

NUMERICAL INDEX AND DAUGAVET PROPERTY FOR $L_\infty(\mu, X)$

MIGUEL MARTÍN AND ARMANDO R. VILLENA

ABSTRACT. We prove that the space $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ has the same numerical index as the Banach space X for every σ -finite measure μ . We also show that $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ has the Daugavet property if and only if X has or μ is atomless.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of numerical index was first suggested by G. Lumer in 1968. Since then a lot of attention has been paid to this quantitative characteristic of a Banach space. Classical references here are [2, 3]. For recent results we refer the reader to [7, 8, 9].

Here and subsequently, for a real or complex Banach space X , we write B_X for the closed unit ball and S_X for the unit sphere of X . The dual space is denoted by X^* and the Banach algebra of all continuous linear operators on X is denoted by $L(X)$. The *numerical range* of $T \in L(X)$ is

$$V(T) = \sup\{x^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}.$$

The *numerical radius* is the seminorm defined on $L(X)$ by

$$v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T)\}$$

for each $T \in L(X)$. The *numerical index* of the space X is defined by

$$n(X) = \inf\{v(T) : T \in S_{L(X)}\}.$$

In this paper we prove that the numerical index of $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ coincides with the numerical index of X whenever μ is a σ -finite measure and X is an arbitrary Banach space. It should be pointed out that this result is the analogous to those given in [9] for $C(K, X)$, $L_1(\mu, X)$, and $l_\infty(X)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20, 47A12.

Research partially supported by Spanish MCYT project no. BFM2000-1467 and by Spanish DGICYT grant no. PB98-1358.

The numerical index is quite related to the so-called Daugavet property (see [9]). The remarkable fact that every compact operator T on $C[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$(DE) \quad \|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$$

goes back to I. Daugavet [4] and this identity has currently become known as the *Daugavet equation*. We follow [6] in saying that a Banach space X has the *Daugavet property* if every rank-one operator $T \in L(X)$ satisfies (DE). In such a case, it is known that every weakly compact operator on X also satisfies the Daugavet equation. Consequently, this definition is equivalent to that given in [1]. For recent results we refer the reader to [6, 11, 12] and the references therein.

It is known that $C(K)$, $L_1(\mu)$, and $L_\infty(\mu)$ have the Daugavet property provided the compact K is perfect and the measure μ is atomless (see [12] for a detailed account of these facts). The noncommutative versions have been recently obtained in [10]. It is also known that, for every Banach space X , $C(K, X)$ (resp. $L_1(\mu, X)$) has the Daugavet property if and only if X has or K is perfect (resp. μ is atomless) (see [9]).

In this paper, we show that $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ has the Daugavet property if and only if X has or the σ -finite measure μ is atomless. This extends an analogous result for $l_\infty(X)$ given in [13].

Throughout the paper, (Ω, Σ, μ) stands for a σ -finite measure space and X stands for an arbitrary Banach space. We write $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ for the Banach space of all equivalence classes of essentially bounded (Bochner) measurable functions from Ω into X , endowed with its natural norm

$$\|f\| = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 : \|f(t)\| \leq \lambda \text{ a.e.}\}$$

for each $f \in L_\infty(\mu, X)$. To shorten the notation, we use the same letter to denote both a measurable function and its equivalence class. We refer to [5] for background on this topic.

2. THE RESULTS

To generalize the fact given in [9] that $n(l_\infty(X)) = n(X)$, we require two preliminary results. The first one is well-known for scalar-valued functions.

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in L_\infty(\mu, X)$ with $\|f(t)\| > \lambda$ a.e. Then there exists $B \in \Sigma$ with $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ such that*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f(t) d\mu(t) \right\| > \lambda.$$

Proof. Since $f(\Omega)$ is essentially separable, we can certainly assume that X is separable. Hence we can write $X \setminus \lambda B_X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$, where B_n are closed balls. Therefore, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A = f^{-1}(B_n)$ has positive measure. Let $B \in \Sigma$ such that $B \subseteq A$ and $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$. By convexity (see [5, Corollary II.2.8]), $\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f(t) d\mu(t)$ is contained in B_n , and the result follows. \square

Again according to the fact that every function in $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ is essentially separably valued, it follows immediately the following result that we shall use throughout the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in L_\infty(\mu, X)$, $C \in \Sigma$ with positive measure, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq C$ with $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ such that $\|x\| = \|f \chi_C\|$ and $\|(f - x)\chi_A\| < \varepsilon$. Accordingly, the set*

$\{x \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} : x \in S_X, f \in B_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}, A \in \Sigma \text{ with } 0 < \mu(A) < \infty\}$
is dense in $S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$.

Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 3. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let X be a Banach space. Then*

$$n(L_\infty(\mu, X)) = n(X).$$

Proof. In order to show that $n(L_\infty(\mu, X)) \geq n(X)$, we fix $T \in L(L_\infty(\mu, X))$ with $\|T\| = 1$. The procedure is to prove that $v(T) \geq n(X)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we may find $f \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$, $x_0 \in S_X$, and $A, B \in \Sigma$ with $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$, such that

$$(1) \quad B \subseteq A \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B T(x_0 \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) d\mu \right\| > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Indeed, take $f \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$ and $C \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mu(C) > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|[Tf](t)\| > 1 - \varepsilon/2 \quad (t \in C).$$

On account of Lemma 2, there exist $y_0 \in B_X$ and $A \subseteq C$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\|(f - y_0)\chi_A\| < \varepsilon/2$. Now, write $y_0 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $x_1, x_2 \in S_X$, and consider the functions

$$f_j = x_j \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} \in L_\infty(\mu, X) \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

which clearly satisfy $\|f - (\lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda)f_2)\| < \varepsilon/2$. Since $A \subseteq C$, by using (2), we have

$$\|[Tf_1](t)\| > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \|[Tf_2](t)\| > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for every $t \in A$. Now, we choose $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$A_i = \{t \in A : \|[Tf_i](t)\| > 1 - \varepsilon\}$$

has positive measure, we write $x_0 = x_i$, and we finally use Lemma 1 to get $B \subseteq A_i \subseteq A$ satisfying our requirements.

Next we fix $x_0^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$, we write

$$\Phi(x) = x \chi_A + x_0^*(x) f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} \in L_\infty(\mu, X) \quad (x \in X),$$

and we consider the operator $S \in L(X)$ given by

$$Sx = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B T(\Phi(x)) \, d\mu \quad (x \in X).$$

According to (1), we have $\|S\| \geq \|Sx_0\| > 1 - \varepsilon$. So we may find $x \in S_X$ and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that

$$x^*(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |x^*(Sx)| \geq n(X)[1 - \varepsilon].$$

Set $g = \Phi(x) \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$ and define the functional $g^* \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)^*}$ by

$$g^*(h) = x^* \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B h \, d\mu \right) \quad (h \in L_\infty(\mu, X)).$$

Since $B \subseteq A$, we have $g^*(g) = 1$ and

$$|g^*(Tg)| = |x^*(Sx)| \geq n(X)[1 - \varepsilon].$$

Hence $v(T) \geq n(X)$, as required.

For the reverse inequality, we fix $S \in L(X)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ and define $T \in L(L_\infty(\mu, X))$ by

$$[T(f)](t) = S(f(t)) \quad (t \in \Omega, f \in L_\infty(\mu, X)).$$

Then $\|T\| = 1$ and so $v(T) \geq n(L_\infty(\mu, X))$. According to Lemma 2 together with [2, Theorem 9.3], given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $x \in S_X$, $f \in B_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$, $A \in \Sigma$ with $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$, and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $x^*(x) = 1$ such that

$$v(T) - \varepsilon < \left| x^* \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A T(x \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) \, d\mu \right) \right|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A T(x \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) \, d\mu = S \left(\frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A (x \chi_A + f \chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) \, d\mu \right) = Sx.$$

Therefore,

$$n(L_\infty(\mu, X)) - \varepsilon \leq v(T) - \varepsilon < |x^*(Sx)| \leq v(S)$$

and so $n(X) \geq n(L_\infty(\mu, X))$. \square

The last part of the paper is dedicated to study the Daugavet property for $L_\infty(\mu, X)$. To this end, we need a characterization of this property given in [12, Corollary 2.3].

Lemma 4. *X has the Daugavet property if and only if for every $x \in S_X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$B_X = \overline{\text{co}}\{y \in B_X : \|x - y\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Since the proof of the non-easy part of the following result is analogous to that given in [12] for $C(K, X)$, it should be known to experts. Although, we did not find it in the journal literature.

Theorem 5. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let X be a Banach space. Then $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ has the Daugavet property if and only if X has or μ is atomless.*

Proof. Let us first suppose that μ is atomless. Set $f \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $B \in \Sigma$ with

$$\mu(B) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f(t)\| > 1 - \varepsilon/2 \quad (t \in B).$$

Given $h \in S_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take B_1, \dots, B_n pairwise disjoint subsets of B with positive measure and we consider the function

$$g_j = h \chi_{\Omega \setminus B_j} - f \chi_{B_j} \in B_{L_\infty(\mu, X)}$$

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For every $t \in B_j$ we have

$$\left\| h(t) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t) \right\| = \frac{1}{n} \|h(t) + f(t)\| \leq \frac{2}{n},$$

and for $t \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j$ we have $h(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t)$. Since $\|f - g_j\| > 2 - \varepsilon$, the above lemma shows that $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ has the Daugavet property.

To finish the proof, we write $L_\infty(\mu, X)$ in the form $L_\infty(\nu, X) \oplus_\infty [\bigoplus_{i \in I} X]_{l_\infty}$ for a suitable set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and an atomless measure ν . Now, it should be noted that an l_∞ -sum of Banach spaces has the Daugavet property if and only if every summand has [13]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich, C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *The Daugavet equation in uniformly convex Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **97** (1991), 215–230.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **2**, Cambridge 1971.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **10**, Cambridge 1973.
- [4] I. K. Daugavet, *On a property of completely continuous operators in the space C* , Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **18** (1963), 157–158 (Russian).

- [5] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys **15**, AMS, Providence 1977.
- [6] V. M. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin and D. Werner, *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 855–873.
- [7] G. López, M. Martín and R. Payá, *Real Banach spaces with numerical index 1*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 207–212.
- [8] M. Martín, *A survey on the numerical index of a Banach space*, Extracta Math. **15** (2000), 265–276.
- [9] M. Martín and R. Payá, *Numerical index of vector-valued function spaces*, Studia Math. **142** (2000), 269–280.
- [10] T. Oikhberg, *The Daugavet property of C^* -algebras and non-commutative L_p -spaces*, to appear in Positivity.
- [11] R. V. Shvidkoy, *Geometric aspects of the Daugavet property*, J. Funct. Anal. **176** (2000), 198–212.
- [12] D. Werner, *Recent progress on the Daugavet property*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin **46** (2001), 77–97.
- [13] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Some remark on the Daugavet equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 1047–1052.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN), *E-mail* : mmartins@ugr.es, avillena@ugr.es