Compact tripotents and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for C*-algebras and JB*-triples

Francisco J. Fernández-Polo and Antonio M. Peralta*

Abstract

We establish some generalizations of Urysohn lemma for the *hull-kernel structure* in the setting of JB*-triples. These results are the natural extensions of those obtained by C. A. Akemann in the setting of C*-algebras. We also develop some connections with the classical Stone-Weierstrass problem for C*-algebras and JB*-triples.

1 Introduction

Let K be a topological compact Hausdorff space and let C(K) denote the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions on K. The classical Urysohn lemma allows us to describe the open subsets of K in the following way: a subset $A \subseteq K$ is open if and only if there is an increasing net (x_{α}) in C(K) satisfying that $0 \le x_{\alpha}(t) \nearrow 1$, for each $t \in A$, and $0 = x_{\alpha}(t)$ for each $t \in K \setminus A$. Clearly, a subset $C \subseteq K$ is closed (equivalently, compact) if and only if $K \setminus C$ is open. We can see the characteristic functions χ_A as projections in the bidual of C(K).

In the more general setting of non-necessarily abelian C*-algebras the notions of open and compact projections in the bidual of a C*-algebra are mainly due to C. A. Akemann ([1, 3], see also [5, 33]). Let A be a C*-algebra. A projection p in A^{**} is said to be open if p is the weak*-limit of a increasing net of positive elements in A, equivalently, $pA^{**}p\cap A$ is weak*-dense in $pA^{**}p$ (compare [33, Proposition 3.11.9]). We say that p is closed whenever 1-p is open. Finally, a projection p is said to be compact if, and only if, p is closed and there exists a positive element $a \in A$ such that $p \le a \le 1$, equivalently,

^{*}Authors Partially supported by D.G.I. project no. BFM2002-01529, and Junta de Andalucía grant FQM 0199

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 17C65, 46B04, 46B22, 46L05, 46L70.

there is a monotone decreasing net (a_{λ}) in A_{+} with $p \leq a_{\lambda} \leq 1$, converging strongly to p (see for example [1] or [11, Definition-Lemma 2.47]). If A is unital then every closed projection in A^{**} is compact. Akemann called this collection of open projections in A^{**} the hull-kernel structure (HKS) of A. In the HKS of a C*-algebra, the following generalization of Urysohn lemma was obtained by Akemann in [2, Theorem I.1]:

Theorem 1.1. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let p and q be two closed projections in A^{**} with pq=0. Then there exists a in A with $0 \le a \le 1$, ap=0 and aq=q.

The generalizations of Urysohn Lemma to the setting of non-commutative C*-algebras are closely related with the general Stone-Weierstrass problem for non-commutative C*-algebras. This tool has been intensively developed since 1969 by C. A. Akemann [1, 2, 3], L. G. Brown [11], C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. Pedersen [4] and C. A. Akemann and G. Pedersen [5], among others.

C*-algebras belong to the more general class of complex Banach spaces known as JB*-triples (see definition below). In this setting the role of projections is played by those elements called tripotents. Moreover, in [20] and [22] the notions of open, compact and closed tripotents in the bidual of a JB*-triple are introduced and developed. The aim of this paper is the study of the hull-kernel structure in a JB*-triple. In section 2 we prove some generalizations of Urysohn lemma for this HKS. Theorem 2.4 assures that whenever e and f are two orthogonal tripotents in the bidual of a JB*-triple E, with e compact and f minimal, then there exist two orthogonal norm-one elements a_1 and a_2 in E such that $e \leq a_1$ and $f \leq a_2$. The second Urysohn lemma type result is Theorem 2.10, where we establish the following: Let E be a JB*-triple, x a norm-one element in E and x a compact tripotent in E** relative to x satisfying that x and x and x and x and x anorm-one element x in the inner ideal of x generated by x, such that x anorm-one

In the last section we find some connections between the generalizations of Urysohn lemma to the HKS of a C*-algebras or a JB*-triple with the Stone-Weierstrass problem. As main result (see Theorem 3.5) we prove that whenever B is a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E such that for every couple of orthogonal tripotents u, v in E^{**} with v minimal and u minimal or zero, there exist orthogonal elements x, y in B such that ||y|| = 1, $||x|| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$ (when u = 0, then we mean x = 0), then B separates the extreme points of the closed unit ball of E^* and zero. This result combined with those obtained by C. A. Akemann [2] and B. Sheppard [39], on

the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras and JB*-triples, respectively, allow us to establish some new versions of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem in the setting of C*-algebras and JB*-triples.

We recall (c.f. [31]) that a JB*-triple is a complex Banach space E together with a continuous triple product $\{.,.,.\}: E \times E \times E \to E$, which is conjugate linear in the middle variable and symmetric bilinear in the outer variables satisfying that,

- (a) L(a,b)L(x,y) = L(x,y)L(a,b) + L(L(a,b)x,y) L(x,L(b,a)y), where L(a,b) is the operator on E given by $L(a,b)x = \{a,b,x\}$;
- (b) L(a, a) is an hermitian operator with non-negative spectrum;
- (c) $||L(a,a)|| = ||a||^2$.

Every C*-algebra is a JB*-triple via the triple product given by

$$2\{x, y, z\} = xy^*z + zy^*x,$$

and every JB*-algebra is a JB*-triple under the triple product

$$\{x, y, z\} = (x \circ y^*) \circ z + (z \circ y^*) \circ x - (x \circ z) \circ y^*.$$

A JBW*-triple is a JB*-triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique predual [9]). The second dual of a JB*-triple is a JBW*-triple [17]. Elements a, b in a JB*-triple, E, are orthogonal if L(a, b) = 0. With each tripotent u (i.e. $u = \{u, u, u\}$) in E is associated the Peirce decomposition

$$E = E_2(u) \oplus E_1(u) \oplus E_0(u),$$

where for i = 0, 1, 2, $E_i(u)$ is the $\frac{i}{2}$ eigenspace of L(u, u). The Peirce rules are that $\{E_i(u), E_j(u), E_k(u)\}$ is contained in $E_{i-j+k}(u)$ if $i-j+k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$${E_2(u), E_0(u), E} = {E_0(u), E_2(u), E} = 0.$$

The corresponding Peirce projections, $P_i(u): E \to E_i(u), (i = 0, 1, 2)$ are contractive and satisfy

$$P_2(u) = D(2D - I), P_1(u) = 4D(I - D), \text{ and } P_0(u) = (I - D)(I - 2D),$$

where D is the operator L(u, u) and I is the identity map on E (compare [23]). A non-zero tripotent $u \in E$ is called *minimal* if and only if $E_2(u) = \mathbb{C}u$.

Let e and x be two norm-one elements in a JB*-triple, E, with e tripotent. We shall say that $e \le x$ (respectively, $x \le e$) whenever L(e, e)x = e (respectively, x is a positive element in the JB*-algebra $E_2(e)$).

The strong*-topology in a JBW*-triple was introduced by T. J. Barton and Y. Friedman in [8]. This strong*-topology can be defined in the following way: Given a JBW*-triple W, a norm-one element φ in W and a norm-one element z in W such that $\varphi(z)=1$, it follows from [8, Proposition 1.2] that the assignment

$$(x,y) \mapsto \varphi \{x,y,z\}$$

defines a positive sesquilinear form on W. Moreover, for every norm-one element w in such satisfying $\varphi(w)=1$, we have $\varphi\left\{x,y,z\right\}=\varphi\left\{x,y,w\right\}$, for all $x,y\in W$. The law $x\mapsto \|x\|_{\varphi}:=(\varphi\left\{x,x,z\right\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, defines a prehilbertian seminorm on W. The strong*-topology (noted by $S^*(W,W_*)$) is the topology on generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}:\varphi\in W_*,\|\varphi\|=1\}$.

The strong*-topology is compatible with the duality (W, W_*) (see [8, Theorem 3.2]). The strong*-topology was further developed in [36, 34]. In particular, the triple product is jointly strong*-continuous on bounded sets (see [36, 34]).

Let W be a JBW*-triple and let a be a norm-one element in W. The sequence (a^{2n-1}) defined by $a^1=a, a^{2n+1}=\left\{a, a^{2n-1}, a\right\}$ $(n\in\mathbb{N})$ converges in the strong*-topology (and hence in the weak*-topology) of W to a tripotent u(a) in W (compare [20, Lemma 3.3]). This tripotent will be called the *support tripotent* of a. There exists a smallest tripotent $r(a)\in W$ satisfying that a is positive in the JBW*-algebra $W_2(r(a))$, and $u(a) \leq a^{2n-1} \leq a \leq r(a)$. This tripotent r(a) will be called the *range tripotent* of a. (Beware that in [20], r(a) is called the support tripotent of a).

In [20], C. M. Edwards and G. T. Rüttimann introduced the concepts of open and compact tripotents in the bidual of a JB*-triple. In [22], the authors of the present paper studied the notions of open and compact tripotents in a JBW*-triple with respect to a weak*-dense subtriple. Concretely, given a JBW*-triple W and a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple E of W, a tripotent u in W is said to be compact- G_{δ} relative to E if u is the support tripotent of a norm one element in E. The tripotent u is said to be compact relative to E if u = 0 or there exist a decreasing net, $(u_{\lambda}) \subseteq W$, of compact- G_{δ} tripotents relative to E converging, in the strong*-topology of W, to the element u (compare [20, §4]). A tripotent u in W is said to be open relative to E if $E \cap W_2(u)$ is weak*-dense in $W_2(u)$. When E is a JB*-triple, the range (respectively, the support) tripotent of every norm-one element in E

is always an open (respectively, compact) tripotent in E^{**} relative to E.

Notation Given a Banach space X, we denote by X_1 , S_X , and X^* the closed unit ball, the unit sphere, and the dual space of X, respectively. If K is any convex subset of X, then we write $\partial_e(K)$ for the set of extreme points of K.

2 The non-commutative Urysohn lemma for JB*-triples

This section is mainly devoted to obtain some Urysohn lemma type results for the HKS of a JB*-triple. We begin by developing some new properties of compact tripotents in the bidual of a JB*-triple.

Proposition 2.1. Let W and V be JBW^* -triples, E a weak*-dense JB^* -subtriple of W and $T: W \to V$ a surjective weak*-continuous triple homomorphism such that ||T(x)|| = ||x||, for all x in E. Suppose that e is a tripotent in W, then T(e) is compact relative to T(E) in V whenever e is compact relative to E. Moreover, if T is a triple isomorphism, then e is compact relative to E in W if and only if T(e) is compact relative to T(E) in V.

Proof. Suppose that $e \in W$ is compact relative to E. If T(e) = 0, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that T(e) is a non-zero tripotent in V. By definition, there exists a decreasing net $(u_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset W$, of compact- G_{δ} tripotents relative to E (i.e., $\forall \lambda$ there exists $a_{\lambda} \in S_{E}$ such that $u_{\lambda} = u(a_{\lambda})$), converging to e in the strong*-topology of W.

From the hypothesis we know that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $||T(a_{\lambda})|| = ||a_{\lambda}|| = 1$. Since, for each λ , $u(T(a_{\lambda}))$ coincides with the limit, in the weak*-topology of V, of the sequence $(T(a_{\lambda})^{2n-1}) = (T(a_{\lambda}^{2n-1}))$, and T is weak*-continuous, we have $u(T(a_{\lambda})) = T(u(a_{\lambda}))$. The conditions (u_{λ}) decreasing and T triple homomorphism imply that $u(T(a_{\lambda})) = T(u(a_{\lambda}))$ is also a decreasing net in V. Since T is weak*-continuous, we deduce, from [36, Corollary 3], that T is $S^*(W, W_*) - S^*(V, V_*)$ -continuous. Therefore, $u(T(a_{\lambda})) = T(u(a_{\lambda}))$ tends to T(e) in the $S^*(V, V_*)$ -topology. This shows that T(e) is compact relative to T(E) in V.

Remark 2.2. Note that under the assumptions of the previous proposition there is a relationship between compact- G_{δ} tripotents in W (respectively, range tripotents in W) relative to E and compact- G_{δ} tripotents in V (respectively, range tripotents in V) relative to T(E). Indeed, let $x \in E$

be a norm-one element. The sequence x^{2n-1} (respectively, $x^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}$) tends to u(x) (respectively, r(x)) in the weak*-topology of W. Since T is a weak*-continuous triple homomorphism isometric on E, it follows that T(u(x)) = u(T(x)) (respectively, T(r(x)) = r(T(x))). Moreover, since every compact- G_{δ} (respectively, range) tripotent in V relative to T(E) is of the form u(T(x)) (respectively, r(T(x))) for a suitable norm-one element $x \in E$, it is clear that T maps the set of compact- G_{δ} (respectively, range) tripotents in W relative to E onto the set of compact- G_{δ} (respectively, range) tripotents in V relative to T(E).

In [16, Theorem 3.4] it is proved that every minimal tripotent in the bidual of a JB*-triple, E, is compact relative to E. The next corollary shows that this result remains true for every minimal tripotent in a JBW*-triple W for any weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of W.

Let E be a JB*-triple. A subtriple I of E is said to be an *ideal* of E if $\{E, E, I\} + \{E, I, E\} \subseteq I$. We shall say that I is an *inner ideal* of E whenever $\{I, E, I\} \subseteq I$.

If E and F are two JB*-triples, a representation $\pi: E \to F$ is any triple homomorphism from E to F. Let $j: E \to E^{**}$ be the canonical inclusion of E into its bidual. Each weak*-closed ideal I of E^{**} is an M-summand (see [27]). Therefore there exists a weak*-continuous contractive projection $\pi: E^{**} \to I$. The representation $E \to I$ given by $x \mapsto \pi j(x)$ is called the canonical representation of E corresponding to E. Suppose that E is a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of a JBW*-triple E and let E and E the natural inclusion. From [7, Proposition 6], there exists a weak*-closed triple ideal E of E and a triple isomorphism E and satisfying that E is the canonical representation of E corresponding to E.

Corollary 2.3. Let E be a weak*-dense JB^* -subtriple of a JBW^* -triple W. Let M be the weak*-closed triple ideal of E^{**} and let $\Psi:W\to M$ the triple isomorphism described in the above paragraph, satisfying that $\Psi\lambda$ is the canonical representation of E corresponding to M. Let e be a tripotent in W. Then e is compact relative to E in W whenever $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} . In particular, every minimal tripotent in W is compact relative to E.

Proof. Let $\pi: E^{**} \to M$ denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto M. Clearly, π is a surjective weak*-continuous triple homomorphism and if $i: E \to W$ and $j: E \to E^{**}$ denote the canonical inclusions of E into W and E^{**} , respectively, we have $\Psi \circ i = \pi \circ j$.

Let $e \in W$ be a tripotent in W such that $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} . Proposition 2.1 applied to $\pi: E^{**} \to M$, E^{**} and E, gives $\Psi(e)$ compact relative to $\pi(E)$ in M. Again, Proposition 2.1 assures that e is compact relative to E in W.

Finally, if e is minimal in W, that is, $W_2(e) = \mathbb{C}e$, it is not hard to see that $M_2(\Psi(e)) = E_2^{**}(\Psi(e)) = \mathbb{C}\Psi(e)$, and hence $\Psi(e)$ is a minimal tripotent in E^{**} . Therefore, from [16, Theorem 3.4], it follows that $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} , which implies that e is compact relative to E in W. \square

Let x be a norm-one element in a JB*-triple E. Throughout the paper, E_x will denote the norm-closed JB*-subtriple of E generated by x. It is known that E_x is JB*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_0(\Omega)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space Ω contained in [0,1], such that $\Omega \cup \{0\}$ is compact and $C_0(\Omega)$ denotes the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at 0. Moreover, if we denote by Ψ the triple isomorphism from E_x onto $C_0(\Omega)$, then $\Psi(x)(t) = t$ ($t \in \Omega$) (cf. [30, 4.8], [31, 1.15] and [23]).

The following result is a first generalization of Urysohn Lemma to the setting of JB*-triples.

Theorem 2.4. Let E be a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of a JBW*-triple W. Let u, v be two orthogonal tripotents in W with u compact relative to E and v minimal. Then there exist two orthogonal elements a_1 and a_2 in E such that $||a_2|| = 1$, $||a_1|| \in \{0,1\}$, $u \le a_1$ and $v \le a_2$.

Proof. When u = 0, we take $a_1 = 0$ and the existence of a_2 follows from the last statement in Corollary 2.3 (see also [16]). We may therefore assume $u \neq 0$.

Since v is a minimal tripotent in W, from [23, Proposition 4] it follows that there exists $\varphi \in \partial_e((W_*)_1)$ satisfying $\varphi(v) = 1$.

Corollary 2.3 implies v compact relative to E. Now, [22, Proposition 2.3] assures that v and u are closed tripotents relative to E, that is, $W_0(u) \cap E$ and $W_0(v) \cap E$ are subtriples of W which are weak*-dense in $W_0(u)$ and $W_0(v)$, respectively. From the orthogonality of u and v we have $u \in W_0(v)$ and $v \in W_0(u)$.

Let us denote $F = W_0(u) \cap E$. Since [16, Theorem 2.8] remains true when E^{**} is replaced with any JBW*-triple W such that E is weak*-dense in W, then applying this result to F and $W_0(u)$, it follows that for every $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, there exist $y \in F$ and a tripotent $e \in W_0(u)$ such that $e \le v$, $P_i(e)(v-y) = 0$ for i = 1, 2, $||y|| \le (1+\delta)||(P_2(e) + P_1(e))(v)||$ and $|\varphi(v-e)| < \varepsilon$. Since ε can

be chosen arbitrary small and v is a minimal tripotent in $W_0(u)$, we have e = v. The same arguments given in [16, Lemma 3.1] assure the existence of a norm-one element $b_2 \in F$ such that $v \leq b_2$.

Let F_{b_2} denote the JB*-subtriple of F generated by b_2 . As we have commented above, there exists a locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $L \cup \{0\}$ compact such that F_{b_2} is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(L)$ under some surjective isometry denoted by ψ and $\psi(b_2)(t) = t$, for any $t \in L$. Let a_2 and $\widetilde{a}_2 \in F_{b_2}$ the norm-one elements given by the expressions

$$\psi(a_2)(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{3}{4}; \\ \text{affine, } & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \le t \le 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\psi(\widetilde{a}_2)(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2};\\ \text{affine,} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le \frac{3}{4};\\ 1, & \text{if } t \ge \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $v \leq u(b_2) \leq u(a_2) \leq a_2 \leq r(a_2) \leq \widetilde{a}_2$.

Now, Theorem 2.6 in [22] assures the existence of a norm-one element x in E such that $u \leq x$. We define

$$c_1 = P_0(\widetilde{a}_2)(x) := x - 2L(z, z)x + Q(z)^2(x) \in E,$$

where z is the element in $F_{\widetilde{a}_2} = E_{\widetilde{a}_2}$ satisfying $\{z, r(\widetilde{a}_2), z\} = \widetilde{a}_2$ (compare [22, §2]). From [22, Lemma 2.5], we have $c_1 \in E \cap W_0(r(a_2))$, which, in particular, implies that c_1 and a_2 are orthogonal. We claim that

$$L(u, u) c_1 = u.$$

Indeed, since $x \ge u$, then $x = u + P_0(u)(x)$. Moreover, since $z \in F_{\widetilde{a}_2} = E_{\widetilde{a}_2} \subseteq W_0(u)$, it follows, from Peirce rules, that

$$L(u, u)c_1 = \{u, u, x - 2L(z, z)x + Q(z)^2(x)\}\$$

$$= \{u, u, u + P_0(u)(x) - 2L(z, z)(u + P_0(u)(x)) + Q(z)^2(u + P_0(u)(x))\}$$

= $\{u, u, u\} + \{u, u, P_0(u)(x) - 2L(z, z)(P_0(u)(x)) + Q(z)^2(P_0(u)(x))\} = u.$

Again, the same arguments given in [16, Lemma 3.1] imply the existence of a norm-one element $a_1 \in E_{c_1}$ such that $u \leq a_1$.

In the case of von Neumann algebras the above theorem generalizes Theorem II.19 in [1] from the setting of biduals of C*-algebras to the more general setting of von Neumann algebras.

Corollary 2.5. Let A be a weak*-dense C*-subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra W. Let p, q be two orthogonal projections in W with p compact relative to A and q minimal. Then there exist two orthogonal positive elements a_1 and a_2 in A such that $||a_2|| = 1$, $||a_1|| \in \{0,1\}$, $p \le a_1$ and $q \le a_2$.

In some particular triple representations the results stated in Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 can be improved. This is the case of the canonical representation of a JB*-triple into the atomic part of its bidual. We recall that, given a JB*-triple E, then E^{**} decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of two weak*-closed triple ideals A and N, where A (called the atomic part of E^{**}) coincides with the weak*-closure of the linear span of all minimal tripotents in E^{**} , $E^{*} = A_{*} \oplus^{\ell_{1}} N_{*}$ and the closed unit ball of N_{*} has no extreme points, which implies that $\partial_{e}(E_{1}^{*}) = \partial_{e}(A_{*,1})$ (compare [23, Theorems 1 and 2]). If π denotes the natural weak*-continuous projection of E^{**} onto A and $i: E \to E^{**}$ is the canonical inclusion, then the mapping $\pi \circ i: E \to A$ is an isometric triple embedding called the canonical embedding of E into the atomic part of its bidual (see [24, proof of Proposition 1]).

We recall some notation needed in what follows. Let X be a Banach space. For each pair of subsets G, F in the unit ball of X and X^* , respectively, let the subsets G' and F_r be defined by

$$G' = \{ f \in B_{X^*} : f(x) = 1, \ \forall x \in G \}$$

and

$$F_{t} = \{x \in B_{X} : f(x) = 1 \ \forall f \in F\},\$$

respectively.

Proposition 2.6. Let E be a JB^* -triple, let π denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto its atomic part and let $i: E \to E^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of E into its bidual. The following assertions hold

- a) Let u and v be two compact tripotents in E^{**} relative to E. Then $u \le v$ if and only if $\pi(u) \le \pi(v)$.
- b) For each compact tripotent u in $\pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$ there exists a unique compact tripotent e in E^{**} relative to E such that $\pi(e) = u$.

Proof. a) Let us denote $A := \pi(E^{**})$. If $u \le v$ in E^{**} , then $\pi(u) \le \pi(v)$, since π is a triple homomorphism. Suppose now that $\pi(u) \le \pi(v)$. From [18, Theorem 4.4], we have

$$\{\pi(u)\}_{A_*} \subseteq \{\pi(v)\}_{A_*}$$
 (1)

By [20, Theorem 4.5] together with the comments preceding Corollary 3.5 in [16], every non-zero compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E majorises a minimal tripotent of E^{**} . In particular, if e is a compact tripotent in E^{**} with $\pi(e) = 0$, then e = 0. We may therefore assume that $\pi(u)$ and hence $\pi(v)$ are not zero.

From [20, Theorem 4.2], it follows that the sets $\{u\}_{E^*}$ and $\{v\}_{E^*}$ are non-empty $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -compact and convex subsets of E_1^* . By the Krein-Milman theorem we have

$$\{u\}_{E^*} = \overline{co}^{\sigma(E^*,E)} \left(\partial_e(\{u\}_{E^*}) \right)$$
 (2)

$$\{v\}_{r} = \overline{co}^{\sigma(E^*,E)} \left(\partial_e(\{v\}_{r}) \right)$$
(3)

Since $\partial_e(E_1^*) = \partial_e(A_{*,1})$, we have

$$\{\pi(u)\}_{A_*} \cap \partial_e(A_{*,1}) = \{\pi(u)\}_{E^*} \cap \partial_e(E_1^*)$$
$$= \{u\}_{E^*} \cap \partial_e(E_1^*) = \partial_e\left(\{u\}_{E^*}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\{\pi(v)\}_{A_*} \cap \partial_e(A_{*,1}) = \partial_e\left(\{v\}_{E^*}\right).$$

Finally, we deduce, from (1), (2), (3) and the last two expressions, that

$$\{u\}_{E^*}' \subseteq \{v\}_{E^*}',$$

which shows that $u \leq v$ (compare [18, Theorem 4.4]).

b) Let u be a non-zero compact tripotent in $A = \pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$. Then there exists a decreasing net (u_{λ}) of compact- G_{δ} tripotents in A relative to $\pi(E)$ converging in the strong*-topology of A to u. By Remark 2.2, for each λ , there is a norm-one element $x_{\lambda} \in E$ such that

$$u_{\lambda} = u(\pi(x_{\lambda})) = \pi(u(x_{\lambda})).$$

Since $\pi(u(x_{\lambda}))$ is a decreasing net of compact- G_{δ} tripotents, then (a) implies that $(u(x_{\lambda}))$ is a decreasing net in E^{**} . By [20, Theorem 4.5] there exist a non-zero compact tripotent $e \in E^{**}$ relative to E such that e coincides with the infimum of the family $(u(x_{\lambda}))$. Since π is weak*-continuous and $(u(x_{\lambda}))$ tends to e in the weak*-topology of E^{**} , we have that $\pi((u(x_{\lambda})) \to \pi(e))$ in the $\sigma(E^{**}, E^{*})$ -topology, and hence $\pi(e) = u$. Finally, the uniqueness of e follows from (a).

The above result is a partial generalization of Theorem II.17 in [1]. In the more particular setting of JB*-algebras we have:

Corollary 2.7. Let A be a JB^* -algebra, let π denote the canonical projection of A^{**} onto its atomic part and let $i: A \to A^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of A into its bidual. The following assertions hold

- a) Let p and q be two compact projections in A^{**} relative to A. Then $p \leq q$ if and only if $\pi(p) \leq \pi(q)$.
- b) For each compact projection p in $\pi(A^{**})$ relative to $\pi(A)$ there exists a unique compact projection q in A^{**} relative to A such that $\pi(q) = p$. \square

Given a JB*-algebra A, the cone of all positive elements in A will be denoted by A_+ , while A_+^* will denote the set of positive elements in A^* . Let W be a JBW*-algebra. The symbol $Q_*(W)$ will denote the set of all positive elements in W_* with norm less or equal to one. $Q_*(W)$ will be called the normal quasi-state space of W. The normal state space, $S_*(W)$, is the set of all elements in $Q_*(W)$ with norm equals to one. Given a projection P in W we shall denote $P(P) = P_W(P) := \{\varphi \in Q_*(W) : \varphi(P) = \|\varphi\|\}$. If P(P) = P(P) = P(P) is a JB*-algebra, then the set, P(P) = P(P) = P(P) (respectively, P(P) = P(P)).

The following result was proved by M. Neal in [32, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 5.2].

Proposition 2.8. Let A be a JB^* -algebra and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Then we have:

- (a) p is open relative to A if and only if there exists an increasing net (a_{λ}) in $A_{1,+}$ with least upper bound p.
- (b) p is closed relative to A if and only if F(p) is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in Q(A).

The next result gives a characterization of compact projections in JB*-algebra biduals. A similar result was obtained by C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. K. Pedersen in the setting of C*-algebra biduals (see [4, Lemma 2.4]).

Given a JB*-algebra A, $\widetilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ will stand for the result of adjoining a unit to A (compare [26, §3.3]). \widetilde{A} is also called the *unitization* of A.

Proposition 2.9. Let A be a JB^* -algebra and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Then p is compact relative to A if and only if $F(p) \cap S(A)$ is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in Q(A).

Proof. The proof given in [4, Lemma 2.4] can be literally adapted to the present setting. We include here an sketch of the proof for completeness reasons. Suppose first that p is a non-zero compact projection in A^{**} . From [20, theorem 4.2] we have $F(p) \cap S(A) = \{p\}$, is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in Q(A).

Let \widetilde{A} be the unitization of A. Each element $\phi \in Q(\widetilde{A})$ can be written in the form $\phi = \psi + \alpha \phi_0$, with $\psi \in Q(A)$, $\|\phi\| = \|\psi\| + |\alpha|$, where ϕ_0 is the unique state of \widetilde{A} satisfying $\phi_0(A) = 0$ (compare [26, Lemma 3.6.6]). Since $p \in A^{**}$ and hence $\phi_0(p) = 0$, we easily check that

$$F_{A^*}(p) \cap S(A) = F_{\widetilde{A}^*}(p) \cap S(\widetilde{A}).$$

Therefore, $F_{A^*}(p) \cap S(A)$ is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in Q(A) if and only if $F_{\widetilde{A}^*}(p) \cap S(\widetilde{A})$ is $\sigma(\widetilde{A}^*, \widetilde{A})$ -closed in $Q(\widetilde{A})$. By Proposition 2.8, it follows that p is closed in $(\widetilde{A})^{**}$ and in A^{**} . Since, clearly $p \leq 1_{\widetilde{A}}$, we deduce from [22, Theorem 2.6] that p is compact in $(\widetilde{A})^{**}$ relative to \widetilde{A} . Let p_0 be the minimal projection in $(\widetilde{A})^{**}$ satisfying $\phi_0(p_0) = 1$. Theorem 2.4 implies the existence of a norm-one element $x \in \widetilde{A}$ such that p_0 and x are orthogonal and $L(p,p)x = x \circ p = p$. In particular $x \in A$, which gives p compact in A^{**} relative to A (compare [22, Theorem 2.6]).

Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E. Throughout the paper, we shall identify the weak*-closure of B in E^{**} with B^{**} . Let x be a normone element and let E(x) denote the norm closure of $\{x, E, x\}$ in E. It was proved by L. J. Bunce, Ch.-H. Chu and B. Zalar in [14, 15], that E(x) coincides with the norm-closed inner ideal of E generated by x, E(x) is a JB*-subalgebra of the JBW*-algebra $E(x)^{**} = E_2^{**}(r(x))$, where r(x) is the range tripotent of x in E^{**} . Moreover, $x \in E(x)_+$.

We can now state the following version of Urysohn lemma which is a partial generalization of the result obtained by C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. K. Pedersen in [4, Lemma 2.5] (see also [3, Lemma III.1], [11, Corollary 2.48], [5, Lemma 2.7]).

Theorem 2.10. Let E be a JB^* -triple, x a norm-one element in E and u a compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E satisfying that $u \leq r(x)$. Then there exists a norm-one element y in E(x) such that $u \leq y \leq r(x)$. Moreover, u is a compact tripotent in $E_2^{**}(r(x)) = (E(x))^{**}$ relative to E(x).

Proof. We may assume that $0 \neq u \leq r(x)$. From [20, Theorem 4.2], there exists a set of norm-one elements $\{a_{\lambda}\} \subset E$ satisfying that

$$\{u\}_{E^*}' = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{u(a_\lambda)\}, = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{a_\lambda\}'. \tag{4}$$

Since $u \le r(x)$, then u is a projection in $E(x)^{**} = E_2^{**}(r(x))$.

Since E(x) is a norm-closed inner ideal of E, it follows from [19, Theorem 2.6] every element $\varphi \in E(x)^*$ has a unique norm-preserving linear extension to E. The restriction mapping $\Psi: E_1^* \to E(x)_1^*$, $\phi \mapsto \phi|_{E(x)}$, is $\sigma(E^*, E) - \sigma(E(x)^*, E(x))$ -continuous. Let $\phi \in \{u\}_{E^*}$. Since u is a projection in $E_2^{**}(r(x))$ and $\phi(u) = 1 = \|\phi|_{E_2^{**}(r(x))}\|$ we deduce that $\phi|_{E_2^{**}(r(x))}$ belongs to $S_*(E_2^{**}(r(x))) = S(E(x))$, and hence $\|\phi|_{E(x)}\| = 1$. Again, the unique extension property (see [19, Theorem 2.6]) assures that

$$F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x)) = \{u\}_{E(x)^*} = \Psi(\{u\}_{E^*}).$$

If we show that $F_{E(x)^*}(u)\cap S(E(x))$ is $\sigma(E(x)^*,E(x))$ -closed in Q(E(x)), the thesis of the theorem will follow from Proposition 2.9. To see this, let (φ_{μ}) be a net in $F_{E(x)^*}(u)\cap S(E(x))$ converging to some φ in $F_{E(x)^*}(u)\cap S(E(x))$ in the $\sigma(E(x)^*,E(x))$ -topology. Since Ψ is surjective, there exist a net (ϕ_{μ}) in $\{u\}_{E^*}$ and $\phi\in E_1^*$ such that $\Psi(\phi_{\mu})=\varphi_{\mu}$ and $\Psi(\phi)=\varphi$. Since E_1^* is $\sigma(E^*,E)$ -compact, there exists a subnet (ϕ_{δ}) converging to some ϕ' in the $\sigma(E^*,E)$ -topology. For each $\lambda\in\Lambda$ we have $\phi_{\delta}(a_{\lambda})\to\phi'(a_{\lambda})$. In particular, since $(\phi_{\delta})\subset\{u\}_{E^*}$, we have, by (4), $\phi_{\delta}(a_{\lambda})=1$ for all δ,λ , which implies $\phi'\in\{u\}_{E^*}$. Finally, $\Psi(\phi_{\delta})=\varphi_{\delta}$ tends to $\Psi(\phi')$ in the $\sigma(E(x)^*,E(x))$ -topology, thus

$$\varphi = \Psi(\phi) = \Psi(\phi^{'}) \in \Psi\left(\left\{u\right\}_{E^{*}}^{'}\right) = F_{E(x)^{*}}(u) \cap S(E(x)),$$

which finishes the proof.

Theorem 2.10 allows us to get the following generalization of [1, Theorem II.17] and [3].

Proposition 2.11. Let E be a JB^* -triple, let π denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto its atomic part and let $i: E \to E^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of E into its bidual. Then, for each range tripotent e in $\pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$ there exists a unique range tripotent r in E^{**} relative to E such that $\pi(r) = e$.

Proof. Remark 2.2 assures the existence of such a tripotent, so the proof ends by proving the uniqueness. Suppose that there exist norm-one elements $x, y \in E$ such that $\pi(r(x)) = \pi(r(y)) = e$. By [31], there exist a locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $L \cup \{0\}$ compact such

that E_x is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(L)$. Let us define $u_n = \chi_{L \cap [1/n,1]}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, u_n is a compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E and u_n is an increasing sequence converging to r(x) in the weak*-topology of E^{**} . $\pi(u_n) \leq \pi(r(x)) = e = \pi(r(y))$ and by Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.10 there is a sequence of norm-one elements $(z_n) \subset E(y)$ satisfying that $\pi(u_n) \leq u(\pi(z_n)) \leq \pi(z_n) \leq \pi(r(y))$. Again, Proposition 2.6 gives $u_n \leq u(z_n) \leq r(y)$. Finally, since $E_2^{**}(r(y))$ is weak*-closed and (u_n) tends to r(x) in the weak*-topology we have $r(x) \leq r(y)$. Symmetrically, we get $r(y) \leq r(x)$.

Remark 2.12. Let x and y be two norm-one elements in a JB*-triple E. Suppose that π is the projection of E^{**} onto its atomic part. In the proof of the above proposition we showed that $r(x) \leq r(y)$ if, and only if, $\pi(r(x)) \leq \pi(r(y))$. This result remains true for open tripotents, the proof follows from a recent paper by A. Steptoe (We are indebted to L. J. Bunce for telling us about Steptoe's results). Theorem 8.3 in [40] assures that whenever J and I are two norm-closed inner ideals of E, then $I \subseteq J$ if, and only if, $\partial_e(I^*_1) \subseteq \partial_e(J^*_1)$, which is equivalent to $\pi(I^{**}) \subseteq \pi(J^{**})$. Suppose that e and f are two open tripotents in E^{**} relative to E with $\pi(e) \leq \pi(f)$. Since $E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and $E \cap E_2^{**}(f)$ are two norm-closed inner ideals of E and $\pi(e) \leq \pi(f)$, we have $\pi(E \cap E_2^{**}(e)) \subseteq \pi(E \cap E_2^{**}(f))$. Thus, by [40, Theorem 8.3], we have $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(f)$, and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ always follows from $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ always follows from $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and hence $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if, $E \cap E_2^{**}(e) \subseteq E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if $E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and the contraction of $E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and the contraction of $E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ are two only if $E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ and E

In the setting of C*-algebras, C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. Pedersen proved, in [4, Proposition 2.6], the following stronger version of the Urysohn Lemma. Let A be a C*-algebra and let p and q be two closed orthogonal projections in A^{**} with p compact and $||ap|| < \varepsilon$ for some a in A. Then there are orthogonal open projections $r, s \in A^{**}$ such that $p \leq r$, $q \leq s$ and $||ar|| < \varepsilon$. We do not know if we can obtain a similar result in the setting of JB*-triples.

Problem 2.13. Let E be a JB*-triple and let e, f be two non-zero orthogonal compact tripotents in E^{**} relative to E. Do there exist orthogonal norm-one elements x, y in E such that $e \le x$ and $f \le y$?

Problem 2.14. Can be replace in Theorem 2.10 the range tripotent, r(x), with any open tripotent in E^{**} relative to E?

3 Connections with the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for C*-algebras and JB*-triples

As we have commented in the introduction, the generalizations of Urysohn Lemma to the setting of non-commutative C*-algebras are closely related with the general Stone-Weierstrass problem for non-commutative C*-algebras. This tool has been intensively developed and applied to the Stone-Weierstrass problem in papers like [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and [11].

The Stone-Weierstrass problem for C*-algebras can be concretely stated as follows:

Let B be a C*-subalgebra of a C*-algebra A. Suppose that B separates the pure states of A and zero. Is B equal to A?

I. Kaplansky gave a positive answer to the above problem for the special class of Type I C*-algebras in [29]. For general C*-algebras, many authors gave partial answer to the Stone-Weierstrass problem by including various additional conditions (see for example [29, 28, 25, 1, 2, 37, 21, 12, 6] and [10] among others).

We are particularly interested in the following Stone-Weierstrass type Theorem proved by C. A. Akemann in [2, Theorem II.7].

Theorem 3.1. Let B be a C*-subalgebra of a unital C*-algebra A such that B separates the pure states of A and zero. Suppose that for every pair of orthogonal projections p, q in A^{**} with q minimal and p compact relative to A, there exists orthogonal (positive) elements x, y in B such that ||y|| = 1, $||x|| \in \{0,1\}, p \le x$ and $q \le y$. Then B = E.

In the statement of [2, Theorem II.7] it is not explicitly included in the hypothesis that B separates the pure states of A and zero. However, the proof uses the results in [1, §3], where this condition is assumed (see [1, page 285] and [2, page 305]).

In the setting of JB-algebras and JB*-triples an intensive study of the Stone-Weierstrass problem was developed by B. Sheppard [38, 39]. Among others results, B. Sheppard generalizes the result obtained by Kaplansky for postliminal JB*-algebras and JB*-triples in the following result.

Theorem 3.2. [39, Theorem 5.7] Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E such that B separates the extreme points of the closed unit ball of E^* . Then, if E or B is postliminal, E = B.

The aim of this section is an analysis of the connections between the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and the Urysohn lemma type results for JB*-triples, analogous to that made by C. A. Akemann in the setting of C*-algebras.

The following definition is inspired on Urysohn Lemma for JB*-triples proved in Theorem 2.4. We introduce this property just to simplify the notation in this paper.

Definition 3.3. Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E. We say that B satisfies the SW-property with respect to E if and only if for every couple of orthogonal tripotents u, v in E^{**} with v minimal and u compact relative to E, there exist orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that ||y|| = 1, $||x|| \in \{0, 1\}$, $u \le x$ and $v \le y$. When u = 0, then we mean x = 0 in $u \le x$.

Theorem 2.4 shows that every JB*-triple has the SW-property with respect to itself.

Lemma 3.4. Let A be a JBW^* -algebra and let p,q be minimal projections in A. Suppose that $q = q_2 + q_1 + q_0$ is the Peirce decomposition of q with respect to p and φ_q in $\partial_e(A_{*,1})$ such that $\varphi_q(q) = 1$. Then, either p = q or $\varphi_q(q_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. By [26, 2.4.16 and 2.4.21] we have

$$P_2(p) = U_p^2 \circ * = U_{p^2} \circ *,$$

 $P_0(p) = U_{1-p} \circ *,$

where $U_p(x) := \{p, x^*, p\}$ and * denotes the canonical involution of A. Suppose that $\varphi_q(q_0) = 0$. We claim that q = p. Indeed, by [23, Proposition 1] and the hypothesis we have

$$0 = \varphi_a(q_0) = \varphi_a(U_{1-n}(q)) = \varphi_a(U_aU_{1-n}(q)).$$

Since q is minimal and φ_q is faithful in $A_2(q) = \mathbb{C}q$, we have

$$U_q U_{1-p}(q) = 0.$$

Now by [26, 2.4.18] it follows that

$$U_q U_{1-p}(q) = U_q U_{1-p} U_q(q) = U_{\{q,1-p,q\}}(q) = 0.$$

However, since $1 - p \ge 0$, by [26, 3.3.6], we have $\{q, 1 - p, q\}$ is a positive element in $A_2(q)$. Moreover, since q is the unit element in $A_2(q)$ and $U_{\{q,1-p,q\}}(q) = 0$, it follows that $\{q, 1-p, q\} = q - P_2(q)p = 0$. Finally, the equality p = q can be derived from the minimality of p, since $q - P_2(q)p = 0$ and [23, Lemma 1.6] imply that $p = q + P_0(q)p$.

Let E be a JB*-triple. Throughout the paper MinTri(E) will stand for the set of all minimal tripotents in E.

Theorem 3.5. Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E. Suppose that for every $u \neq v$ in $MinTri(E) \cup \{0\}$, with u and v orthogonal, there exist orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that $||y||, ||x|| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$ (if u = 0 or v = 0, we mean x = 0 or y = 0, respectively). Then B separates $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ in $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$. If $\varphi_1 = 0$, then there is a minimal tripotent u_2 in E^{**} such that $\varphi_2(u_2) = 1$ (compare [23, Proposition 4]). Now, the hypothesis on B applied to 0 and u_2 , assure the existence of orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that $||y||, ||x|| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $0 \leq x$ and $u_2 \leq y$. In particular $0 = \varphi_1(y) \neq \varphi_2(y) = 1$. We may therefore assume $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \neq 0$.

Take $u_1 \neq u_2$ minimal tripotents in E^{**} , such that $\varphi_i(u_i) = 1$, for i = 1, 2. As we have commented in the previous paragraph, the hypothesis imply the existence of a norm-one element $a \in B$, such that $u_1 \leq a$ and hence $\varphi_1(a) = 1$. If $\varphi_2(a) \neq 1$, then B separates φ_1, φ_2 and we finish. We may therefore assume that $\varphi_2(a) = 1$. In this case, by [23, Propositions 1, 2 and Lemma 1.6] $u_2 \leq a$. Therefore, $u_1, u_2 \leq a \leq r(a)$, which implies that u_1 and u_2 are minimal projections in the JBW*-algebra $E_2^{**}(r(a))$. From Lemma 3.4 and the hypothesis, we have $\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2)) \neq 0$. Moreover, from [8, page 258], it follows that $0 < |\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2))| \leq ||\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2))||_{\varphi_2}$.

Let A denote the atomic part of E^{**} . Clearly, $P_0(u_1)(A) \subset A$ and hence $P_0(u_1)(A)$ coincides with the weak*-closure of the linear span of $\operatorname{MinTri}(E^{**}) \cap E_0^{**}(u_1)$ (compare [23]). Since $0 < |\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2))|$ we have $\varphi_2|_{P_0(u_1)(A)} \neq 0$, and hence there exists a minimal tripotent $w \in \operatorname{MinTri}(E^{**}) \cap E_0^{**}(u_1)$, such that $0 < \varphi_2(w) \leq ||w||_{\varphi_2}$.

Finally, by hypothesis, there are two orthogonal norm-one elements x, y in B such that $u_1 \leq x$ and $w \leq y$. In particular $0 < ||w||_{\varphi_2} \leq ||y||_{\varphi_2}$ and $\varphi_1(x) = 1$. Therefore,

$$|\varphi_2(x)|^2 \le ||x||_{\varphi_2}^2 < ||x||_{\varphi_2}^2 + ||y||_{\varphi_2}^2 = ||x+y||_{\varphi_2}^2 \le ||x+y||^2 = 1,$$

which proves the desired statements.

Since every minimal tripotent in the bidual of a JB*-triple is compact (see [16, Theorem 3.4]) we have:

Corollary 3.6. Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E. Suppose that B has the SW-property with respect to E. Then B separates $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$.

The significant results obtained by B. Sheppard on the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for JB*-triples in [39] allow us to get the following result connecting the SW-property and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for postliminal JB*-triples.

Corollary 3.7. Let B a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E. Suppose that B has the SW-property with respect to E, and E or B is postliminal. Then B = E.

Proof. This follows from Theorems 3.5 and 3.2 (see [39, Theorem 5.7]). \square

Remark 3.8. Let A be a C*-algebra regarded as a JB*-triple and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Let \circ denote the Jordan product on A. Suppose that x is a norm-one element in A such that L(p,p)x=p (that is, $p \leq x$ in A^{**} regarded the latter as a JB*-triple), and hence $x=p+P_0(p)(x)$. In this case $L(p,p)(x\circ x^*)=p$. This shows that $p\leq x\circ x^*$.

Now, the proof given in Theorem 3.5 can be literally adapted, via Remark 3.8, to show that the assumption of B separating the pure states of A and zero can be dropped in Theorem 3.1 (see also [2, Theorem II.7]).

Corollary 3.9. Let B be a C*-subalgebra of a C*-algebra A. Suppose that for every pair of orthogonal projections p, q in A^{**} with q minimal and p compact relative to A, there exists orthogonal (positive) elements x, y in B such that ||y|| = 1, $||x|| \in \{0,1\}$, $p \le x$ and $q \le y$. Then B = A.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.5 can be literally followed up to its last part. To finish, in this case, we note that the element w can be chosen as a minimal projection, for example ww^* or w^*w .

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank L. J. Bunce for fruitful comments and discussions during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] Akemann, C. A., The general Stone-Weierstrass problem, *J. Functional Analysis* 4, 277-294 (1969).
- [2] Akemann, C. A., Left ideal structure of C*-algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **6**, 305-317 (1970).
- [3] Akemann, C. A., A Gelfand representation theory for C*-algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **39**, 1-11 (1971).

- [4] Akemann, C. A., Anderson, J. and Pedersen, G. K., Approaching infinity in C*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 21, no. 2, 255-271 (1989).
- [5] Akemann, C. A. and Pedersen, G. K., Facial structure in operator algebra theory, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **64**, no. 2, 418-448 (1992).
- [6] Anderson, J. and Bunce, J. W., Stone-Weierstrass theorems for separable C*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 6, no. 2, 363-374 (1981).
- [7] Barton, T. J., Dang, T. and Horn, G., Normal representations of Banach Jordan triple systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102, no. 3, 551-555 (1988).
- [8] Barton, T. J. and Friedman, Y., Bounded derivations of JB*-triples, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 41, no. 163, 255-268 (1990).
- [9] Barton, T. J. and Timoney, R. M., Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, *Math. Scand.* **59**, 177-191 (1986).
- [10] Batty, C. J. K., Semiperfect C*-algebras and the Stone-Weierstrass problem, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34, no. 1, 97-110 (1986).
- [11] Brown, L. G., Semicontinuity and multipliers of C*-algebras, Canad. J. Math. 40, no. 4, 865-988 (1988).
- [12] Bunce, J. W., Approximating maps and a Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79**, no. 4, 559-563 (1980).
- [13] Bunce, L. J., Norm preserving extensions in JBW*-triple preduals, Q. J. Math. 52, no. 2, 133-136 (2001).
- [14] Bunce, L. J., Chu, C. H. and Zalar, B., Classification of sequentially weakly continuous JB*-triples, *Math. Z.* **234**, no. 1, 191-208 (2000).
- [15] Bunce, L. J., Chu, C. H. and Zalar, B., Structure spaces and decomposition in JB*-triples, *Math. Scand.* **86**, no. 1, 17-35 (2000).
- [16] Bunce, L. J., Fernández-Polo, F. J., Martínez-Moreno, J. and Peralta, A. M., Saitô-Tomita-Lusin Theorem for JB*-triple and aplications, to appear in *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*.
- [17] Dineen, S. The second dual of a JB*-triple system, In: Complex analysis, functional analysis and approximation theory (ed. by J. Múgica), 67-69, (North-Holland Math. Stud. 125), North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1986.

- [18] Edwards, C. M. and Rüttimann, G. T.,On the facial structure of the unit balls in a JBW*-triple and its predual, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **38**, no. 2, 317-332 (1988).
- [19] Edwards, C. M. and Rüttimann G. T., A characterization of inner ideals in JB*-triples, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**, no. 4, 1049-1057 (1992).
- [20] Edwards, C. M. and Rüttimann, G. T., Compact tripotents in bi-dual JB*-triples, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120**, no. 1, 155-173 (1996).
- [21] Elliott, G. A., Another weak Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras, Canad. Math. Bull. 15, 355-357 (1972).
- [22] Fernández-Polo, F. J. and Peralta, A. M., Closed tripotents and weak compactness in the dual space of a JB*-triple, preprint 2005.
- [23] Friedman, Y. and Russo, B., Structure of the predual of a JBW*-triple, J. Reine u. Angew. Math. 356, 67-89 (1985).
- [24] Friedman, Y. and Russo, B., The Gelfand-Naimark theorem for JB*-triples, *Duke Math. J.* **53**, no. 1, 139-148 (1986).
- [25] Glimm, J., A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras, Ann. of Math.(2) 72 216-244 (1960).
- [26] Hanche-Olsen, H. and Størmer, E., Jordan operator algebras, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 21. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [27] Horn, G., Characterization of the predual and ideals structure of a JBW*-triple, *Math. Scand.* **61**, 117-133 (1987).
- [28] Kadison, R. V., Irreducible operator algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 43, 273-276 (1957).
- [29] Kaplansky, I., The structure of certain operator algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 70, 219-255 (1951).
- [30] Kaup, W., Algebraic Characterization of symmetric complex Banach manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **228**, 39-64 (1977).
- [31] Kaup, W., A Riemann Mapping Theorem for bounded symmentric domains in complex Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **183**, 503-529 (1983).

- [32] Neal, M., Inner ideals and facial structure of the quasi-state space of a JB-algebra, J. Funct. Anal. 173, no. 2, 284-307 (2000).
- [33] Pedersen, G. K., C*-algebras and their automorphism groups, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1979.
- [34] Peralta, A. M. and Rodríguez Palacios, A., Grothendieck's inequalities for real and complex JBW*-triples, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **83**, no. 3, 605-625 (2001).
- [35] Popa, S., Semiregular maximal abelian *-subalgebras and the solution to the factor state Stone-Weierstrass problem, *Invent. Math.* **76**, no. 1, 157-161 (1984).
- [36] Rodríguez, A., On the strong* topology of a JBW*-triple, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 42, 99-103 (1989).
- [37] Sakai, S., On the Stone-Weierstrass theorem of C*-algebras, *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2) **22**, 191-199 (1970).
- [38] Sheppard, B., A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for postliminal JB-algebras, Q. J. Math. **52**, no. 4, 507-518 (2001).
- [39] Sheppard, B., A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for JB*-triples, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 65, no. 2, 381-396 (2002).
- [40] Steptoe, A., Extreme Functionals and Inner Ideals in JB*-Triples, preprint 2005.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.

e-mails: pacopolo@ugr.es and aperalta@ugr.es