi}{2}\{x,x,e\} = 32\ \varphi\{x,x,e\} = 32\ \|x\|_\varphi^2$$

where $\varphi = \dfrac{\psi+\xi}{2}$ is a norm one functional on E and $\varphi(e) = 1$. $\square$

Remark 4.4 *In the setting of the proof of the previous Theorem, we can see that if we can estimate* $\|T(x^1)\|^2 \leq M^2\|x^1\|_\xi^2$ *for* $x^1 \in E^1(e)$ *(where* $\xi(x) := < T(x)/T(e) >$*) then it is easy to obtain that* $\|T(x)\| \leq (1+\sqrt{2}+M)\|x\|_\xi$*. It is trivial to estimate* $\|T(x^1)\|^2 = \|x^1\|_\xi^2$ *when* e *is a minimal tripotent* ($E^1(e) = \mathbb{R}e$)*.*

So if E *is a real JB*-triple and* e *is a minimal tripotent of* E*. From [PS]* $E_2(e)$ *is a real Hilbert space (with inner product* $< a, b > := \frac{1}{4}(\{a+b, a+b, e\} - \{a-b, a-b, e\})$*).* $Q(e) : E \to E_2(e)$ *is a bounded linear operator with* $\|Q(e)\| = 1 = \|Q(e)e\|$ *so from the previous Remark*

$$\|Q(e)x\| \leq (2+\sqrt{2})(\xi\{x,x,e\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ \ (x \in E)$$

where

$$\xi(x) =< Q(e)x/e >$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}(\{Q(e)x + e, Q(e)x + e, e\} - \{Q(e)x - e, Q(e)x - e, e\}).$$

From the previous theorem 4.3 we can now prove the analogous of theorem 1.1 for real JB*-triples which is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 4.5 *Let E be a real JB*-triple and let H be a real Hilbert space. Then the set $LG(E, H)$ is norm dense in the set of all bounded linear operator from E to H.*

Proof

The proof straightforward from Theorem 4.3 and the norm denseness of the set of all bounded linear operators whose second transpose attains its norm [L]. □

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his thanks to J. M. Isidro, J. Martínez and A. Rodríguez for their helpful suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

References

[A] Alvermann, K.: Real normed Jordan algebras with involution, Arch. Math. **47**, 135-150 (1986).

[BF] Barton, T. and Friedman Y.: Grothendieck's inequality for JB*-triples and applications, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **36** 513-523 (1987).

[BT] Barton, T. and Timoney, R. M.: Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, Math. Scand. **59**, 177-191 (1986).

[CDRV] Chu, C-H., Dang, T., Russo, B., and Ventura, B.: Surjective isometries of real C*-algebras, J. London Math. Soc. **47**, 97-118 (1993).

[CGR] Chu, C-H., Galindo, A. M.., and Rodríguez, A.: On prime real JB*-triples, Contemporary Math. **232**, 105-109 (1999).

[CIL] Chu, C-H., Iochum, B., and Loupias, G.: Grothendieck's theorem and factorization of operators in Jordan triples, Math. Ann. **284**, 41-53 (1989).

[CM] Chu, C-H., Mellon, P.: Jordan structures in Banach spaces and symmetric manifolds, Expo. Math. **16**, 157-180 (1998).

[D] Dang, T.: Real isometries between JB*-triples, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114**, 971-980 (1992).

[DR] Dang, T. and Russo, B.: Real Banach Jordan triples, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122**, 135-145 (1994).

[FR] Friedman, Y. and Russo, B.: Structure of the predual of a JBW*-triple, J. Reine u. Angew. Math. **356**, 67-89 (1985).

[G] Goodearl, K. R.: Notes on real and complex C*-algebras, Shiva Publ. 1982.

[Gro] Grothendieck, A.: Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques. Bol. Soc. Mat. Sao Paolo **8**, 1-79 (1956).

[H1] Haagerup, U.: Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of C*-algebras, Ann. of Math. **118**, 215-240 (1983).

[H2] Haagerup, U.: The Grothendieck inequality for bilinear forms on C*-algebras, Avd. Math. **56** 93-116 (1985).

[HS] Hanche-Olsen, H. and Størmer, E.: Jordan operator algebras, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 21, Pitman, London-Boston-Melbourne 1984.

[IKR] Isidro, J. M., Kaup, W. and Rodríguez, A.: On real forms of JB*-triples, Manuscripta Math. **86**, 311-335 (1995).

[K1] Kaup, W.: Algebraic characterization of symmetric complex Banach manifolds, Math. Ann. **228**, 39-64 (1977).

[K2] Kaup, W.: A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, Math. Z. **183**, 503-529 (1983).

[K3] Kaup, W.: On real Cartan factors, Manuscripta Math. **92**, 191-222 (1997).

[KU] Kaup, W. and Upmeier, H.: Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in Banach spaces, Math. Z. **157**, 179-200, (1977).

[L] Lindenstrauss, J.: On operators which attain their norm, Israel J. Math. **1**, 139-148 (1963).

[MP] Martínez, J. and Peralta A.M.: Separate weak*-continuity of the triple product in dual real JB*-triples, Math. Z., to appear.

[PS] Peralta, A. M. and Stachó, L.: Atomic decomposition of real JBW*-triples, submitted.

[P1] Pisier, G.: Grothendieck's theorem for non commutative C*-algebras with an appendix on Grothendieck's constant, J. Funct. Anal. **29**, 397-415 (1978).

[P2] Pisier, G.: Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces, Publ. Amer. Math. Soc. CBMS **60**, Am. Math. Soc. 1986.

[R] Rodríguez A.: Jordan structures in Analysis. In Jordan algebras: Proc. Oberwolfach Conf., August 9-15, 1992 (ed. by W. Kaup, K. McCrimmon and H. Petersson), 97-186. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.

[Ru] Russo B.: Structure of JB*-triples. In Jordan algebras: Proc. Oberwolfach Conf., August 9-15, 1992 (ed. by W. Kaup, K. McCrimmon and H. Petersson), 209-280. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.

[U] Upmeier, H.: Symmetric Banach Manifolds and JC*-algebras, Mathematics Studies 104, (Notas de Matemática, ed. by L. Nachbin) North Holland 1985.

A. M. Peralta
Dept. Análisis Matemático
Ftad. de Ciencias
Universidad de Granada
18071 Granada, Spain
aperalta@goliat.ugr.es