# When the typical operator is norm attaining?

## **Miguel Martín**

Universidad de Granada / IMAG



## 2023 ILJU School of Mathematics





## Preliminaries

## Section 1

#### **1** Preliminaries

- Notation
- Introducing the topic

## The minicourse is mainly based on the paper





Mingu Jung (KIAS, Korea)



Abraham Rueda Zoca (Granada, Spain)

## Preliminaries

Section 1



Introducing the topic

## Notation

- $X,\,Y$  real or complex Banach spaces
  - $\mathbb{K}$  base field  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
  - $\blacksquare$   $\mathbb T$  modulus one scalars,
  - $B_X = \{x \in X : ||x|| \leq 1\}$  closed unit ball of X,
  - $S_X = \{x \in X \colon ||x|| = 1\}$  unit sphere of X,
  - $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}(C)$  closed convex hull of C,
  - $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  bounded linear operators from X to Y,
    - $||T|| = \sup\{||T(x)|| \colon x \in S_X\},\$
  - $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$  compact linear operators from X to Y,
  - $\mathcal{F}(X,Y)$  bounded linear operators from X to Y with finite rank,
  - $X^* = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$  topological dual of X.

## Preliminaries

Section 1



- Notation
- Introducing the topic

## Norm attaining functionals

### Norm attaining functionals

 $x^* \in X^*$  attains its norm when

 $\exists x \in S_X : |x^*(x)| = ||x^*||$ 

★ NA(X,  $\mathbb{K}$ ) := { $x^* \in X^* : x^*$  attains its norm}

#### Examples and comments

- $\dim(X) < \infty \implies \operatorname{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$  (Heine-Borel).
- X reflexive  $\iff$  NA $(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$  (Hahn-Banach, James).

$$\mathsf{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = c_{00} \leqslant \ell_1,$$

 $\blacksquare \operatorname{NA}(\ell_1, \mathbb{K}) = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty \colon \|x\|_\infty = \max_n \{|x(n)|\} \right\} \subseteq \ell_\infty, \text{ residual, contains } c_0,$ 

■  $NA(X, \mathbb{K})$  may be "wild", for instance:

■ it may contain NO two-dimensional subspaces (Read, 2017; Rmoutil, 2017),

- it can be NOT norm Borel (Kaufman, 1991).
- (Petunin–Plichko 1974; Godefroy 1987): X separable,  $Z \leq X^*$  closed, separating for  $X, Z \subseteq NA(X, \mathbb{K}) \implies Z$  is an isometric predual of X.

## Norm attaining operators

Norm attaining operators

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  attains its norm when

 $\exists x \in S_X : ||T(x)|| = ||T||$ 

★ NA(X, Y) := { $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ : T attains its norm}

#### Some examples and comments

- $\blacksquare \dim(X) < \infty \implies \operatorname{NA}(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \text{ for every } Y \text{ (Heine-Borel),}$
- $\dim(X) = \infty \implies \operatorname{NA}(X, c_0) \neq \mathcal{L}(X, c_0)$  (see M.-Merí-Payá, 2006).
- X reflexive  $\iff \mathcal{K}(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{NA}(X,Y)$  for every Y (James).

$$\mathcal{L}(X,\ell_{\infty}) = \left[ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(X,\mathbb{K}) \right]_{\ell_{\infty}} = \ell_{\infty}(X^*).$$

$$\mathrm{NA}(X,\ell_{\infty}) = \left\{ (x_n^*) \in \ell_{\infty}(X^*) \colon \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \|x_k^*\| = \|(x_n^*)\|_{\infty}, \ x_k^* \in \mathrm{NA}(X,\mathbb{K}) \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\ell_1,Y) = \left[ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K},Y) \right]_{\ell_{\infty}} = \ell_{\infty}(Y).$$

$$\mathrm{NA}(\ell_1,Y) = \left\{ (y_n) \in \ell_{\infty}(Y) \colon \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \|y_k\| = \|(y_n)\|_{\infty} \right\}.$$

$$\mathrm{NA}(L_1[0,1], L_{\infty}[0,1])???$$

## The problem of denseness of norm attaining functionals

#### Problem

Is  $NA(X, \mathbb{K})$  always dense in  $X^*$ ?

#### Theorem (E. Bishop & R. Phelps, 1961)

The set of norm attaining functionals is dense in  $X^*$  (for the norm topology).

Problem

Is NA(X, Y) always dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ?

The answer is No, and this is the origin of the study of norm attaining operators.

#### Modified problem

```
When is NA(X, Y) dense in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)?
```

The study of this problem was initiated by J. Lindenstrauss in 1963, who provided the first negative and positive examples.

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

## Bibliography for this overview



M. D. Acosta

Denseness of norm attaining mappings RACSAM (2006)



## 💊 A. Capel

#### Norm-attaining operators

Master thesis. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain. 2015 http://hdl.handle.net/10486/682502



#### M. Martín

The version for compact operators of Lindenstrauss properties A and B RACSAM (2016)

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

## Lindenstrauss' seminal paper of 1963

#### Negative answer

 $\operatorname{NA}(X,Y)$  is NOT always dense

#### Lemma

 $Y \text{ LUR, } T \colon X \longrightarrow Y \text{ bounded from below (monomorphism).}$ 

If T attains its norm, then it does at a strongly exposed point.

#### Example

X separable without strongly exposed points (e.g.  $c_0$ , C[0,1],  $L_1[0,1]$ ), Y LUR renorming of X. Then, NA(X,Y) is not dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ .

#### Lemma

If Y is strictly convex, then  $NA(c_0, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(c_0, Y)$ .

#### Example

Y strictly convex,  $Y \supset c_0$ . Then, NA(X, Y) is not dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

## Lindenstrauss properties A and B

#### Observation

- The question then is for which X and Y the density holds.
- As this problem is too general, Lindenstrauss introduced two properties.

#### Definition

- X, Y Banach spaces,
  - X has (Lindenstrauss) property A iff  $\overline{NA(X,Z)} = \mathcal{L}(X,Z) \quad \forall Z$
  - Y has (Lindenstrauss) property B iff  $\overline{NA(Z,Y)} = \mathcal{L}(Z,Y) \quad \forall Z$

#### First examples

- If X is finite-dimensional, then X has property A,
- K has property B (Bishop-Phelps theorem),
- $c_0$ , C[0,1],  $L_1[0,1]$  fail property A,
- if Y is strictly convex,  $Y \supset c_0$ , then Y fails property B.

## Positive results I



X, Y Banach spaces. Then

 $\left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \colon T^{**} \colon X^{**} \longrightarrow Y^{**} \text{ attains its norm} \right\}$ 

is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

Consequence

If X is reflexive, then X has property A.

## An improvement (Zizler, 1973)

X, Y Banach spaces. Then

 $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y): T^*: Y^* \longrightarrow X^* \text{ attains its norm}\}$ 

is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

## Positive results II

#### Definitions (Lindenstrauss, Schachermayer)

Let Z be a Banach space. Consider for two sets  $\{z_i : i \in I\} \subset S_Z$ ,  $\{z_i^* : i \in I\} \subset S_{X^*}$ and a constant  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , the following four conditions:

#### Theorem (Lindenstrauss, 1963)

- Property  $\alpha$  implies property A.
- Property  $\beta$  implies property B.

## Positive results III

## Examples

- The following spaces have property  $\alpha$ :
  - *l*<sub>1</sub>,
  - finite-dimensional spaces whose unit ball has finitely many extreme points (up to rotation).
- The following spaces have property  $\beta$ :
  - every Y such that  $c_0 \subset Y \subset \ell_{\infty}$ ,
  - finite-dimensional spaces such that the dual unit ball has finitely many extreme points (up to rotation).

### Examples

- The following spaces have property A:  $\ell_1$  and **all** finite-dimensional spaces.
- The following spaces have property B: every Y such that  $c_0 \subset Y \subset \ell_{\infty}$ , finite-dimensional spaces such that the dual unit ball has finitely many extreme points (up to rotation).
- Every finite-dimensional space has property A, but the only known (in the 1960's) finite-dimensional real spaces with property B were the polyhedral ones. Only a little bit more is known nowadays...

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

Section 2

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators First results: Lindenstrauss

- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

## The Radon-Nikodým property

### Definitions

- X Banach space.
  - X has the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP) if the Radon-Nikodým theorem is valid for X-valued vector measures (with respect to every finite positive measure).
  - $C \subset X$  is dentable if it contains slices of arbitrarily small diameter.
  - $C \subset X$  is subset-dentable if every subset of C is dentable.





## The RNP and property A: positive results

## Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

X Banach space,  $C \subset X$  absolutely convex closed bounded subset-dentable, Y Banach space. Then

 $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y): \text{ the norm of } T \text{ attains its supremum on } C\}$ 

```
is dense in \mathcal{L}(X, Y).
```

 $\star$  In particular, RNP  $\implies$  property A.

#### Remark

It is actually shown that for every bounded linear operator there are arbitrary closed **compact** perturbations of it attaining the norm.

### Non-linear Bourgain-Stegall variational principle (Stegall, 1978)

X, Y Banach spaces,  $C \subset X$  bounded subset-dentable,  $\varphi: C \longrightarrow Y$  uniformly bounded such that  $x \longmapsto \|\varphi(x)\|$  is upper semicontinuous. Then for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $x_0^* \in X^*$  with  $\|x_0^*\| < \delta$  and  $y_0 \in S_Y$  such that the function  $x \longmapsto \|\varphi(x) + x^*(x)y_0\|$  attains its supremum on C.

## The RNP and property A: negative results

### Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

 $C \subset X$  separable, bounded, closed and convex,  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y):$  the norm of T attains its supremum on  $C\}$  dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .  $\implies C$  is dentable.

★ In particular, if X is separable and has property A  $\implies$   $B_X$  is dentable.

### Remark

Lindenstrauss actually showed that if X is separable and has property A  $\implies B_X$  is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed points.

#### A refinement (Huff, 1980)

 $\boldsymbol{X}$  Banach space failing the RNP.

Then there exist  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  equivalent renorming of X such that

 $NA(X_1, X_2)$  is NOT dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

When the typical operator is norm attaining? | An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators | The relation with the RNP: Bourgain

## The RNP and property A: isomorphic characterization

#### Main consequence

Every renorming of X has property A  $\iff$  X has the RNP.

#### Example

 $\ell_1$  has property A in every equivalent norm.

#### Another consequence

Every renorming of X has property  $\mathsf{B} \implies X$  has the RNP.

### Observations

- The converse of the implication above is NOT TRUE (Gowers, 1990)
- **2** To get an equivalence, a weaker property is needed, quasi norm attainment:

G. Choi, Y.-S. Choi, M. Jung, M. M.

On quasi norm attaining operators between Banach spaces *RACSAM* (2022)

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

## Counterexamples for property B



- (Gowers, 1990):  $\ell_p$  does not have property B for any 1 .
- (Acosta, 1999): No infinite-dimensional strictly convex space has property B.
- (Acosta, 1999):  $\ell_1$  fails property B.

#### Consequence

Y separable, every renorming of Y has property B  $\implies$  Y is finite-dimensional

#### The main open problem

★ Do all finite-dimensional spaces have property B? Equivalently, does  $\mathcal{F}(X,Y) \subset \overline{NA(X,Y)}$  for all Banach spaces X and Y?

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

### Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

Some classical spaces: positive results

### Example (Johnson-Wolfe, 1979)

In the real case,  $NA(C(K_1), C(K_2))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(C(K_1), C(K_2))$ .

Example (Iwanik, 1979)

 $NA(L_1(\mu), L_1(\nu))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1(\mu), L_1(\nu))$ .

## Theorem (Schachermayer, 1983)

Every weakly compact operator from C(K) can be approximated by (weakly compact) norm attaining operators.

Consequence (Schachermayer, 1983)

 $\operatorname{NA}(C(K), L_p(\mu))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(C(K), L_p(\mu))$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Example (Finet-Payá, 1998)

 $NA(L_1[0,1], L_{\infty}[0,1])$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1[0,1], L_{\infty}[0,1])$ .

Some classical spaces: negative results

## Example (Schachermayer, 1983)

 $NA(L_1[0,1], C[0,1])$  is NOT dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1[0,1], C[0,1])$ .

#### Consequence

C[0,1] does not have property B and it was the first "classical" example.

Example (Aron-Choi-Kim-Lee-M., 2015; M., 2014)  $Z = C[0,1] \oplus_1 L_1[0,1]$ or  $Z = C[0,1] \oplus_{\infty} L_1[0,1]$   $\implies NA(Z,Z) \text{ not dense in } \mathcal{L}(Z).$ 

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

### Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

### Direct sums

Lemma (Payá-Saleh, 2000)  $\{X_i\}, \{Y_j\}$  families of Banach spaces,  $X = \left[\bigoplus X_i\right]_{\ell_1}, Y = \left[\bigoplus Y_j\right]_{c_0}$  or  $Y = \left[\bigoplus Y_j\right]_{\ell_{\infty}}$ . TFAE:  $\blacksquare$  NA(X, Y) dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,

■  $NA(X_i, Y_j)$  dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X_i, Y_j)$  for all *i*, *j*.

#### Consequence

- Property A is stable by l<sub>1</sub>-sums;
- Property B is stable by  $c_0$ -sums and by  $\ell_\infty$ -sums.

### Observation

- Property  $\alpha$  is NOT stable by  $\ell_1$ -sums;
- Property  $\beta$  is NOT stable by  $c_0$ -sums.

## Properties quasi- $\alpha$ and quasi- $\beta$

#### Two new properties

- Acosta-Aguirre-Payá, 1996: introduced property quasi-β which is a weakening of property β.
- Choi-Song, 2008: introduced property quasi- $\alpha$  which is a weakening of property  $\alpha$ .

#### Proposition

- Property quasi- $\alpha$  implies property A.
- Property quasi- $\beta$  implies property B.

#### Observation

Property quasi- $\beta$  is stable by  $c_0$ -sums.

#### Example

- Property quasi-*β* provides examples of finite-dimensional real spaces with property B which are not polyhedral...
- but these new examples still have a "discrete" (maybe not finite) set of extreme points.

## More on direct sums

Proposition (Aron-Choi-Kim-Lee-M., 2015; M., 2014)  $Z = X \oplus_1 Y \text{ or } Z = X \oplus_{\infty} Y.$ NA(Z, Z) dense in  $\mathcal{L}(Z) \implies NA(X, Y)$  dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y).$ 

This provides with many examples of Z such that NA(Z, Z) is not dense in  $\mathcal{L}(Z, Z)$ .

#### An interesting example

G domain space in Gowers' example (i.e.  $NA(G, \ell_2)$  not dense in  $\mathcal{L}(G, \ell_2)$ ).

- G has property quasi-β (but not β) and so G has property B (Acosta-Aguirre-Payá, 1996).
- $\ell_2$  has property A.
- But for  $Z = G \oplus_{\infty} \ell_2$ , NA(Z, Z) is not dense in  $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ .

## An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

### Section 2

#### 2 An overview on "classical" results on norm attaining operators

- First results: Lindenstrauss
- The relation with the RNP: Bourgain
- Counterexamples for property B
- Some results on classical spaces
- Stability results
- Compact operators

## The question of norm attainment for compact operators

## Question (open from 1970's till 2014)

Can every compact operator be approximated by norm-attaining operators?

#### Observations

- In all the negative examples of the previous sections, the authors constructed NON COMPACT operators which cannot be approximated by norm attaining operators.
- Actually, the idea of the proofs is to use that the operator which is not going to be approximated is not compact or, even, it is an isomorphism.
- In most examples, it was even known that compact operators attaining the norm are dense.

## Positive results on norm attaining compact operators

## Question (open from 1970's till 2014)

Can every compact operator be approximated by norm-attaining operators?

#### Positive results

- If X is reflexive, then ALL compact operators from X into Y are norm attaining. (Indeed, compact operators carry weak convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences.)
- Some classical spaces (Johnson-Wolfe, 1979):
  - $X = C_0(L)$  or  $X = L_1(\mu)$ , Y arbitrary;
  - X arbitrary,  $Y = L_1(\mu)$  (only real case) or  $Y^* \equiv L_1(\mu)$ ;
  - X arbitrary,  $Y \leq c_0$  with AP.
- More recent results:
  - (Cascales-Guirao-Kadets, 2013) X arbitrary, Y uniform algebra;
  - (M. 2014)  $X^* = \ell_1$ , Y arbitrary.

## The solution

## Negative answer (M. 2014)

There are compact operators which cannot be approximated by norm attaining operators

#### Some examples

- When  $X \leq c_0$  with  $X^*$  failing AP, exists Y such that...
- Exists  $X \leq c_0$  with AP and Y such that...
- When Y is strictly convex without AP, exists X such that...

 $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$  is not contained in  $\overline{\mathrm{NA}(X,Y)}$ .

#### The remaining open problem

Can every finite-rank operator be approximated by norm-attaining operators?

#### Note

We do not even know whether it may exists a Banach space X such that the elements in  $\mathrm{NA}(X,\ell_2)$  are of rank one.

What is residuality and why can it be interesting here?

Section 3

3 What is residuality and why can it be interesting here?

## What is residuality or typicality?

#### Residual set

C subset of a complete metric space M is residual if  $M \setminus C$  is of the first Baire category. Equivalently, C contains a  $G_{\delta}$  dense subset. The elements of C are called typical.

#### Equivalent reformulation

M complete metric space,  $C \subset M$ . TFAE:

C is residual

• 
$$C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$$
 and  $int(C_n)$  dense for all  $n$ 

•  $C \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$  and  $O_n$  open dense for all n(i.e. C contains a subset which is  $G_{\delta}$  in M and dense in M)

#### Main property (Baire's Category Theorem)

The countable intersection of residual sets is residual, hence dense.

## Why residuality can be interesting here?



#### Example

 $NA(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = \ell_1 \cap c_{00} \subseteq \ell_1$ , so it is not residual. Besides:

$$\blacksquare \operatorname{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) - \operatorname{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = \ell_1 \cap c_{00} \neq \ell_1,$$

Given 
$$x_1^* = 0$$
 and  $x_2^* \in \ell_1 \setminus c_{00}$ , there is NO  $x^* \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $x_1^* + x^* \in NA(c_0, \mathbb{K})$  and  $x_2^* + x^* \in NA(c_0, \mathbb{K})$ .

When the typical operator is norm attaining? | What is residuality and why can it be interesting here?

## How frequent is residuality of norm-attaining operators?

Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

 $X \text{ RNP} \implies \operatorname{NA}(X, Y)$  is residual for every Y.

#### Remark

The converse result is not true: ALL known sufficient conditions for property A on X actually gives residuality of NA(X, Y) for all Y's.

Example where there is no residuality

 $NA(c_0, \mathbb{K})$  is dense and  $X^* \setminus NA(c_0, \mathbb{K})$  is dense and second category.

#### Even stronger properties

In some (unfrequent) cases, NA(X, Y) contains an OPEN dense subset:

- $X = \ell_1$ , Y arbitrary.
- $X = \mathcal{F}(M)$ , Y arbitrary, for some metric concrete compact spaces M such that  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  DO NOT have RNP.

## Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A

Section 4

#### 4 Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A

- Necessary conditions
- Sufficient conditions

## Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A

Section 4

4 Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A
 Necessary conditions
 Sufficient conditions

## Definitions

### Definition 1: strong exposition

 $C \subset X$  bounded.  $x_0 \in C$  is strongly exposed if there is  $x^* \in X^*$  such that whenever  $\{x_n\} \subset C$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re} x^*(x_n) \longrightarrow \sup \operatorname{Re} x^*(C)$ , then  $\{x_n\} \longrightarrow x_0$ . Equivalently, the slices of C defined by  $x^*$  contain  $x_0$  and are arbitrarily small.

- In this case, we say that  $x^*$  strongly exposes C (at  $x_0$ ).
- str-exp(C) set of strongly exposed points of C.
- **SE**(C) functionals which strongly expose C at some (strongly exposed) point.
- SE(C) is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $X^*$ .

#### For the case $C = B_X \dots$

- If  $SE(B_X)$  is dense,  $NA(X, \mathbb{K})$  is residual.
- Šmulyan's test:

 $x^* \in SE(B_X) \iff$  the norm of  $X^*$  is Fréchet-differentiable at  $x^*$ 

## Lindenstrauss's and Bourgain's necessary conditions on property A

#### Lindenstrauss, 1963

If X admits a LUR renorming and has property A

 $\implies$   $B_X$  is the closed convex hull of str-exp $(B_X)$ .

## Bourgain, 1977 $C \subseteq X$ separable bounded closed convex such that for every Y the set $\left\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y) : \exists \max_{x \in C} ||Tx||\right\}$

is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  (*C* has the Bishop-Phelps property in Bourgain's terminology)  $\implies C$  is dentable (i.e. *C* contains slices of arbitrarily small diameter).

#### Our result (to be shown in session 2)

X admitting a LUR renorming,  $C \subseteq X$  bounded with the Bishop-Phelps property  $\implies$  SE(C) dense in X<sup>\*</sup> (hence  $C = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{str-exp}(B_X))$ ).  $\bigstar$  In particular, X admitting a LUR renorming, X with property A  $\implies$  SE( $B_X$ ) is dense in X<sup>\*</sup>, hence NA(X, K) is residual.

## Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A

Section 4

## 4 Recalling Lindenstrauss' and Bourgain's results on property A Necessary conditions Sufficient conditions

## Lindenstrauss: uniform strong exposition

#### Set of uniformly strongly exposed points

X Banach space,  $A \subset S_X$  is a set of uniformly strongly exposed points if for every  $a \in A$ there is  $a^* \in S_{X^*}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} a^*(a) = 1$  satisfying that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $x \in B_X$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a^*(x) > 1 - \delta \implies ||x - a|| < \varepsilon$ .

(That is, the elements in B are strongly exposed in a uniform way).

#### Lindenstrauss, 1963

If  $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(A)$  with A uniformly strongly exposed, then X has property A.

#### Examples

- Obviously, if X is uniformly convex.
- Property  $\alpha$ .

#### This not cover...

Reflexivity (also Lindenstrauss), Property quasi- $\alpha$  (Choi-Song), RNP (Bourgain).

## The RNP and absolutely strongly exposing operators

## Definition (Bourgain, 1977)

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is absolutely strongly exposing  $(T \in ASE(X, Y))$  iff there exists  $x_0 \in S_X$ such that whenever  $\{x_n\} \subset B_X$  satisfies  $||T(x_n)|| \longrightarrow ||T||$  then  $\exists \{\theta_n\} \subset \mathbb{T}$  for which  $\{\theta_n x_n\} \longrightarrow x_0$ .  $\bigstar ASE(X, Y)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Therefore, if ASE(X, Y) is dense, NA(X, Y) is residual.

## Theorem (Bourgain, 1977) $X \text{ RNP}, Y \text{ arbitrary} \implies ASE(X, Y) \text{ is dense.}$ $\bigstar$ It is just used in the proof that $B_X$ is subset-dentable.

Much more: the non-linear Bourgain-Stegall variational principle (Stegall, 1978)

 $C\subset X$  bounded RNP set,  $\varphi\colon C\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded upper semicontinuous. Then, the set

$$\left\{x^* \in X^* \colon \varphi + \operatorname{Re} x^* \text{ strongly exposed } C\right\}$$

is residual in  $X^*$ .

## What more? (I)

## Observation (Chiclana–GarcíaLirola–M.–RuedaZoca, 2021)

ALL known sufficient conditions for property A actually imply that absolutely strongly exposing operators are dense:

- RNP,
- **properties**  $\alpha$  and quasi- $\alpha$ ,
- $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(A)$ , A uniformly strongly exposed.

## Open problem 1 (still open)

Does the property A of X imply that ASE(X, Y) is dense for every Y?

#### Observation

If ASE(X, Y) is dense for some  $Y \implies SE(X)$  is dense.

### Open problem 2 (still open)

Does the denseness of SE(X) imply that ASE(X, Y) is dense for every Y?

## What more? (II)

#### Less ambitious question

If SE(X) is dense, for which Ys is ASE(X, Y) dense?

## Examples of when SE(X) is dense

- If X has RNP,
- $\blacksquare$  If X has property A and admits a LUR renorming,
- If X is LUR (a property which is not known to imply property A),
- If  $\operatorname{str-exp}(B_X) = S_X$  (a property which is not known to imply property A),
- $X = JT^*$  (the dual of the James-tree space, not known if it has property A).

#### The main objective (for session 3)

To find spaces Y (better if they are not known to have property B) such that  ${\rm ASE}(X,Y)$  is dense whenever  ${\rm SE}(X)$  is dense.