

CONTINUITY OF THE ISOPERIMETRIC PROFILE OF A COMPLETE RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD UNDER SECTIONAL CURVATURE CONDITIONS

MANUEL RITORÉ

ABSTRACT. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold possessing a strictly convex Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function. We show that the isoperimetric profile of M is a continuous and non-decreasing function. Particular cases are Hadamard manifolds and complete non-compact manifolds manifolds with strictly positive sectional curvatures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold possessing a strictly convex Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function. The aim of this paper is to show that the isoperimetric profile I_M of M is a continuous and non-decreasing function. In particular, Hadamard manifolds: complete, simply connected Riemannian manifolds with non-positive sectional curvatures (possibly unbounded), and complete manifolds with strictly positive sectional curvatures satisfy this assumption.

The isoperimetric profile of a Riemannian manifold is the function that assigns to a given positive volume the infimum of the perimeter of the sets of this volume. The continuity of the isoperimetric profile of a compact manifold follows from standard compactness results for sets of finite perimeter and the lower semicontinuity of perimeter [15]. Alternative proofs are obtained from concavity arguments [3, § 7(i)], [4, 5, 17], or from the metric arguments by Gallot [10, Lemme 6.2]. When the ambient manifold is a non-compact homogeneous space, Hsiang showed that its isoperimetric profile is a non-decreasing and absolutely continuous function [12, Lemma 3, Thm. 6]. In Carnot groups or in cones, the existence of a one-parameter group of dilations implies that the isoperimetric profile is a concave function of the form $I(v) = C v^{q/(q+1)}$, where $C > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and so it is a continuous function [18, 23, 13]. Benjamini and Cao [6, Cor. 1] proved that the isoperimetric profile of a simply connected, convex at infinity, complete surface M^2 satisfying $\int_M K^+ dM < +\infty$, is an strictly increasing function. When a Riemannian manifold has compact quotient under the action of its isometry group, Morgan proved that isoperimetric regions exists for any given volume and are bounded [16], see also [9]. Using the concavity arguments in [4, 17] this implies that the profile is locally the sum of a concave function and a smooth one. The author showed in [22] existence of isoperimetric regions in any complete convex surface. This implies the concavity of the isoperimetric profile [22, Cor. 4.1] and hence its continuity. Nardulli [20, Cor. 1] showed the absolute continuity of the isoperimetric profile under the assumption of bounded $C^{2,\alpha}$ geometry. A manifold N is of $C^{2,\alpha}$ bounded geometry if there is a lower bound on the Ricci curvature, a lower bound on the volume of geodesic balls of radius 1, and for every diverging sequence $\{p_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the pointed Riemannian manifolds (M, p_i) subconverge in the $C^{2,\alpha}$

Date: March 24, 2015.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49Q10, 49Q20.

Key words and phrases. Strictly convex functions, isoperimetric profile, convex sets, Hadamard manifolds, positive curvature.

The author has been supported by Mineco-Feder research grant MTM2013-48371-C2-1-P and Junta de Andalucía grant FQM-325.

topology to a pointed manifold. Muñoz Flores and Nardulli [19] prove continuity of the isoperimetric profile of a complete non-compact manifold M with Ricci curvature bounded below and volume of balls of radius one uniformly bounded below. Partial results for cylindrically bounded convex sets have been obtained by Ritoré and Vernadakis [25, Prop. 4.4]. For general unbounded convex bodies, the continuity of the isoperimetric profile has been proven recently by Leonardi, Ritoré and Vernadakis [14].

An example of a manifold with density with discontinuous isoperimetric profile has been described by Adams, Morgan and Nardulli [1, Prop. 2]. As indicated in the remark after Proposition 1 in [1], the authors tried to produce an example of a Riemannian manifold with discontinuous isoperimetric profile by using pieces of increasing negative curvature. By Theorem 3.2 in this paper, such a construction is not possible if the resulting manifold M is simply connected with non-positive sectional curvatures.

In this paper we consider a complete Riemannian manifold M of class C^∞ having a strictly convex Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. These manifolds were considered by Greene and Wu [11], who derived interesting topological and geometric properties from the existence of such a function, e.g., such manifolds are always diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space of the same dimension [11, Thm. 3]. Complete non-compact manifolds with strictly positive sectional curvatures possess such a C^∞ convex function. This follows from the existence of a continuous strictly convex function proven by Cheeger and Gromoll [8] and the approximation result by C^∞ functions by Greene and Wu [11, Thm. 2]. In Hadamard manifolds, the squared distance function is known to be a C^∞ strictly convex exhaustion function [2], although it is not (globally) lipschitz. Composing with a certain real function provides a C^∞ strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function. Details are given in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Our main result is Theorem 3.1, where we prove the continuity of the isoperimetric profile of a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold having a strictly convex Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Our strategy of proof consists on approximating the isoperimetric profile I_M of M by the profiles of the sublevel sets of f , see Lemma 2.2. Then we show in Lemma 2.4 that the strict convexity of f implies that the profiles of these sublevel sets are strictly increasing. In addition, the compactness of the sublevel sets implies that these profiles are continuous. It follows that the isoperimetric profile I_M is the non-increasing limit of a sequence of increasing continuous functions. Hence I_M is right-continuous by Lemma 2.5.

It only remains to show the left-continuity of I_M to prove Theorem 3.1. The main ingredient is Lemma 2.1, that plays an important role in the proof of Lemma 2.2. In Lemma 2.1 it is shown that, given a set $E \subset M$ of volume $v > 0$, a bounded set $B \subset M$ of volume greater than v , a positive radius $r_0 > 0$, and a bounded set $D \subset M$ containing the tubular neighborhood of radius r_0 of B , we can always place a ball $B(x, r)$ of small radius centered at a point $x \in D$ such that $|B(x, r) \setminus E| \geq \Lambda(r)$. The expression for $\Lambda(r)$ in terms of r is given in (2.1) and implies that $\Lambda(r)$ approaches 0 if and only if r approaches 0. This can be considered a refined version of Gallot's Lemme 6.2 in [10]. Lemma 2.1 will be used to add a small volume to a given set while keeping a good control on the perimeter of the resulting set. It is essential to add this small volume in a bounded subset of the manifold to use the classical comparison theorems for volume and perimeter of geodesic balls when the sectional curvatures are bounded from above and the Ricci curvature is bounded below.

The continuity and monotonicity of the isoperimetric profiles of Hadamard manifolds, Theorem 3.2, and of complete manifolds with strictly positive sectional curvatures, Theorem 3.3, are corollaries of Theorem 3.1.

An appropriate modification of the notion of convex function will make the arguments in this paper work in the case of a manifold with density.

The author wishes to thank Ana Hurtado, Gian Paolo Leonardi and César Rosales for their careful reading of the first version of this manuscript and their useful suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given a Riemannian manifold M and a measurable set $E \subset M$, we shall denote by $|E|$ its Riemannian volume. Given an open set $\Omega \subset M$, the relative perimeter of E in Ω , $P(E, \Omega)$, will be defined by

$$P(E, \Omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div} X \, dM : X \in \mathfrak{X}_0^1(\Omega), \|X\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\},$$

where div is the Riemannian divergence on M , dM the Riemannian volume element, $\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\Omega)$ the set of C^1 vector fields with compact support in Ω , and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the L_∞ -norm of a vector field. The perimeter $P(E)$ of a measurable set $E \subset M$ is the relative perimeter $P(E, M)$ of E in M .

The *isoperimetric profile* of M is the function $I_M : (0, |M|) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$I(v) := \inf\{P(E) : E \subset M \text{ measurable}, |E| = v\}.$$

A measurable set $E \subset M$ is *isoperimetric* if $P(E) = I_M(|E|)$. The isoperimetric profile function determines the isoperimetric inequality $P(F) \geq I_M(|F|)$ for any measurable set $F \subset M$, with equality if and only if F is isoperimetric.

Open and closed balls of center $x \in M$ and radius $r > 0$ will be denoted by $B(x, r)$ and $\bar{B}(x, r)$, respectively.

A continuous function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strictly convex* if $f \circ \gamma$ is strictly convex for any geodesic $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$. It follows that a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is strictly convex if and only if $(f \circ \gamma)'' > 0$ on I for any geodesic $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$. A function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Lipschitz continuous* if there exists $L > 0$ such that $|f(p) - f(q)| \leq L d(p, q)$ for any pair of points $p, q \in M$. We shall say that a continuous function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an *exhaustion function* if, for any $r > \inf f$, the set $C_r := \{p \in M : f(p) \leq r\}$ is a compact subset of M . In the sequel we shall assume the existence of a strictly convex Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The following properties for f and M can be found in the paper by Greene and Wu [11]

1. f has a unique minimum x_0 , that is the only critical point of f .
2. The sets $\partial C_r := \{p \in M : f(p) = r\}$ are strictly convex hypersurfaces whenever $r > f(x_0)$. In particular, their mean curvatures are strictly positive.
3. $B(x_0, L^{-1}r) \subset \operatorname{int}(C_r)$, and so $\bar{B}(x_0, L^{-1}r) \subset C_r$.
4. There exists a positive constant K such that $C_r \subset \bar{B}(x_0, K^{-1}r + 1)$ for all $r \geq 1$.
5. M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

The results by Greene and Wu [11] ensure the existence of a strictly convex function Lipschitz continuous exhaustion function in any complete Riemannian manifold with positive sectional curvatures. As we shall see later, such functions also exist on Hadamard manifolds, complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds with non-negative sectional curvatures.

The isoperimetric profiles $I_r : (0, |C_r|) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ of the sublevel sets of f will be defined by

$$I_r(v) := \inf\{P(E) : E \subset C_r \text{ measurable}, |E| = v\}.$$

The compactness of C_r and the lower semicontinuity of perimeter imply the existence of isoperimetric regions in C_r for all $v \in (0, |C_r|)$, as well as the continuity of the isoperimetric profile of C_r . From the definitions of I_r and I_M we have $I_M \leq I_r \leq I_s$ for all $r \geq s > f(x_0)$.

Given $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we shall denote by $V_{\delta,n}(r)$ the volume of the geodesic ball in the n -dimensional complete simply connected manifold with constant sectional curvatures equal to δ . When $\delta = 0$, $V_{0,n}(r) = \omega_n r^n$, where ω_n is the n -volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . In case $\delta > 0$, the radius r will be taken smaller than $\pi/\delta^{1/2}$. In what follows, we shall take $\pi/\delta^{1/2} := +\infty$ when $\delta \leq 0$. The injectivity radius of $x_0 \in M$ will be denoted by $\text{inj}(x_0)$. If $K \subset M$, the injectivity radius of K will be defined by $\text{inj}(K) = \inf_{x \in K} \text{inj}(x)$. When K is relatively compact, $\text{inj}(K) > 0$.

The following result will play a crucial role in the sequel. Given a set $E \subset M$ of fixed volume v and a small positive radius $r > 0$, it allows us to place a ball $B(x, r)$ of radius $r > 0$ whose center lies in a fixed bounded set B (depending on the volume) so that $|B(x, r) \setminus E| \geq \Lambda(r) > 0$, where $\Lambda(r)$ converges to 0 if and only if r converges to 0.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete non-compact Riemannian manifold, $E \subset M$ a measurable set of finite volume, $B \subset M$ a bounded measurable set such that $|B| - |E| > 0$, and δ the supremum of the sectional curvatures of M in B . Fix $r_0 > 0$ and choose $D \supset B$ bounded and measurable such that $d(B, \partial D) > r_0$. For any $0 < r < \min\{r_0, \text{inj}(B), \pi/\delta^{1/2}\}$ define*

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda(r) := \frac{|B| - |E|}{|D|} V_{\delta,n}(r).$$

Then there exists $x \in D$ such that

$$|B(x, r) \setminus E| \geq \Lambda(r) > 0.$$

Proof. Given two measurable sets $D, F \subset M$ of finite volume, Fubini-Tonelli's Theorem applied to the function $(x, z) \in D \times M \mapsto \chi_{F \cap B(x, r)}(z)$ yields

$$\int_D |F \cap B(x, r)| dM(x) = \int_F |B(z, r) \cap D| dM(z).$$

For $F = M \setminus E$, this formula reads

$$\int_D |B(x, r) \setminus E| dM(x) = \int_{M \setminus E} |B(z, r) \cap D| dM(z).$$

Since $r \leq r_0$, we have $B(z, r) \cap D = B(z, r)$ for any $z \in B$, and we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M \setminus E} |B(z, r) \cap D| dM(z) &\geq \int_{B \setminus E} |B(z, r) \cap D| dM(z) \\ &= \int_{B \setminus E} |B(z, r)| dM(z) \geq |B \setminus E| V_{n,\delta}(r) \\ &\geq (|B| - |E|) V_{n,\delta}(r), \end{aligned}$$

where inequality $|B(z, r)| \geq V_{n,\delta}(r)$ follows from Günther-Bishop's volume comparison theorem [7, Thm. III.4.2]. On the other hand,

$$\int_D |B(x, r) \setminus E| dM(x) \leq |D| \sup_{x \in D} |B(x, r) \setminus E|.$$

This way we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in D} |B(x, r) \setminus E| \geq \frac{|B| - |E|}{|D|} V_{n,\delta}(r),$$

and the result follows. □

The following proof follows the lines in [24, Lemma 3.1] with the modifications imposed by the geometry of M

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete non-compact Riemannian manifold possessing a strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then we have*

$$I_M(v) = \inf_{r > \inf f} I_r(v),$$

for every $v \in (0, |M|)$.

Proof. From the definition of I_r it follows that $I_s \geq I_r \geq I_M$, for $r \geq s$, in the interval $(0, |C_s|)$. Hence $I_M \leq \inf_{r > \inf f} I_r$.

To prove the opposite inequality we shall follow the arguments in [23]. Fix $0 < v < |M|$, and let $\{E_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ be a sequence of sets of finite perimeter satisfying $|E_i| = v$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(E_i) = I_M(v)$.

Since $|E_i| = v < |M|$, there exists $R_i > 0$ such that

$$|E_i \setminus C_{R_i}| < \frac{1}{i}.$$

We now define a sequence of real numbers $\{r_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by taking $r_1 := R_1$ and $r_{i+1} := \max\{r_i, R_{i+1}\} + i$. Then $\{r_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$r_{i+1} - r_i \geq i, \quad |E_i \setminus C_{r_i}| < \frac{1}{i}.$$

In case $|E_i \setminus C_{r_{i+1}}| = 0$, we take a representative G_i of E_i contained in $C_{r_{i+1}}$ and we have

$$(2.2) \quad I_{r_{i+1}}(v) \leq P(G_i) = P(E_i).$$

In case $|E_i \setminus C_{r_{i+1}}| > 0$, since $|\nabla f| \leq L$, the coarea formula implies

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} H^{n-1}(E_i \cap \partial C_t) dt < |E_i| = v.$$

Hence the set of $r \in [r_i, r_{i+1}]$ such that $H^{n-1}(E_i \cap \partial C_r) \leq Lv/(r_{i+1} - r_i)$ has positive measure, where H^{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in M . By [15, Chap. 28, p. 216], we can choose $\rho(i) \in [r_i, r_{i+1}]$ in this set so that

$$P(E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}) = P(E_i, \text{int } C_{\rho(i)}) + H^{n-1}(E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}).$$

By the choice of $\rho(i)$ and the properties of $\{r_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ we also have

$$H^{n-1}(E_i \cap \partial C_{\rho(i)}) \leq \frac{Lv}{i}.$$

Take now $t > 0$ such that $|C_t| > v = |E_i| \geq |E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}|$ for all i , and let $\delta(t)$ be the maximum of the sectional curvatures of M in C_t . Let $v_i := |E_i| - |E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}|$. The sequence $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 since $v_i = |E_i \setminus C_{\rho(i)}| \leq |E_i \setminus C_{r_i}| < 1/i$. We take s_i defined by the equality

$$v_i = \frac{|C_t| - |E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}|}{|C_{2t}|} V_{\delta(t), n}(s_i),$$

for i large enough. From Lemma 2.1 we can find, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, a point $x_i \in C_{2t}$ such that

$$|B(x_i, s_i) \setminus (E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)})| \geq v_i.$$

Observe that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = 0$ since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}| = v < |B_t|$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i = 0$. By the continuity of the functions $s \mapsto |B(x_i, s) \setminus E_i|$, we can find a sequence of radii $s_i^* \in (0, s_i]$ so that

$B_i^* := B(x_i, s_i^*)$ satisfies $|B_i^* \setminus (E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)})| = v_i$ for all i . For large i , we have the inclusions $B_i^* \subset C_{\rho(i)}$, the set $F_i := (E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}) \cup B_i^*$ has volume v , and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad I_{r+i}(\nu) &\leq P(F_i) \leq P(E_i \cap C_{\rho(i)}) + P(B_i^*) \\
 &\leq P(E_i, \text{int } C_{\rho(i)}) + H^{n-1}(E_i \cap \partial C_{\rho(i)}) + P(B_i^*) \\
 &\leq P(E_i) + \frac{\nu}{i} + P(B_i^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the balls B_i^* are centered at points of the bounded subset C_{2t} with radii s_i^* converging to 0, Bishop's comparison result for the area of geodesic spheres when the Ricci curvature is bounded below [7, Thm. III.4.3] implies that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(B_i^*) = 0$. Taking limits in (2.2) and (2.3) when $i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\inf_{r > \inf f} I_r(\nu) \leq I_M(\nu)$. \square

Remark 2.3. From the proof of Lemma 2.2 it is clear that the center of the balls B_i^* must be taken in a bounded set of M to have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(B_i^*) = 0$. Indeed, it is easy to produce a family of geodesic balls in hyperbolic spaces with volume going to 0 and perimeters converging to $+\infty$.

The existence of a strictly convex exhaustion function on M implies that the hypersurfaces $\partial C_r = \{x \in M : f(x) = r\}$ foliate $M \setminus \{x_0\}$, where x_0 is the only minimum of f . The vector field $\nabla f / |\nabla f|$, defined on $M \setminus \{x_0\}$, is the outer unit normal to the hypersurfaces ∂C_r . For any $x \in \partial C_r$ and e tangent to ∂C_r at x we have

$$g\left(\nabla_e \left(\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} \right), e\right) = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \nabla^2 f(e, e) > 0.$$

Hence the hypersurfaces ∂C_r are strictly convex. The positive function $\text{div}(\nabla f / |\nabla f|)$ is defined on $M \setminus \{x_0\}$. Its value at $x \in \partial C_r$ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface ∂C_r at x .

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete manifold M possessing a strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then the isoperimetric profile I_r , of the sublevel set C_r is a continuous and strictly increasing function for $r > \inf f$.*

Proof. Continuity follows from the compactness of C_r and the lower semicontinuity of perimeter since a limit of isoperimetric regions of volumes converging to $v \in (0, |C_r|)$ is an isoperimetric region of volume v .

To check that I_r is non-decreasing, consider an isoperimetric region $E \subset C_r$ of volume $v \in (0, |C_r|)$. Let $0 < w < v$ and take $s \in (0, r)$ such that the set $E_s := E \cap C_s$ has volume w . Choose a sequence of radii s_i converging to s such that $P(E \cap C_{s_i}) = P(E, \text{int } C_{s_i}) + H^{n-1}(E \cap \partial C_{s_i})$ and

$$\int_{E \setminus C_{s_i}} \text{div } X \, dM = - \int_{E \cap \partial C_{s_i}} g(X, |\nabla f|^{-1} \nabla f) \, dH^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* E \setminus C_{s_i}} g(X, \nu_E) \, d|\partial E|,$$

for any vector field X of class C^1 with compact support in an open neighborhood of $C_r \setminus \text{int } C_{s_i}$. In the above formula, $\partial^* E$ is the reduced boundary of E and $d|\partial E|$ is the perimeter measure. We apply this formula to $X = \nabla f / |\nabla f|$. Since $\text{div } X > 0$ on $M \setminus \{x_0\}$, and $g(X, \nu_E) \leq 1$ we have

$$\int_{E \setminus C_{s_i}} \text{div } X \, dM + H^{n-1}(E \cap \partial C_{s_i}) \leq P(E, M \setminus C_{s_i}).$$

Adding $P(E, \text{int } C_{s_i})$ to both sides of the above inequality and estimating $P(E, \text{int } C_{s_i}) + P(E, M \setminus C_{s_i}) \leq P(E)$, we get

$$\int_{E \setminus C_{s_i}} \text{div } X \, dM + P(E \cap C_{s_i}) \leq P(E).$$

Taking inferior limits, and using the lower semicontinuity of perimeter, we obtain

$$P(E_s) < \int_{E \setminus C_s} \operatorname{div} X \, dM + P(E_s) \leq P(E),$$

and so

$$I_r(w) \leq P(E_s) < P(E) = I_r(v).$$

Thus I_r is an strictly increasing function. □

The following elementary lemma will be needed to prove our main result

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non-increasing ($f_i \geq f_{i+1}$) sequence of continuous non-decreasing functions defined on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Assume the limit $f(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(x)$ exists for every $x \in I$. Then f is a right-continuous function.*

Remark 2.6. Taking

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x, \\ 1 + ix, & -1/i \leq x \leq 0, \\ 0, & x \leq -1/i, \end{cases}$$

we immediately see that the limit of the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, \infty)$, which is not left-continuous.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Fix $x \in I$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence such that $x_i \geq x$. Since f is a non-decreasing function, $f(x) \leq f(x_i)$ for all i . Hence

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i).$$

Assume now that $x_i \downarrow x$. By the continuity of f_i at x , we can choose $z_i \in (x, x_i)$ such that

$$0 \leq f_i(z_i) - f_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{i}.$$

Since $f_i \geq f$ we get

$$f(z_i) \leq f_i(z_i) \leq f_i(x) + \frac{1}{i},$$

and so

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(z_i) \leq f(x).$$

As $x_i \downarrow x$, given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose $j(i)$ increasing so that $z_i < x_i < z_{j(i)}$. This implies

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(z_{j(i)}) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(z_i) \leq f(x).$$

Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) yield the right continuity of f . □

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Using the results from the previous section, we give now the proof of our main result

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete manifold M possessing a strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then the isoperimetric profile I_M of M is non-decreasing and continuous.*

Proof. Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 imply that the profile I_M is the limit of the non-increasing sequence $\{I_r\}_{r>\inf f}$ of continuous non-decreasing isoperimetric profiles. So I_M is trivially non-decreasing and Lemma 2.5 implies that I_M is right-continuous.

To prove the left-continuity of I_M at $v > 0$, we take a sequence $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $v_i \uparrow v$. Since I_M is non-decreasing, $I_M(v_i) \leq I_M(v)$. Taking limits we get $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_M(v_i) \leq I_M(v)$. To complete the proof, we shall show

$$(3.1) \quad I_M(v) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_M(v_i).$$

Consider a sequence $\{E_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets satisfying $|E_i| = v_i$ and $P(E_i) \leq I_M(v_i) + 1/i$. By Lemma 2.1, we can find a bounded sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence of radii $\{s_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0 so that

$$|B(x_i, s_i) \setminus E_i| \geq v - v_i > 0.$$

We argue now as in the final part of the proof of Lemma 2.2: since the function $s \in [0, s_i] \mapsto |B(x_i, s) \setminus E_i|$ is continuous, there exists, for large i , some $s_i^* \in (0, s_i]$ such that $|B(x_i, s_i^*) \setminus E_i| = v - v_i$. Taking $F_i := E_i \cup B(x_i, s_i^*)$ we have $|F_i| = |E_i| + |B(x_i, s_i^*) \setminus E_i| = v$, and

$$I_M(v) \leq P(F_i) \leq P(E_i) + P(B(x_i, s_i^*)) \leq I_M(v_i) + (1/i) + P(B(x_i, s_i^*)).$$

Taking limits we get (3.1). □

Theorem 3.2. *The isoperimetric profile I_M of a Hadamard manifold M is a continuous and non-decreasing function.*

Proof. We only need to construct a strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function. Fix $x_0 \in M$ and let $h = \frac{1}{2}d^2$, where d be the distance function to x_0 . Standard comparison results for the Laplacian of the squared distance function imply $\nabla^2 h \geq 1$, [21, Chap. 3]. However, h is not lipschitz continuous on M . We consider instead the C^∞ function $m : (-1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $m(x) = (1+x)^{1/2}$, and $f = m \circ h$. Take some tangent vector e of modulus 1 at some point of M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(m \circ h) &= (m' \circ h) \nabla h, \\ \nabla^2(m \circ h)(e, e) &= (m'' \circ h) g(\nabla h, e)^2 + (m' \circ h) \nabla^2 h^2(e, e). \end{aligned}$$

From the first formula we obtain

$$\nabla f = \frac{d}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}d^2)^{1/2}} \nabla d.$$

Hence the gradient of f is uniformly bounded from above and so the function f is lipschitz continuous on M . On the other hand, from the second formula we get

$$\nabla^2 f(e, e) = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}d^2)^{3/2}} g(\nabla h, e)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}d^2)^{1/2}} \nabla^2 h(e, e)$$

By Schwarz's inequality $g(\nabla h, e) \leq 1$ and so

$$\nabla^2 f(e, e) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + d^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}d^2)^{3/2}} > 0,$$

and so f is strictly convex. Since the sublevel sets of f are geodesic balls, f is an exhaustion function on M . Theorem 3.1 then implies that the isoperimetric profile of M is a continuous and non-decreasing function. □

Theorem 3.3. *The isoperimetric profile I_M of a complete non-compact manifold M with strictly positive sectional curvatures is a continuous and non-decreasing function.*

Proof. The existence of a strictly convex lipschitz continuous exhaustion function follows from the results by Greene and Wu [11], and the properties of the isoperimetric profile from Theorem 3.1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Adams, F. Morgan, and S. Nardulli. Isoperimetric profile continuous? [Frank Morgan's blog entry](#), 26 July 2013.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov, and V. Schroeder. *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, volume 61 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [3] C. Bavard and P. Pansu. Sur le volume minimal de \mathbf{R}^2 . *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 19(4):479–490, 1986.
- [4] V. Bayle. *Propriétés de concavité du profil isopérimétrique et applications*. PhD thesis, Institut Fourier, 2003.
- [5] V. Bayle and C. Rosales. Some isoperimetric comparison theorems for convex bodies in Riemannian manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 54(5):1371–1394, 2005.
- [6] I. Benjamini and J. Cao. A new isoperimetric comparison theorem for surfaces of variable curvature. *Duke Math. J.*, 85(2):359–396, 1996.
- [7] I. Chavel. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 98 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2006. A modern introduction.
- [8] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll. On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:413–443, 1972.
- [9] M. Galli and M. Ritoré. Existence of isoperimetric regions in contact sub-Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 397(2):697–714, 2013.
- [10] S. Gallot. Inégalités isopérimétriques et analytiques sur les variétés riemanniennes. *Astérisque*, (163-164):5–6, 31–91, 281 (1989), 1988. On the geometry of differentiable manifolds (Rome, 1986).
- [11] R. E. Greene and H. Wu. C^∞ convex functions and manifolds of positive curvature. *Acta Math.*, 137(3-4):209–245, 1976.
- [12] W.-Y. Hsiang. On soap bubbles and isoperimetric regions in noncompact symmetric spaces. I. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 44(2):151–175, 1992.
- [13] G. P. Leonardi and S. Rigot. Isoperimetric sets on Carnot groups. *Houston J. Math.*, 29(3):609–637 (electronic), 2003.
- [14] G. P. Leonardi, M. Ritoré, and E. Vernadakis. Isoperimetric inequalities in unbounded convex bodies. 2015.
- [15] F. Maggi. *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, volume 135 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. An introduction to geometric measure theory.
- [16] F. Morgan. *Geometric measure theory*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, fourth edition, 2009. A beginner's guide.
- [17] F. Morgan and D. L. Johnson. Some sharp isoperimetric theorems for Riemannian manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 49(3):1017–1041, 2000.
- [18] F. Morgan and M. Ritoré. Isoperimetric regions in cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(6):2327–2339, 2002.
- [19] A. Muñoz Flores and S. Nardulli. Continuity and differentiability properties of the isoperimetric profile in complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry. [arXiv:1404.3245](#), 11 Apr 2014.
- [20] S. Nardulli. Generalized existence of isoperimetric regions in non-compact Riemannian manifolds and applications to the isoperimetric profile. *Asian J. Math.*, 18(1):1–28, 2014.
- [21] P. Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [22] M. Ritoré. The isoperimetric problem in complete surfaces of nonnegative curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 11(3):509–517, 2001.
- [23] M. Ritoré and C. Rosales. Existence and characterization of regions minimizing perimeter under a volume constraint inside Euclidean cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(11):4601–4622 (electronic), 2004.
- [24] M. Ritoré and E. Vernadakis. Isoperimetric inequalities in conically bounded convex bodies. *J. Geom. Anal.* [doi:10.1007/s12220-015-9559-9](#).
- [25] M. Ritoré and E. Vernadakis. Isoperimetric inequalities in convex cylinders and cylindrically bounded convex bodies. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*. [doi:10.1007/s00526-014-0800-3](#).