

Weight modules over split Lie algebras

Antonio J. Calderón Martín *
Departamento de Matemáticas.
Universidad de Cádiz. 11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain.
e-mail: ajesus.calderon@uca.es

José M. Sánchez-Delgado
Departamento de Álgebra, Geometría y Topología.
Universidad de Málaga. 29080 Málaga, Spain.
e-mail: txema.sanchez@uma.es

Abstract

We study the structure of arbitrary weight modules V , (with no restrictions neither on the dimension nor on the base field), over split Lie algebras L . We show that if L is perfect and V satisfies $LV = V$ and $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$, then

$$L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} I_i \text{ and } V = \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j$$

with any I_i an ideal of L satisfying $[I_i, I_k] = 0$ if $i \neq k$, and any V_j a (weight) submodule of V in such a way that for any $j \in J$ there exists a unique $i \in I$ such that $I_i V_j \neq 0$, being V_j a weight module over I_i . Under certain conditions, it is shown that the above decomposition of V is by means of the family of its minimal submodules, each one being a simple (weight) submodule.

Keywords: Infinite dimensional Lie module, infinite dimensional split Lie algebra, structure theory.

2010 MSC: 17B10, 17B65, 17B05.

1 Introduction and previous definitions

Throughout this paper, weight modules V and split Lie algebras L are considered of arbitrary dimensions and over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{K} . It is worth to mention that, unless otherwise stated, there is not any restriction on $\dim V_\gamma$, $\dim L_\alpha$ or the products $L_\alpha V_\gamma$ where V_γ denotes the weight space associated to the weight γ of V and L_α the root space associated to the root α of L .

*Supported by the PCI of the UCA 'Teoría de Lie y Teoría de Espacios de Banach', by the PAI with project numbers FQM298, FQM2467, FQM3737 and by the project of the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia MTM2007-60333.

Given an element x of a Lie algebra L , we denote by ad_x the adjoint mapping ad_x defined as $\text{ad}_x(y) := [x, y]$ for any $y \in L$. A *splitting Cartan subalgebra* H of L is defined as a maximal abelian subalgebra of L , satisfying that the adjoint mappings ad_h , for $h \in H$, are simultaneously diagonalizable. If L contains a splitting Cartan subalgebra H , then L is called a *split Lie algebra*, (see for instance [5]). This means that we have a root spaces decomposition

$$L = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L_\alpha \right)$$

where $L_\alpha = \{v_\alpha \in L : [v_\alpha, h] = \alpha(h)v_\alpha \text{ for any } h \in H\}$ for a linear functional $\alpha \in H^*$ and $\Lambda := \{\alpha \in H^* \setminus \{0\} : L_\alpha \neq 0\}$. The subspaces L_α for $\alpha \in H^*$ are called *root spaces* of L , (respect to H), and the elements $\alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ are called *roots* of L , (respect to H). Clearly $L_0 = H$ and, as consequence of Jacobi identity, $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] \subset L_{\alpha+\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$. We also say that Λ is *symmetric* if for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have that $-\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Definition 1.1. *Let V be a module over a Lie algebra L with splitting Cartan subalgebra H . For a linear functional $\gamma : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, the weight space of V , (respect to H), associated to γ is the subspace*

$$V_\gamma = \{v_\gamma \in V : hv_\gamma = \gamma(h)v_\gamma \text{ for any } h \in H\}.$$

The elements $\gamma \in H^*$ satisfying $V_\gamma \neq 0$ are called *weights* of V respect to H and we denote $\mathcal{P} := \{\gamma \in H^* \setminus \{0\} : V_\gamma \neq 0\}$. We say that V is a *weight module*, respect to H , if

$$V = V_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} V_\gamma \right).$$

We also say that \mathcal{P} is the *weight system* of V .

The weight system \mathcal{P} is called *symmetric* if for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ we have that $-\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$.

Split Lie algebras are examples of weight modules over themselves, where $\mathcal{P} = \Lambda$ and $V_\gamma = L_\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{0\}$. Since the even part L^0 of the standard embedding of a split Lie triple system T and of a split twisted inner derivation triple system M is a split Lie algebra, the natural actions of L^0 over T and M make of T and M weight modules over the split Lie algebra L^0 . So the present paper extend the results in [1, 2, 3].

2 Connections of weights. Decompositions of V

In the following, $V = V_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} V_\gamma \right)$ denotes a weight module with a symmetric weight system \mathcal{P} , respect to a split Lie algebra $L = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L_\alpha \right)$ with a symmetric root system Λ . We begin by developing connections of weights techniques in this framework.

Definition 2.1. Let γ and δ be two nonzero weights. We say that γ is connected to δ if there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that

1. $\{\gamma + \alpha_1, \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}\} \subset \mathcal{P}$,
2. $\gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \in \{\delta, -\delta\}$,

where the sums are considered in H^* .

We also say that $\{\gamma, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a connection from γ to δ .

Proposition 2.1. The relation \sim in \mathcal{P} defined by $\gamma \sim \delta$ if and only if γ is connected to δ is of equivalence.

Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma := \{\delta \in \mathcal{P} : \delta \sim \gamma\}.$$

Clearly if $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma$ then $-\delta \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma$ and, by Proposition 2.1, if $\eta \notin \mathcal{P}_\gamma$ then $\mathcal{P}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{P}_\eta = \emptyset$.

Our next goal is to associate an (adequate) weight submodule $V_{\mathcal{P}_\gamma}$ to any \mathcal{P}_γ . For $\mathcal{P}_\gamma, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, we define the following linear subspace of V :

$$V_{\mathcal{P}_\gamma} := \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}_\gamma} L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma} V_\delta \right).$$

We also denote by $V_{0, \mathcal{P}_\gamma} := \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}_\gamma} L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha \subset V_0$.

Lemma 2.1. The following assertions hold:

1. For any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\alpha \neq -\gamma$, if $L_\alpha V_\gamma \neq 0$ then $\alpha + \gamma \sim \gamma$.
2. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}$, if $L_\beta(L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha) \neq 0$ then $\alpha \sim \beta$.

We recall that a Lie module V is said to be *simple* if its only submodules are $\{0\}$ and V .

Theorem 2.1. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the following assertions hold.

1. $V_{\mathcal{P}_\gamma}$ is a weight submodule of V .
2. If V is simple, then there exists a connection from γ to δ for any $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{P}$ and $V_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}_\gamma} L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.1-1 let us assert that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, $V_{\mathcal{P}_\gamma}$ is a weight submodule of V that we call the submodule of V *associated* to \mathcal{P}_γ .

Proposition 2.2. For a linear complement \mathcal{U} of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}\}$ in V_0 , we have

$$V = \mathcal{U} + \left(\sum_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{P}/\sim} V_{[\gamma]} \right),$$

where any $V_{[\gamma]}$ is one of the weight submodules described in Theorem 2.1-1.

Recall that the *center* of V is defined as the set $\mathcal{Z}(V) = \{v \in V : Lv = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $LV = V$ and $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$, then V is the direct sum of the ideals given in Proposition 2.2,*

$$V = \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{P}/\sim} V_{[\gamma]}.$$

3 Connections of roots. Decompositions of L

We begin this section by introducing a concept of connections of roots for L in a slightly different way to the one of connection of weights for \mathcal{P} developed in the previous section. To do that, we will connect the nonzero roots of L through nonzero roots of L and nonzero weights of \mathcal{P} considered both as elements in H^* .

Definition 3.1. *Let α, β be two nonzero roots of L . We say that α is connected to β if there exist $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \Lambda \cup \mathcal{P}$ such that*

1. $\alpha = \zeta_1$,
1. $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_1 + \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_{n-1}\} \subset \Lambda \cup \mathcal{P}$,
2. $\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n \in \{\beta, -\beta\}$,

where the sums are considered in H^* .

We also say that $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ is a connection from α to β .

Proposition 3.1. *The relation \approx in Λ defined by $\alpha \approx \beta$ if and only if α is connected to β is of equivalence.*

Given $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we denote by

$$\Lambda_\alpha := \{\beta \in \Lambda : \beta \approx \alpha\}.$$

We also have that if $\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ then $-\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ and, by Proposition 3.1, if $\mu \notin \Lambda_\alpha$ then $\Lambda_\alpha \cap \Lambda_\mu = \emptyset$.

Our next aim is to associate an adequate ideal of L to any Λ_α . For $\Lambda_\alpha, \alpha \in \Lambda$, we define

$$H_{\Lambda_\alpha} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{[L_\beta, L_{-\beta}] : \beta \in \Lambda_\alpha\} \subset H,$$

and

$$V_{\Lambda_\alpha} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha} L_\beta.$$

We denote by L_{Λ_α} the following linear subspace of L ,

$$L_{\Lambda_\alpha} := H_{\Lambda_\alpha} \oplus V_{\Lambda_\alpha}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Then the following assertions hold.*

1. $[L_{\Lambda_\alpha}, L_{\Lambda_\alpha}] \subset L_{\Lambda_\alpha}$.

2. If $\mu \notin \Lambda_\alpha$ then $[L_{\Lambda_\alpha}, L_{\Lambda_\mu}] = 0$.

By Proposition 3.2-1 we can assert that for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, L_{Λ_α} is a subalgebra of L that we call the subalgebra of L associated to Λ_α .

Theorem 3.1. *The following assertions hold.*

1. For any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the subalgebra

$$L_{\Lambda_\alpha} = H_{\Lambda_\alpha} \oplus V_{\Lambda_\alpha}$$

of L associated to Λ_α is an ideal of L .

2. If L is simple, then there exists a connection from α to β for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}]$.

Proposition 3.3. *For a linear complement \mathcal{U} of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{[L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}] : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ in H , we have*

$$L = \mathcal{U} + \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\approx} I_{[\alpha]},$$

where any $I_{[\alpha]}$ is one of the ideals L_{Λ_α} of L described in Theorem 3.1-1, satisfying $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\beta]}] = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{Z}(L) = \{e \in L : [e, L] = 0\}$ the center of L .

Theorem 3.2. *If $\mathcal{Z}(L) = 0$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}]$, then L is the direct sum of the ideals given in Theorem 3.1,*

$$L = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\approx} I_{[\alpha]}.$$

4 Relating the decompositions of V and L

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be weight module respect to a perfect split Lie algebra L such that $LV = V$ and $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$. Then*

$$L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} I_i \text{ and } V = \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j$$

with any I_i a nonzero ideal of L satisfying $[I_i, I_k] = 0$ if $i \neq k$, and any V_j a nonzero weight submodule of V in such a way that for any $j \in J$ there exists a unique $i \in I$ such that

$$I_i V_j \neq 0.$$

Furthermore V_j is a weight module over I_i .

5 The simple components

In this section we are showing that, under certain conditions, the decomposition of V given in Theorem 4.1 can be given by means of the family of its minimal submodules, each one being a simple (weight) submodule.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$. If W is a submodule of V such that $W \subset V_0$, then $W = \{0\}$.*

Let us introduce the concepts of weight-multiplicativity and maximal length in the framework of weight modules over split Lie algebras, in a similar way to the analogous ones for split Lie algebras, split Lie triple systems and split twisted inner derivation triple systems, (see [1, 2, 3] for these notions and examples).

Definition 5.1. *We say that a weight module V is of maximal length if $\dim V_\gamma = 1$ for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Let us note that weight modules of maximal length appears in a natural way in several contexts. See for instance [4], [6] and [7] for the cases over Virasoro, generalized Virasolo and Witt algebras respectively.

Given any submodule W of V , it is well known that any submodule of a weight module is again a weight module. So we have

$$W = (W \cap V_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} (W \cap V_\gamma) \right).$$

Observe that if V is of maximal length then we can write

$$W = (W \cap V_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}^W} V_\gamma \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^W := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P} : W \cap V_\gamma \neq 0\}.$$

Definition 5.2. *We say that a weight module V over a split Lie algebra L is weight-multiplicative if given $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\alpha + \gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, then $L_\alpha V_\gamma \neq 0$.*

Here we note that if V satisfies $V_0 = \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}} L_{-\beta} V_\beta$ we will understand the weight-multiplicativity of V by supposing also that if $L_\beta(L_{-\beta} V_\beta) \neq 0$ then $L_{-\beta}(L_\beta V_{-\beta}) \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let V be a weight module of maximal length, weight-multiplicative and with $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$ over a split Lie algebra L . If V has all its nonzero weights connected and $V_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}} L_{-\alpha} V_\alpha$ then either V is simple or $V = W \oplus W'$ with W and W' simple (weight) submodules of V .*

Theorem 5.2. *Let V be a weight module of maximal length, weight-multiplicative and with $LV = V$, $\mathcal{Z}(V) = 0$ over a split Lie algebra L . Then $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} I_i$ with any I_i a nonzero ideal of L satisfying $[I_i, I_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and $V = \bigoplus_{k \in K} V_k$ is the direct sum of the family of its minimal submodules, each one being a simple weight submodule of V in such a way that for any $k \in K$ there exists a unique $i \in I$ such that $I_i V_k \neq 0$. Furthermore V_k is a weight module over I_i .*

References

- [1] Calderón, A.J.: On split Lie algebras with symmetric root systems. Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci, Math. Sci. 118, 351-356, (2008).
- [2] Calderón, A.J.: On split Lie triple systems II. Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci, Math. Sci. 120, 185-198, (2010).
- [3] Calderón, A.J., Forero M.: Split Twisted inner derivation triple systems. Comm. Alg. 38, n.1, 28-45, (2010).
- [4] Kaplansky I.: Virasolo algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. 86, 49-54, (1982).
- [5] Stumme N.: The structure of locally finite split Lie algebras. J. Algebra 220, 664-693, (1999).
- [6] Su, Y.C., Zhao K.: Generalized Virasolo and super-Virasolo algebras and modules of intermediate series. J. Algebra 252, 1-19, (2002).
- [7] Zhao K.: Weight modules over generalized Witt algebras with 1-dimensional weight spaces. Forum Math. 16, no. 5, 725748, (2004).