

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

Miguel Martín

<http://www.ugr.es/local/mmartins>

(work in progress with Sheldon Dantas, Vladimir Kadets,
Sun Kwang Kim, and Han Ju Lee)



ugr

Universidad
de Granada



Universidad de Valencia, April 2017

Research supported by grant MTM2015-65020-P

funded by Spanish MINECO and FEDER

Roadmap of the talk

- 1 Introducing the topic
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property
- 4 The dual property is not possible

Introducing the topic

Section 1

- 1** Introducing the topic
 - Notation
 - Norm attaining functionals and operators

Introducing the topic

Section 1

- 1** Introducing the topic
 - Notation
 - Norm attaining functionals and operators

Notation

X, Y real or complex Banach spaces

- \mathbb{K} base field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} ,
- $B_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ closed unit ball of X ,
- $S_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ unit sphere of X ,
- $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ bounded linear operators from X to Y ,
 - $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\| : x \in S_X\}$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$,
- $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ compact linear operators from X to Y ,
- $X^* = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ topological dual of X .

Introducing the topic

Section 1

1 Introducing the topic

- Notation

- Norm attaining functionals and operators

Norm attaining functionals

Norm attaining functionals

$x^* \in X^*$ attains its norm when

$$\exists x \in S_X : |x^*(x)| = \|x^*\|$$

★ $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \{x^* \in X^* : x^* \text{ attains its norm}\}$

First examples

- $\dim(X) < \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ (Heine-Borel).
- X reflexive $\implies \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ (Hahn-Banach).
- X non-reflexive $\implies \text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K}) \neq \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ (James),
- but $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})$ always separates the points of X (Hahn-Banach).

- $\text{NA}(c_0, \mathbb{K}) = c_{00} \leq \ell_1$,
- $\text{NA}(\ell_1, \mathbb{K}) = \{x \in \ell_\infty : \|x\|_\infty = \max_n \{|x(n)|\}\} \leq \ell_\infty$, not subspace, contains c_0 ,
- $\text{NA}(X, \mathbb{K})$ may contain no two-dimensional subspaces (Rmoutil, 2017).

Norm attaining operators

Norm attaining operators

$T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ attains its norm when

$$\exists x \in S_X : \|T(x)\| = \|T\|$$

★ $\text{NA}(X, Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : T \text{ attains its norm}\}$

First examples

- $\dim(X) < \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ for every Y (Heine-Borel).
- $\text{NA}(X, Y) \neq \emptyset$ (Hahn-Banach),
- X reflexive $\implies \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subseteq \text{NA}(X, Y)$ for every Y (by complete continuity),
- X non-reflexive $\implies \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \not\subseteq \text{NA}(X, Y)$ for any Y (James),
- $\dim(X) = \infty \implies \text{NA}(X, c_0) \neq \mathcal{L}(X, c_0)$ (see M.-Merí-Payá, 2006).

Preliminaries

Section 2

2 Preliminaries

- Norm attaining operators
- Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

Preliminaries

Section 2

2 Preliminaries

- Norm attaining operators
- Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

Bishop-Phelps theorem and the question of density of norm attaining operators

Theorem (Bishop–Phelps, 1961)

Norm attaining functionals are **dense** in X^*
(in the norm topology)

Problem

$$i \quad \overline{NA(X, Y)} = L(X, Y) ?$$

- Lindenstrauss, *Israel J. Math.* (1963) started the study of this problem.
- The answer is **Negative** in general.
- For the study of this problem, Lindenstrauss introduced properties A and B.

Lindenstrauss properties A and B

Definition

- X has **property A** if $\overline{NA(X, Y)} = L(X, Y) \quad \forall Y$.
- Y has **property B** if $\overline{NA(X, Y)} = L(X, Y) \quad \forall X$.

First positive examples (Lindenstrauss)

- Reflexive spaces have property A.
- ℓ_1 has property A (property α).
- If $c_0 \subset Y \subset \ell_\infty$ or Y finite dimensional and polyhedral,
 $\implies Y$ has property B (property β).

First negative examples (Lindenstrauss)

- X separable with property A, then B_X is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed points.
- $L_1[0, 1]$ and $C_0(L)$ (L infinite) fails property A.
- Y strictly convex containing c_0 fails property B.

Lindenstrauss properties A and B: further examples

Relationship with RNP (Bourgain, 1977 & Huff, 1980)

- RNP \implies A
- X no RNP $\implies \exists X_1 \sim X \sim X_2 : \overline{NA(X_1, X_2)} \neq L(X_1, X_2)$

Examples (Gowers, 1990 & Acosta, 1999)

- (Gowers) Infinite-dimensional $L_p(\mu)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) spaces fail property B. Squeezing, strictly convex spaces containing ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) fail property B.
- (Acosta) Infinite-dimensional strictly convex spaces fail property B.
- (Acosta) Infinite-dimensional $L_1(\mu)$ spaces fail property B.

Compact operators (Martín, 2014)

Exist **compact** operators which cannot be approximated by norm attaining operators.

Main open question

Can **finite-rank** operators be approximated by norm attaining operators?

Preliminaries

Section 2

- 2 Preliminaries
 - Norm attaining operators
 - Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem

Theorem (Bishop-Phelps, 1961)

Norm attaining functionals are **dense** in X^*

Bollobás contribution, 1970

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 2$.

If $x_0 \in B_X$ and $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} x_0^*(x_0) > 1 - \varepsilon^2/2$,

there exist $x \in S_X$, $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ with

$$x^*(x) = 1, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|x_0^* - x^*\| < \varepsilon.$$

(see Chica-Kadets-Martín-Moreno-Rambla 2014 for this version)

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (Acosta-Aron-García-Maestre, 2008)

A pair of Banach spaces (X, Y) has the **Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (BPBp)** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there exist $S \in L(X, Y)$ and $x \in S_X$ such that

$$1 = \|S\| = \|Sx\|, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

Observation

If (X, Y) has the BPBp $\implies \overline{NA(X, Y)} = L(X, Y)$.

★ Does this implication reverse? **No**

First examples

- There is Y_0 such that (ℓ_1, Y_0) fails BPBp.
- X, Y finite-dimensional, then (X, Y) has BPBp.
- Y with property β (example $c_0 \leq Y \leq \ell_\infty$), then (X, Y) has BPBp $\forall X$.

More examples

Pairs of classical spaces

- (Aron-Choi-García-Maestre, 2011) $(L_1[0, 1], L_\infty[0, 1])$ has BPBp.
- (Acosta + 7) $(C(K_1), C(K_2))$ has BPBp (in the real case).
- (Choi-Kim-Lee-Martín, 2014) $(L_1(\mu), L_1(\nu))$ has BPBp.

Other examples

- (Acosta-Becerra-García-Maestre, 2013; Kim-Lee, 2014)
 X uniformly convex $\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBp for all Y .
- (Cascales-Guirao-Kadets, 2013) X Asplund $\implies (X, A)$ has BPBp for every uniform algebra A (in particular, $A = C_0(L)$ or $A = A(\mathbb{D})$).
- (Choi-Kim, 2011) $(L_1(\mu), Y)$ has the BPBp when Y has the RNP and the AHSP.
- (Kim-Lee, 2015) $(C(K), Y)$ has the BPBp when Y is uniformly convex.
- (Acosta, 2016) $(C(K), Y)$ has the BPBp when Y is uniformly complex convex (e.g. complex $L_1(\mu)$).

The BPB version of Lindenstrauss properties A and B

Universal BPB domain and range spaces

- X is a **universal BPB domain space** if (X, Y) has BPBp $\forall Y$.
- Y is a **universal BPB range space** if (X, Y) has BPBp $\forall X$.

Observations

- X universal BPB domain space $\implies X$ has property A.
- Y universal BPB range space $\implies Y$ has property B.
- Do these implications reverse? **No:**
 - ★ There is \mathcal{Y} with property B such that (ℓ_1^2, \mathcal{Y}) fails BPBp (Aron-Choi-Kim-Lee-Martín, 2015).

Positive examples

- Uniformly convex spaces are universal BPB domain spaces.
- Property β implies being universal BPB range space.

These are, up to now, **the only known positive examples**.

Universal BPB domain spaces: some necessary conditions

Theorem (Aron-Choi-Lim-Lee-Martín, 2015)

X universal BPB domain space. Then,

- 1 (real case) no face of S_X contains a non-empty relatively open subset of S_X ;
- 2 if X is separable, then extreme points of B_X are dense in S_X ;
- 3 if X is superreflexive, then strongly exposed points of B_X are dense in S_X .
- 4 In particular, if X is a real 2-dimensional Banach space which is a universal BPB domain space, then X is uniformly convex.

Question

Is uniformly convex every universal BPBp domain space ?

Theorem (Aron-Choi-Kim-Lee-Martín, 2015)

X universal BPB domain space in every equivalent renorming $\implies \dim X = 1$.

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

Section 3

- 3 The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property
 - Definition and first results
 - Universal BPBpp spaces
 - BPBpp for compact operators

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

Section 3

- 3** The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property
 - Definition and first results
 - Universal BPBpp spaces
 - BPBpp for compact operators

Definition

Recalling the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

(X, Y) has the **BPBp** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there exist $S \in L(X, Y)$ and $x \in S_X$ such that

$$1 = \|S\| = \|Sx\|, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (Dantas-Kim-Lee, 2016)

(X, Y) has the **Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (BPBpp)** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exists $S \in L(X, Y)$ such that

$$1 = \|S\| = \|Sx_0\|, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

Obviously, BPBpp \implies BPBp.

First results

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (Dantas-Kim-Lee, 2016)

(X, Y) has the **BPBpp** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exists $S \in L(X, Y)$ such that

$$1 = \|S\| = \|Sx_0\|, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

Main known results (Dantas-Kim-Lee, 2016)

- (X, \mathbb{K}) has BPBpp $\iff X$ is uniformly smooth,
- (X, Y) has BPBpp for some $Y \implies X$ is uniformly smooth,
- Taking X with $\dim(X) = 2$, uniformly smooth, not strictly convex, then there is Y such that (X, Y) fails BPBp and so BPBpp,
- H Hilbert space $\implies (H, Y)$ has BPBpp for every Y ,
- X uniformly smooth, Y property β or uniform algebra $\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBpp,
- $X = X_1 \oplus_1 X_2$ or $X = X_1 \oplus_\infty X_2$, $Y = Y_1 \oplus_1 Y_2$ or $Y = Y_1 \oplus_\infty Y_2$,
 (X, Y) BPBpp $\implies (X_i, Y_j)$ BPBpp.

Some stability results

Domain spaces

X_1 contractively complemented in X , (X, Y) BPBpp $\implies (X_1, Y)$ BPBpp.

Question

Is the analogous result true for the BPBp or for the density of norm-attaining operators?

Range spaces (adaptation of a result of Dantas-García-Maestre-Martín)

$Y = Y_1 \oplus_a Y_2$ (absolute sum), (X, Y) BPBpp $\implies (X, Y_j)$ BPBpp.

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

Section 3

3 The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

- Definition and first results
- **Universal BPBpp spaces**
- BPBpp for compact operators

The BPBpp version of Lindenstrauss properties A and B

Universal BPBpp domain and range spaces

- X **universal BPBpp domain space** if (X, Y) has BPBpp $\forall Y$.
- Y **universal BPBpp range space** if (X, Y) has BPBpp $\forall X$ uniformly smooth.

Observations

- X universal BPBpp domain space $\implies X$ universal BPBp domain,
- Y universal BPBpp range space $\implies Y$ universal BPBp range **for uniformly smooth X 's**.
- Do these implications reverse?
 - We will show that the first question has a negative answer.

Positive examples

- Hilbert spaces are universal BPBpp domain spaces,
- property β and being uniform algebra implies being universal BPBpp range space.

Question

Are Hilbert spaces the only universal BPBpp domain spaces?

Universal BPBpp domain spaces

An important property

If X is universal BPBpp domain space, then there is a universal function $\tilde{\eta}_X : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that (X, Y) has BPBpp with $\tilde{\eta}_X$ for every Y .

Theorem

X universal BPBpp domain space $\implies X$ uniformly convex.

Theorem

X universal BPBpp domain space,
 X isomorphic to a Hilbert space $\implies \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^2$.

Consequence

$L_p(\mu)$ is NOT a universal BPBpp domain space for $p > 2$.

Question

Is $L_p(\mu)$ a universal BPBpp domain space for $1 < p < 2$?

Universal BPBpp range spaces I

Some sufficient conditions (adaptation of Cascales-Guirao-Kadets-Soloviova)

Y having ACK_ρ -structure $\implies Y$ universal BPBpp range space.

Examples:

- Spaces with property β ,
- uniform algebras (in particular, $C(K)$ spaces),
- stability by finite injective tensor product,
- stability by $C(K, Y)$, $C_w(K, Y)$, some vector-valued function algebras. . .

Universal BPBpp range spaces II

Example

For $p \geq 2 \exists X_p$ uniformly convex and uniformly smooth such that (X_p, ℓ_p^2) fails BPBpp

Remarks on the example

- The pair (X_p, ℓ_p^2) has the BPBp (as X_p is uniformly convex).
- It is also true that (X_p, ℓ_p) fails BPBpp (but has BPBp), but, in this case, it is known that ℓ_p fails Lindenstrauss property B.
- Recall that it is not known whether ℓ_p^2 has Lindenstrauss property B.
- It also shows that the BPBpp is not stable by finite ℓ_p -sum of the range; it is not known if the analogous result for the BPBp is true.

Universal BPBpp range spaces III

Example

$\exists X$ uniformly smooth with $\dim(X) = 2$ and a sequence $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of polyhedral spaces such that

$$\tilde{\eta}(X, Y_n)(\varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequences

Write

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left[\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \right]_{c_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z} = \left[\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \right]_{\ell_\infty},$$

then (X, \mathcal{Y}) and (X, \mathcal{Z}) fail the BPBpp.

Therefore:

- Being universal BPBpp range space is NOT stable by c_0 or ℓ_∞ sums.
- Property B (actually quasi- β) is NOT enough to be universal BPBpp range space.

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property

Section 3

- 3** The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property
 - Definition and first results
 - Universal BPBpp spaces
 - BPBpp for compact operators

BPBpp for compact operators

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (Dantas-Kim-Lee, 2016)

(X, Y) has the **Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for compact operators (BPBpp-K)** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{K(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exist $S \in K(X, Y)$ such that

$$1 = \|S\| = \|Sx_0\|, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

First examples (adapting proofs for all operators)

- H Hilbert $\implies (H, Y)$ has BPBpp-K for every Y ,
- Y having ACK_ρ -structure $\implies (X, Y)$ BPBpp-K for every X uniformly convex,
- this include Y 's with property β , Y uniform algebra. . .

Results which are only for compact operators

For the BPBp-K –only one “p”– (Dantas-García-Maestre-Martín, 2017?)

- X with a net of contractive projections $\{P_\alpha\}$ with $\text{SOT} - \lim P_\alpha = \text{Id}_X$ and $\text{SOT} - \lim P_\alpha^* = \text{Id}_{X^*}$.
If all the pairs $(P_\alpha(X), Y)$ have the BPBp-K with a common η
 $\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBp-K.
- Y with a net of contractive projections $\{Q_\alpha\}$ with $\text{SOT} - \lim P_\alpha = \text{Id}_Y$.
If all the pairs $(X, Q_\alpha(Y))$ have the BPBp-K with a common η
 $\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBp-K.

BPBpp-K for domain spaces

We do not know if the first part translated to the BPBpp-K.

BPBpp-K for range spaces

Y with a net of contractive projections $\{Q_\alpha\}$ with $\text{SOT} - \lim P_\alpha = \text{Id}_Y$.
If all the pairs $(X, Q_\alpha(Y))$ have the BPBpp-K with a common η
 $\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBpp-K.

Results which are only for compact operators II

BPBpp-K for range spaces

Y with a net of contractive projections $\{Q_\alpha\}$ with $\text{SOT} - \lim P_\alpha = \text{Id}_Y$.

If all the pairs $(X, Q_\alpha(Y))$ have the BPBpp-K with a common η

$\implies (X, Y)$ has BPBpp-K.

Consequences

- $1 \leq p < \infty$, $(X, \ell_p(Y))$ BPBpp-K $\implies (X, L_p(\mu, Y))$ BPBpp-K.
- (X, Y) BPBpp-K $\implies (X, L_\infty(\mu, Y))$ BPBpp-K.
- (X, Y) BPBpp-K $\implies (X, C(K, Y))$ BPBpp-K.
- X uniformly convex, Y predual of L_1 $\implies (X, Y)$ BPBpp-K.

The dual property is not possible

Section 4

4 The dual property is not possible

A dual property

Recalling the BPBpp

(X, Y) has the **BPBpp** if given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exists $S \in L(X, Y)$ such that $1 = \|S\| = \|S x_0\|, \quad \|T_0 - S\| < \varepsilon.$

A possible dual property...

(X, Y) has property **(P)** iff given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\hat{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \hat{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exists $x \in S_X$ such that $\|T_0 x\| = 1, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon.$

- Introduced by Dantas in 2016, as an **auxiliary definition** to study an analogous property where $\hat{\eta}$ depends on ε and on T_0 ,
- in the same paper, it is shown that many pairs fail it,
- for $Y = \mathbb{K}$, it characterizes uniform convexity:

Characterizing uniform convexity

A possible dual property. . .

(X, Y) has property **(P)** iff given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is $\widehat{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever

$$T_0 \in S_{L(X, Y)}, \quad x_0 \in S_X, \quad \|T_0 x_0\| > 1 - \widehat{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there exists $x \in S_X$ such that $\|T_0 x\| = 1, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon.$

Theorem (Kim-Lee, 2014)

X is **uniformly convex** iff (X, \mathbb{K}) has property (P).

Observation

For $X = \mathbb{K}$, the property is trivial:

- For every Y , the pair (\mathbb{K}, Y) has property (P).

Question

Are there more cases?

There are no more cases. . .

Theorem

$\dim(X) > 1$ and $\dim(Y) > 1 \implies (X, Y)$ **fails** property (P).

- The proof reduces to the case of $\dim(X) = \dim(Y) = 2$:

Proposition

(X, Y) with property (P), $X_0 \leq X$ and $Y_0 \leq Y$ such that $\dim(X/X_0) = \dim(Y_0) = 2$.
 $\implies (X_0, Y_0)$ has property (P).

- even in this case, the proof is rather involved,
- it needs to construct an operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ such that $T(B_X)$ has an special “*position*” in Y :

There are no more cases. . .

Proposition

If $\dim(X) = \dim(Y) = 2$, then there exists $T \in L(X, Y)$ with $\|T\| = 1$ such that there are $y_1, y_2 \in T(B_X) \cap S_Y$ and $y_1^* \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$y_1^*(y_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_2 \notin [y_1^*]^{-1}(\{1, -1\}).$$

- Two cases: X is Hilbertian (use John's maximal ellipsoid); X is not Hilbertian (use Day's and Nordlander's theorems on the modulus of convexity of X).

Proposition

X, Y 2-dimensional $\implies \exists \delta > 0$ and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$ with $\|T_n\| = 1$, $x_0 \in S_X$ with

$$\|T_n(x_0)\| \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{dist}(x_0, \{x \in S_X : \|T_n x\| = 1\}) \geq \delta.$$

Proof. Take T from the previous proposition, write

$$x_0 = T^{-1}(y_2) \in S_X, \quad \delta = \text{dist}(y_2, [y_1^*]^{-1}(\{1, -1\})), \quad P = y_1^* \otimes y_1 \in L(Y, Y).$$

We just have to define

$$T_n = \frac{n}{n+1}T + \frac{1}{n+1}PT \in L(X, Y).$$