

Residuality in the set of norm attaining operators

Miguel Martín

Universidad de Granada / IMAG



UNIVERSIDAD
DE GRANADA



Instituto de
Matemáticas
Universidad de Granada

THE INTERNATIONAL ONLINE CONFERENCE
"CURRENT TRENDS IN ABSTRACT AND
APPLIED ANALYSIS"

May 12 - 15, 2022, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

Supported by PGC2018-093794-B-I00 (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE) and FQM-185 (Junta de Andalucía/FEDER, UE)



Preliminaries

Section 1

1 Preliminaries

- Notation
- Introducing the topic
- Why residuality can be interesting here?
- The roadmap of the talk

The talk is based on the preprint



M. Jung, M. Martín, and A. Rueda Zoca.

Residuality in the set of norm attaining operators between Banach spaces.

Preprint (2022). Arxiv code: 2203.04023



Mingyu Jung (KIAS, Korea)



Abraham Rueda Zoca (Murcia, Spain)

Notation

X, Y real or complex Banach spaces

- \mathbb{K} base field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} ,
- \mathbb{T} modulus one scalars,
- $B_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ closed unit ball of X ,
- $S_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ unit sphere of X ,
- $\overline{\text{conv}}(C)$ closed convex hull of C ,
- $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ bounded linear operators from X to Y ,
 - $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\| : x \in S_X\}$,
- $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ compact linear operators from X to Y ,
- $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ bounded linear operators from X to Y with finite rank,
- $X^* = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ topological dual of X .

Norm attaining functionals

Norm attaining functionals

$x^* \in X^*$ attains its norm when

$$\exists x \in S_X : |x^*(x)| = \|x^*\|$$

★ $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) := \{x^* \in X^* : x^* \text{ attains its norm}\}$

Examples and comments

- $\dim(X) < \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ (Heine-Borel).
- X reflexive $\iff \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ (Hahn-Banach, James).
- $\text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = c_{00} \leq \ell_1$,
- $\text{NA}(\ell_1, \mathbb{K}) = \{x \in \ell_\infty : \|x\|_\infty = \max_n \{|x(n)|\}\} \subseteq \ell_\infty$, residual, contains c_0 ,
- $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})$ may be “wild”, for instance:
 - it may contain NO two-dimensional subspaces (Read, 2017; Rmoutil, 2017),
 - it can be NOT norm Borel (Kaufman, 1991).
- (Petunin–Plichko 1974; Godefroy 1987): X separable, $Z \leq X^*$ closed, separating for X , $Z \subseteq \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) \implies Z$ is an isometric predual of X .

Norm attaining operators

Norm attaining operators

$T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ attains its norm when

$$\exists x \in S_X : \|T(x)\| = \|T\|$$

★ $\text{NA}(X, Y) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : T \text{ attains its norm}\}$

Some examples and comments

- $\dim(X) < \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ for every Y (Heine-Borel),
- $\dim(X) = \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, c_0) \neq \mathcal{L}(X, c_0)$ (see M.-Merí-Payá, 2006).
- X reflexive $\iff \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subseteq \text{NA}(X, Y)$ for every Y (James).
- $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty) = \left[\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K}) \right]_{\ell_\infty} = \ell_\infty(X^*)$.
 $\text{NA}(X, \ell_\infty) = \{(x_n^*) \in \ell_\infty(X^*) : \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \|x_k^*\| = \|(x_n^*)\|_\infty, x_k^* \in \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})\}$.
- $\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, Y) = \left[\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, Y) \right]_{\ell_\infty} = \ell_\infty(Y)$.
 $\text{NA}(\ell_1, Y) = \{(y_n) \in \ell_\infty(Y) : \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \|y_k\| = \|(y_n)\|_\infty\}$.
- $\text{NA}(L_1[0, 1], L_\infty[0, 1])$???

The problem of denseness of norm attaining functionals

Problem

Is $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})$ always dense in X^* ?

Theorem (E. Bishop & R. Phelps, 1961)

The set of norm attaining functionals is **dense** in X^* (for the norm topology).

Problem

Is $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ always dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$?

The answer is **No**, and this is the origin of the study of norm attaining operators.

Modified problem

When is $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$?

The study of this problem was initiated by J. Lindenstrauss in 1963, who provided the first negative and positive examples.

Lindenstrauss' seminal paper of 1963

Negative answer

There are bounded linear operators which cannot be approximated by norm attaining operators

Idea:

Y LUR, $T: X \rightarrow Y$ bounded from below (monomorphism).
If T attains its norm, then it does at a strongly exposed point.

Examples

Take X separable without strongly exposed points (e.g. c_0 , $C[0, 1]$, $L_1[0, 1]$), let Y be a LUR renorming of X . Then, $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ is not dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

Observation

- The question then is for which X and Y the density holds.
- As this problem is too general, Lindenstrauss introduced two properties.

Lindenstrauss properties A and B

Definition

X, Y Banach spaces,

- X has (Lindenstrauss) **property A** iff $\overline{\text{NA}(X, Z)} = \mathcal{L}(X, Z) \quad \forall Z$
- Y has (Lindenstrauss) **property B** iff $\overline{\text{NA}(Z, Y)} = \mathcal{L}(Z, Y) \quad \forall Z$

Examples

- Reflexive spaces have property A,
- ℓ_1 has property A (property α),
- $c_0, C[0, 1], L_1[0, 1]$ fail property A,

- \mathbb{K} has property B (Bishop-Phelps theorem),
- every Y such that $c_0 \subset Y \subset \ell_\infty$ (canonical copies) has property B (property β),
- every LUR renorming of c_0 fails property B,
- finite-dimensional polyhedral spaces have property B (property β),
- there are SOME non-polyhedral finite-dimensional spaces which are known to have property B (property quasi- β , Acosta-Aguirre-Payá, 1996).

The relationship with the Radon-Nikodým property

Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

Radon Nikodým Property (RNP) \implies property A.

Much more: the non-linear Bourgain-Stegall variational principle (Stegall, 1978)

X, Y Banach spaces, $C \subset X$ bounded RNP set, $\varphi: C \rightarrow Y$ uniformly bounded such that $x \mapsto \|\varphi(x)\|$ is upper semicontinuous.

Then for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $x_0^* \in X^*$ with $\|x_0^*\| < \delta$ and $y_0 \in S_Y$ such that the function $x \mapsto \|\varphi(x) + x_0^*(x)y_0\|$ strongly attains its supremum on C .

Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

X separable with property A $\implies B_X$ is dentable.

Consequence (uses a refinement by Huff, 1980)

Every renorming of X has property A $\iff X$ has the RNP.

Every renorming of Y has property B $\implies X$ has the RNP.

Counterexamples for property B

Observation

It was an open question in the 1980's whether $\text{RNP} \implies \text{property B}$

Counterexamples

- (Gowers, 1990): ℓ_p does not have property B for any $1 < p < \infty$.
- (Acosta, 1999): No infinite-dimensional strictly convex space has property B.

Consequence

Y separable, every renorming of Y has property B $\implies Y$ is finite-dimensional

The main open problem

★ Do all finite-dimensional spaces have property B?

Equivalently, does $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) \subset \overline{\text{NA}(X, Y)}$ for all Banach spaces X and Y ?

We cannot go further... (M. 2014)

There are **compact** operators which cannot be approximated by norm attaining ones.

Some pairs of classical spaces

Example (Johnson-Wolfe, 1979)

In the real case, $\text{NA}(C(K_1), C(K_2))$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(C(K_1), C(K_2))$.

Example (Iwanik, 1979)

$\text{NA}(L_1(\mu), L_1(\nu))$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(L_1(\mu), L_1(\nu))$.

Examples (Schachermayer, 1983)

$\text{NA}(C(K), L_p(\mu))$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(C(K), L_p(\mu))$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Example (Finet-Payá, 1998)

$\text{NA}(L_1[0, 1], L_\infty[0, 1])$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(L_1[0, 1], L_\infty[0, 1])$.

Example (Schachermayer, 1983)

$\text{NA}(L_1[0, 1], C[0, 1])$ is NOT dense in $\mathcal{L}(L_1[0, 1], C[0, 1])$.

Why residuality is interesting here?

Residual set

A subset C of a complete metric space M is **residual** if $M \setminus C$ is of the first Baire category. Equivalently, if C contains a G_δ dense subset.

Residuality of norm attaining operators

X, Y Banach spaces, suppose $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ is residual in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then:

- $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \text{NA}(X, Y) - \text{NA}(X, Y)$,
- Given $\{S_n\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, the set

$$\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : S_n + T \in \text{NA}(X, Y)\}$$

is residual (in particular, dense) in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

Example

$\text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = \ell_1 \cap c_{00} \subseteq \ell_1$, so it is not residual. Besides:

- $\text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) - \text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = \ell_1 \cap c_{00} \neq \ell_1$,
- Given $x_1^* = 0$ and $x_2^* \in \ell_1 \setminus c_{00}$, there is **NO** $x^* \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, \mathbb{K})$ such that $x_1^* + x^* \in \text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K})$ and $x_2^* + x^* \in \text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K})$.

Roadmap of the talk

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Necessary conditions for the denseness of norm attaining operators
- 3 From residuality of norm attaining functionals to residuality of norm attaining operators
- 4 Some open problems

*Necessary conditions for the denseness of norm
attaining operators*

Section 2

2 Necessary conditions for the denseness of norm attaining operators

A bit of notation

Definition 1

$C \subset X$ bounded. $x_0 \in C$ is **strongly exposed** if there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that whenever $\{x_n\} \subset C$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re} x^*(x_n) \rightarrow \sup \operatorname{Re} x^*(C)$, then $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x_0$.

Equivalently, the slices of C defined by x^* contain x_0 and are arbitrarily small.

★ In this case, we say that x^* **strongly exposes** C (at x_0).

For the case $C = B_X \dots$

- The set of strongly exposed points of B_X is denoted by **str-exp**(B_X).
- We write **SE**(X) for the set of functionals strongly exposing B_X which is a G_δ -set.
- Hence, if **SE**(X) is dense, **NA**(X, \mathbb{K}) is residual.
- $x^* \in \mathbf{SE}(X) \iff$ the norm of X^* is Fréchet-differentiable at x^* .

The previous results vs the new result

Previous results

- (Lindenstrauss, 1963) X admitting a LUR renorming (e.g. separable), X having property A
 $\implies B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{str-exp}(B_X))$.
- (Bourgain, 1977) $C \subseteq X$ separable bounded closed convex such that for every Y the set

$$\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : \exists \max_{x \in C} \|Tx\|\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ (C has the **Bishop-Phelps property** in Bourgain's terminology)
 $\implies C$ is dentable (i.e. C contains slices of arbitrarily small diameter).

Our result

X admitting a LUR renorming, $C \subseteq X$ bounded with the Bishop-Phelps property
 \implies the functionals in X^* which strongly expose C are dense in X^* .

★ In particular, X admitting a LUR renorming, X with property A
 $\implies \text{SE}(X)$ is dense in X^* , hence $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})$ is residual.

Sketch of the proof of particular case

Our result (particular case)

X admitting a LUR renorming, X with property A \implies $\text{SE}(X)$ is dense in X^* .

Lemma

$S: X \rightarrow Y$ bounded below, Y LUR, $x_0 \in S_X$ such that $\|S\| = \|Sx_0\|$. Then, x_0 is strongly exposed by S^*y^* for every $y^* \in S_{Y^*}$ with $\text{Re } y^*(Sx_0) = \|S\|$.

- Consider a LUR norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X and let $Y = (X, \|\cdot\|) \oplus_2 \mathbb{K}$ which is LUR.
- For $x^* \in S_{X^*}$, define $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ by $T_n(x) = (n^{-1}x, x^*(x))$, which are monomorphisms, and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ by $S(x) = (0, x^*(x))$. Observe $\{T_n\} \rightarrow S$.
- We may find **monomorphisms** $S_n \in \text{NA}(X, Y)$, $\|S_n\| = 1$, such that $\{S_n\} \rightarrow S$.
- By the lemma, there are $y_n^* = (x_n^*, \lambda_n) \in Y^* = X^* \oplus_2 \mathbb{K}$ such that $S_n^*y_n^* \in \text{SE}(X)$ and $\|S_n^*y_n^*\| = \|S_n\| = 1$.
- Suppose $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and observe

$$\|\lambda_0 x^* - S_n^* y_n^*\| = \|\lambda_0 x^* - (\lambda_n x^* - S^* y_n^*) - S_n^* y_n^*\| \leq |\lambda_0 - \lambda_n| + \|S^* - S_n^*\| \rightarrow 0.$$

- As $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, $x^* = \lambda_0^{-1}(\lambda_0 x^*) \in \overline{\lambda_0^{-1} \text{SE}(X)} = \overline{\text{SE}(X)}$.

An interesting example

Example

The Lipschitz-free space on the two-dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$, satisfies:

- $\text{SE}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}))$ is not dense in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})^* \equiv \text{Lip}_0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ (using known results),
- hence, by the new result, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ fails Lindenstrauss property A.
- On the other hand, $B_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})} = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{str-exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})}))$
(so it satisfies Lindenstrauss necessary condition for property A).
- Besides, the set of those elements in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})^*$ which attain their norms at extreme points of $B_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})}$ is not dense in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})^*$.

Compare with...

- (Lindenstrauss): If $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(C)$ and the elements of C are *uniformly* strongly exposed, then X has property A.
- (Bourgain): X has RNP $\iff \text{str-exp}(B_Z) \neq \emptyset \forall Z \simeq X$
 $\iff B_Z = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{str-exp}(B_Z)) \forall Z \simeq X$
 $\iff \text{SE}(Z) \text{ dense } \forall Z \simeq X.$

From residuality of norm attaining functionals to residuality of norm attaining operators

Section 3

- 3 From residuality of norm attaining functionals to residuality of norm attaining operators

Absolutely strongly exposing operators

Definition (Bourgain, 1977)

$T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is **absolutely strongly exposing** ($T \in \text{ASE}(X, Y)$) iff there exists $x_0 \in S_X$ such that whenever $\{x_n\} \subset B_X$ satisfies $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow \|T\|$ then $\exists \{\theta_n\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ for which $\{\theta_n x_n\} \rightarrow x_0$.

★ $\text{ASE}(X, Y)$ is a G_δ -set. Therefore, if $\text{ASE}(X, Y)$ is dense, $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ is residual.

Theorem (Bourgain, 1977)

X RNP, Y arbitrary $\implies \text{ASE}(X, Y)$ is dense.

Observation (Chiclana–GarcíaLirola–M.–RuedaZoca, 2021)

ALL known sufficient conditions for property A actually imply that absolutely strongly exposing operators are dense:

- RNP,
- properties α and quasi- α ,
- $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(C)$, C uniformly strongly exposed.

The proposed questions. I

Observation (Chiclana–GarcíaLirola–M.–RuedaZoca, 2021)

ALL known sufficient conditions for property A actually imply that absolutely strongly exposing operators are dense.

Open problem 1

Does the property A of X imply that $ASE(X, Y)$ is dense for every Y ?

Observation

If $ASE(X, Y)$ is dense for some $Y \implies SE(X)$ is dense.

Open problem 2

Does the denseness of $SE(X)$ imply that $ASE(X, Y)$ is dense for every Y ?

The proposed questions. II

Less ambitious question

If $SE(X)$ is dense, for which Y 's is $ASE(X, Y)$ dense?

Examples of when $SE(X)$ is dense

- If X has RNP,
- If X has property A and admits a LUR renorming,
- If X is LUR (a property which is not known to imply property A),
- If $\text{str-exp}(B_X) = S_X$ (a property which is not known to imply property A).

Our main objective

To find spaces Y (better if they are not known to have property B) such that $ASE(X, Y)$ is dense whenever $SE(X)$ is dense.

When the denseness of norm attaining operators was already known

Main result in this case

If $SE(X)$ is dense and Y has any of the known sufficient conditions for property B (β or quasi- β properties), then $ASE(X, Y)$ is dense.

This includes...

- Property β : e.g. Y 's such that $c_0 \leq Y \leq \ell_\infty$ (canonical copies) or Y finite-dimensional polyhedral.
- Property quasi- β : some more examples including Y finite-dimensional non-polyhedral in dimension greater than or equal to 3.
- Restricting to compact operators, there are more examples like isometric preduals of $L_1(\mu)$, uniform algebras,...

A by-product of our study

Every closed subspace of c_0 has property quasi- β and hence, Lindenstrauss property B.

A first family of new examples. The general result

Theorem

X, Y Banach spaces, $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ containing rank-one operators. Suppose:

- $\text{SE}(X)$ is dense,
- there is $\{y_n^*\} \subset S_{Y^*}$ such that the set $\mathcal{A} = \{T \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y) : \|T\| = \|T^*y_n^*\| \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is residual in $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.

Then, $\text{ASE}(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.

Idea of the proof:

Lemma

$T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $y^* \in S_{Y^*}$ with $T^*y^* \in \text{SE}(X)$, $\|T^*y^*\| = \|T\|$, then there is $x_0 \in \text{str-exp}(B_X)$ such that $\|Tx_0\| = \|T\|$, and this implies that $T \in \overline{\text{ASE}(X, Y)}$.

- The set $\mathcal{B} = \{T \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y) : T^*y_n^* \in \text{SE}(X) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is residual,
- $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is residual and contained in $\overline{\text{ASE}(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{I}(X, Y)}$.

A first family of new examples. Consequences I

Consequence 1

$SE(X)$ dense, Y^* RNP with $\text{str-exp}(B_{Y^*})$ countable up to rotations. Then:

$$ASE(X, Y) \text{ dense in } \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \quad ASE(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \text{ dense in } \mathcal{K}(X, Y).$$

This result applies to...

- Y being a predual of ℓ_1 ,
- Y being finite-dimensional such that $\text{ext}(B_{Y^*})$ is countable (up to rotation),
- $Y = \text{lip}_0(M)$ when M is a countable compact metric space.

Consequence 2

$SE(X)$ dense, Y RNP with $\text{str-exp}(B_Y)$ countable up to rotations. Then:

$$ASE(X, Y^*) \text{ dense in } \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*), \quad ASE(X, Y^*) \cap \mathcal{K}(X, Y^*) \text{ dense in } \mathcal{K}(X, Y^*).$$

This result applies to...

- $Y = \mathcal{F}(M)$ (so $Y^* = \text{Lip}_0(M)$) when M is a countable proper metric space.

A first family of new examples. Consequences II

Consequence 3

$SE(X)$ dense, Y such that every separable subspace of Y admits a countable James boundary. Then:

$$ASE(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \text{ dense in } \mathcal{K}(X, Y).$$

This result applies to...

- Y polyhedral real Banach space,
- Y closed subspace of (the real or complex space) $C(K)$ where K is a Hausdorff scattered compact space.

(no separability condition is needed!)

A second family of new examples. The general result

Theorem

X, Y Banach spaces, $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ containing rank-one operators. Suppose:

- $\text{SE}(X)$ is dense,
- Y has the RNP and $\text{str-exp}(B_Y)$ is discrete up to rotations
(i.e. for every sequence $\{y_n\}$ of elements of $\text{str-exp}(B_Y)$ converging to an element $y_0 \in \text{str-exp}(B_Y)$, there is a sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $y_n = \theta_n y_0$ for large n).

Then, $\text{ASE}(X, Y^*) \cap \mathcal{I}(X, Y^*)$ is dense in $\mathcal{I}(X, Y^*)$.

Idea of the proof:

- We use Stegall variational principle in $\mathcal{L}(Y, X^*) \equiv \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$.
- We use Bourgain's ideas, [the discreteness hypothesis](#), and the residuality of $\text{SE}(X)$, to get operators $T: Y \rightarrow X^*$ and norm-one elements y such that $\|Ty\| = \|T\|$ and $Ty \in \text{SE}(X)$.
- The (pre)adjoints of these operators attains their norms at strongly exposed points of B_X . Hence, they belong to $\overline{\text{ASE}(X, Y^*)}$.

A second family of new examples. Consequence

Consequence 4

$SE(X)$ dense, Y RNP with $\text{str-exp}(B_Y)$ discrete up to rotations. Then:

$ASE(X, Y^*)$ dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$, $ASE(X, Y^*) \cap \mathcal{K}(X, Y^*)$ dense in $\mathcal{K}(X, Y^*)$.

This result applies to...

- $Y = \mathcal{F}(M)$ (hence $Y^* = \text{Lip}_0(M)$) when M is a discrete metric space.

Some open problems

Section 4

4 Some open problems

Some open problems

Open problem 1

Does the denseness of $SE(X)$ imply Lindenstrauss property A?

Remarks

- A positive answer would give an isometric characterization of property A for (say) separable spaces.
- Otherwise, maybe property A implies something more than $SE(X)$ dense...

Open problem 2

Find more conditions on Y to get denseness of $ASE(X, Y)$ from the one of $SE(X)$:

- finite-dimensional Y 's?
- Asplundness of Y ?
- $Y = Z^*$ for Z RNP?

Remark

In our proofs we use the RNP of Y^* or Y_* and also some **discreteness assumptions** on the extremal structure.