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Abstract

An approximation theorem for compact minimal surfaces by complete minimal surfaces of finite total curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is obtained. This Mergelyan type result can be extended to the family of complete minimal surfaces of weak finite total curvature, that is to say, having finite total curvature on regions of finite conformal type. We deal only with the orientable case.

1 Introduction

The classical theorems of Mergelyan and Runge deal with the uniform approximation problem for holomorphic functions on planar regions by rational functions on the complex plane. They extend to interpolation problems and approximation results of continuous functions on Jordan curves and meromorphic functions on regions, among other applications. Specially interesting is the approximation by meromorphic functions with prescribed zeros and poles on compact Riemann surfaces (algebraic approximation). For instance, see the works by Bishop [2], Scheinberg [15, 16] and Royden [14] for a good setting.

These results have played an interesting role in the general theory of minimal surfaces, taking part in very sophisticated arguments for constructing complete (or proper) minimal surfaces that are far from being algebraic in any sense (see the pioneering works by Jorge-Xavier [6], Nadirashvili [12] and Morales [11]).

However, the family of complete minimal surfaces with finite total curvature (FTC for short) is naturally connected to the one of compact Riemann surfaces. Complete minimal surfaces of FTC have occupied a relevant position in the global theory of minimal surfaces since its origin. As a matter of fact, progress in this area has depended, in an essential manner, on their special analytic and geometric properties. Huber [4] proved that if $M$ is a Riemann surface with possibly non empty compact boundary $\partial(M)$ which admits a conformal complete minimal immersion with FTC in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then $M$ has finite conformal type, that is to say, it is conformally equivalent to $M^c - E$, where $M^c$ is a compact Riemann surface with boundary $\partial(M^c) = \partial(M)$ and $E \subset M^c - \partial(M^c)$ is a finite set (the topological ends of $M$). In addition, R. Osserman [17] showed that the Weierstrass data of such a immersion extend meromorphically to the so-called Osserman compatification $M^c$ of $M$.

It is also worth mentioning that any finitely punctured compact Riemann surface admits a non-rigid conformal complete minimal immersion of FTC in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see Pirola [13]).
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The aim of this paper is to develop a natural approximation theory for minimal surfaces, in which the examples of FTC play the same role as the rational or meromorphic functions in Algebraic Geometry (see Theorem II below). Our approximation method will allow control over the conformal structure and the flux map of minimal surfaces, leading to natural connections with other interesting geometrical phenomena (bridge constructions, immersing problems and general existence theorems for minimal surfaces). Further developments can be found in [1, 9].

For a thorough exposition of these results, the following notations are required.

A conformal complete minimal immersion $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is said to be of weak finite total curvature (WFTC for short) if $X|_{\Omega}$ has FTC for all regions $\Omega \subset M$ of finite conformal type.

If $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a conformal minimal immersion and $\gamma \subset M$ is an oriented closed curve, the flux of $X$ on $\gamma$ is given by $p_X(\gamma) := \int_\gamma \mu(s) ds$, where $s$ is an oriented arclength parameter on $\gamma$ and $\mu(s)$ the corresponding conormal vector of $X$ at $\gamma(s)$ for all $s$. Recall that $\mu(s)$ is the unique unit tangent vector of $X$ at $\gamma(s)$ such that $\{dX(\gamma'(s)), \mu(s)\}$ is a positive basis. Since $X$ is a harmonic map, $p_X(\gamma)$ depends only on the homology class of $\gamma$ and the well defined flux map $p_X : H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a group homomorphism.

As usual, a surface is said to be open if it is non-compact and has empty topological boundary. In the sequel, $N$ will denote an arbitrary but fixed open Riemann surface.

**Definition 1.1** Let $M$ be a region of $N$ with possibly non-empty compact boundary. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(M)$ the space of conformal complete minimal immersions $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of WFTC, extending as a conformal minimal immersion to a neighborhood of $M$ in $N$.

If $M$ has finite conformal type, $\mathcal{M}(M)$ is the space of conformal complete minimal immersions of $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with FTC extending beyond $M$ in $N$. The space $\mathcal{M}(M)$ will be endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on (not necessarily compact) regions of finite conformal type in $M$.

Our main result is the following cousin of Runge and Mergelyan theorems (see Theorems 4.1 and 4.2):

**Theorem I (Fundamental Approximation Theorem):** Let $S$ be a non necessarily connected closed subset of $N$ consisting of a finite collection, that we call $M$, of pairwise disjoint regions in $N$ of finite conformal type, and a finite collection, that we call $\beta$, of compact analytical Jordan arcs (possibly some of them closed Jordan curves) in $N$ meeting at finitely many points, and such that $\beta - M^o$ has finitely many connected components. Assume that $N - S$ contains no relatively compact connected components.

Then, for any two smooth conformal maps$^1$ $X : S \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $N : S \to \mathbb{S}^2$, and group homomorphism $q : H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying:

- $X|_M \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ and $X|_\beta$ is an immersion,
- $N|_M$ is the Gauss map of $X|_M$ and $N|_\beta$ is normal to $X|_\beta$, and
- $q|_{H_1(S, Z)} = p_X$,

there exists $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(N)$ such that $\{Y_n|_S - X\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0$ uniformly on $S$ and $p_{Y_n} = q$ for all $n$.

As a corollary, $\mathcal{M}(N) \neq \emptyset$ for any open Riemann surface $N$, and even more, for any group homomorphism $q : H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ we can find $Y \in \mathcal{M}(N)$ with $p_Y = q$. Choosing $N$ of finite conformal type and $q = 0$, one obtains Pirola’s theorem [13] as a corollary.

The cases $M = \emptyset$ or $\beta = \emptyset$ are allowed in Theorem I.

$^1$See Definition 3.2.
The Fundamental Approximation Theorem can also be used in general connected sum constructions for complete minimal surfaces of FTC (see [9]). Other results of this kind can be found in Kapouleas [7] and Yang [18]. Perhaps, the most basic and useful consequence of Theorem I is the following corollary, in which all the involved immersions are of FTC:

**Theorem II (Basic Approximation Theorem):** Assume that \( \mathcal{N} \) has finite conformal type, and let \( M \subset \mathcal{N} \) be a compact region such that \( \mathcal{N} - M \) contains no relatively compact components.

Then, for any \( X \in M(M) \) there exists \( \{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(\mathcal{N}) \) such that \( \{Y_n|_M - X\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) uniformly on \( M \) and \( py_n|_{\mathcal{H}_1(M,\mathbb{Z})} = p_X \) for all \( n \).

The paper is laid out as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminary results on Algebraic Geometry, and in Section 3 we go over the Weierstrass and spinorial representations of minimal surfaces. Section 4 contains the main results: the Fundamental Approximation Theorem is proved in Subsection 4.1 in the particular case when \( \mathcal{N} \) has finite conformal type and \( S \) is isotopic to \( \mathcal{N} \), whereas its general version and the Basic Approximation Theorem are obtained in Subsection 4.2.

In a forthcoming paper [10] the author will extend this analysis to the nonorientable case.

## 2 Preliminaries on Riemann surfaces

As usual, we call \( \overline{C} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \) the extended complex plane or Riemann sphere.

Let \( M \) be a Riemann surface with possible non-empty topological boundary. As usual, we write \( \partial(M) \) the open one dimensional topological manifold determined by the boundary points of \( M \), and \( \text{Int}(M) \) the open surface \( M - \partial(M) \). A subset \( \Omega \subset M \) is said to be proper if the inclusion map \( j : \Omega \to M \) is a proper topological map, which simply means that \( \Omega \) is closed in \( M \). A proper subset \( \Omega \subset M \) is said to be a region if, endowed with the induced topology, it is a connected topological surface with possibly non-empty boundary. An open connected subset of \( \text{Int}(M) \) will be called a domain of \( M \). Given \( S \subset M \), we write \( S^\circ \) and \( \mathbb{S} \) for the topological interior and closure of \( S \) in \( M \).

Given two regions \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* \) of \( M \) with finitely many boundary components, \( \Omega^* \) is said to be an extension of \( \Omega \) if \( \Omega \) is a proper subset of \( \Omega^* \), \( \Omega \cap \partial(\Omega^*) = \emptyset \) and \( \Omega^* - \Omega \) contains no compact connected components disjoint from \( \partial(\Omega^*) \). This definition can be extended to the case when \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* \) are a finite collection of pairwise disjoint regions in \( \mathcal{N} \). In particular the induced group homomorphism \( j_* : \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega^*,\mathbb{R}) \) is injective, where \( j : \Omega \to \Omega^* \) is the inclusion map (up to the natural identification we consider \( \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega^*,\mathbb{R}) \)). This notion makes sense even when \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* \) are not connected (that is to say, when they consists of a collection of regions).

Likewise, \( \Omega^* \) is said to be an annular extension of \( \Omega \) if \( \Omega^* \) is an extension of \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* - \Omega^0 \) consists of finitely many compact annuli and once punctured closed discs. If in addition \( \Omega^* - \Omega^0 \) is compact (that is to say, it is a finite collection of compact annuli), then \( \Omega^* \) is said to be a trivial annular extension or closed tubular neighborhood of \( \Omega \) in \( M \). In this case \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* \) are homeomorphic.

For any \( W \subset M \), we denote by \( \mathfrak{D} \text{iv}(W) \) the free commutative group of divisors of \( W \) with multiplicative notation. If \( D = \prod_{i=1}^n Q_i^{n_i} \in \mathfrak{D} \text{iv}(W) \), where \( n_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \) for all \( i \), the set \( \{Q_1,\ldots,Q_n\} \) is said to be the support of \( D \). We denote by \( \mathfrak{D} \text{eg} : \mathfrak{D} \text{iv}(W) \to \mathbb{Z} \) the group homomorphism given by the degree map \( \mathfrak{D} \text{eg}(\prod_{j=1}^t Q_j^{n_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^t n_j \). A divisor \( \prod_{j=1}^n Q_j^{n_j} \in \mathfrak{D} \text{iv}(W) \) is said to be integral if \( n_i \geq 0 \) for all \( i \). Given \( D_1, D_2 \in \mathfrak{D} \text{iv}(W) \), \( D_1 \geq D_2 \) if and only if \( D_1D_2^{-1} \) is integral.

Assume that \( M \) is open, let \( V \) be a domain or a region in \( M \), and let \( f : V \to \overline{C} \) be a meromorphic function extending to an open neighborhood of \( V \) in \( M \). We denote by \( (f)_0 \) and \( (f)_\infty \) the integral divisors of zeros and poles in \( V \), respectively, and call \( (f) = (f)_0/(f)_\infty \) the divisor of \( f \) in \( V \). Likewise for meromorphic 1-forms on \( V \).
2.1 Compact Riemann surfaces

The background of the following results can be found, for instance, in [3].

In the sequel, $R$ will denote a compact Riemann surface with genus $\nu \geq 1$ and empty boundary. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_m(R)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_m(R)$ the spaces of meromorphic and holomorphic 1-forms on $R$, respectively, and call $\mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ the space of meromorphic functions on $R$.

Label $\mathcal{H}_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$ as the 1st homology group with integer coefficients of $R$. Let $B = \{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1,...,\nu}$ be a canonical homology basis of $\mathcal{H}_1(R, \mathbb{Z})$, and write $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1,...,\nu}$ the associated dual basis of $\mathfrak{M}_m(R)$, that is to say, the one satisfying that $\int_{a_j} \xi_j = \delta_{jk}$, $j = k, 1, \ldots, \nu$.

Denote by $\Pi = (\pi_{jk})_{j,k=1,...,\nu}$ the Jacobi period matrix with entries $\pi_{jk} = \int_{b_j} \xi_j$, $j = k, 1, \ldots, \nu$. This matrix is symmetric and has positive definite imaginary part. We denote by $L(R)$ the lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the $2\nu$-columns of the $\nu \times 2\nu$ matrix $(I_{\nu}, \Pi)$, where $I_{\nu}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $\nu$.

If $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ and $(f)_0$ and $(f)_\infty \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$ are the integral divisors of zeros and poles of $f$ in $R$, respectively, we call $(f) = (f)_0/(f)_\infty$ the principal divisor associated to $f$. Likewise, if $(\theta)_0$ and $(\theta)_\infty \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$ are the integral divisors of zeros and poles of $\theta \in \mathfrak{M}_m(R)$, respectively, we call $(\theta) = (\theta)_0/(\theta)_\infty$ the canonical divisor of $\theta$.

Finally, set $J(R) = C^*/L(R)$ the Jacobian variety of $R$, which is a compact, commutative, complex, $\nu$-dimensional Lie group. Fix $P_0 \in R$, denote by $\varphi_{P_0} : \mathfrak{D}(R) \to J(R)$, $\varphi_{P_0}(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} Q_j^{s_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} n_j \cdot (\int_{P_0}^{Q_j} \xi_1, \ldots, \int_{P_0}^{Q_j} \xi_{\nu})$ the Abel-Jacobi map with base point $P_0$, where $t(\cdot)$ means matrix transpose. If there is no room for ambiguity, we simply write $\varphi$.

Abel’s theorem asserts that $D \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$ is the principal divisor associated to a meromorphic function $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{D}(D) = 0$ and $\varphi(D) = 0$. Jacobi’s theorem says that $\varphi : R_\nu \to J(R)$ is surjective and has maximal rank (hence a local biholomorphism) almost everywhere, where $R_\nu$ denotes the space of integral divisors in $\mathfrak{D}(R)$ of degree $\nu$.

Riemann-Roch theorem says that $r(D^{-1}) = \mathfrak{D}(D) - g + 1 + i(D)$ for any $D \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$, where $r(D^{-1})$ (respectively, $i(D)$) is the dimension of the complex vectorial space of functions $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ (respectively, 1-forms $\theta \in \mathfrak{M}_m(R)$) satisfying that $(f) \geq D^{-1}$ (respectively, $(\theta) \geq D$).

By Abel’s theorem, the point $\kappa_R := \varphi((\theta)) \in J(R)$ does not depend on $\theta \in \mathfrak{M}_m(R)$. It is called the vector of the Riemann constants. Write $S(R)$ for the set containing the $2^{2\nu}$ solutions of the algebraic equation $2s = \kappa_R \in J(R)$. Any element of $S(R)$ is said to be a spinor structure on $R$. A 1-form $\theta \in \mathfrak{M}_m(R)$ is said to be spinorial if $\varphi(\theta) = D^2$ for a divisor $D \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{S}_m(R)$) the set of spinorial meromorphic (respectively, spinorial holomorphic) 1-forms on $R$. Two 1-forms $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ are said to be spinorially equivalent, written $\theta_1 \sim \theta_2$, if there exists $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m(R)$ such that $\theta_2 = f^2 \theta_1$. Notice that a class $\Theta \in \mathfrak{S}(R)$ determines a unique spinor structure $s_\Theta \in S(R)$. Indeed, it suffices to take $\theta \in \Theta$ and define $s_\Theta = \varphi(D)$, where $D \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$ is determined by the equation $D^2 = (\theta)$. By Abel’s theorem $s_\Theta$ does not depend on the chosen $\theta \in \Theta$.

The map $\mathfrak{S}(R) \to S(R)$, $\Theta \mapsto s_\Theta$ is bijective. To see this, take $s \in S(R)$ and use Jacobi’s theorem to find an integral divisor $D' \in \mathfrak{D}(R)$ of degree $\nu$ satisfying $\varphi(D') = s$. By Abel’s theorem, $(D'P_0^{-1})^2$ is the canonical divisor associated to a spinorial meromorphic 1-form whose corresponding class $\Theta_s$ in $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ satisfies $s_{\Theta_s} = s$ (as indicated above, $P_0$ is the initial condition of the Abel-Jacobi map).

Spinor structures can also be introduced in a more topological way. Indeed, take $s \in S(R)$ and $\theta \in \Theta_s$. For any embedded loop $\gamma \subset R$, consider an open annular neighborhood $A$ of $\gamma$ and a conformal parameter $z : A \to \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < r\}$. Set $\xi_s(\gamma) = 0$ if $\sqrt{\theta(z)/dz}$ has a well defined branch on $A$ and $\xi_s(\gamma) = 1$ otherwise, and note that this number does not depend on the chosen annular conformal chart. The induced map $\xi_s : \mathcal{H}_1(R, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not depend on $\theta \in \Theta_s$ and defines a group homomorphism. Furthermore, $\xi_{s_1} = \xi_{s_2}$ if and only if $s_1 = s_2$, and therefore $S(R)$...
can be identified with the set of group morphisms \( \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_1(R, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2) \). We simply write \( \xi_\Theta = \xi_{\phi} \), for any \( \Theta \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m(R) \).

### 2.2 Riemann surfaces of finite conformal type

A Riemann surface \( M \) with possibly \( \partial(M) \neq \emptyset \) is said to be of finite conformal type if there exists a compact Riemann surface \( M^c \) and a finite set \( \{ E_1, \ldots, E_n \} \subset M^c - \partial(M^c) \) such that \( M = M^c - \{ E_1, \ldots, E_n \} \). In this case, \( M^c \) is said to be the Osseman compactification of \( M \) (uniquely determined up to biholomorphisms). Compact Riemann surfaces are of finite conformal type (in this case, the set of topological ends is empty).

Attaching a conformal disc to each connected component of \( \partial(M^c) = \partial(M) \), we get a compact Riemann surface \( R \) without boundary that will be called a conformal compactification of \( M \). With this language, \( M^c = R - (\cup_{j=1}^b U_j) \), where \( U_1, \ldots, U_b \) are open discs in \( R \) with pairwise disjoint closures. Notice that \( R \) depends on the gluing process of the conformal discs, hence conformal compactifications of \( M \) are not unique.

As usual, call \( \mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \) the 1st homology group of \( M \) with integer coefficients.

Set \( \mathfrak{G}_m(R) \) the space of meromorphic 1-forms \( \theta \) on \( \text{Int}(M) \) satisfying that

- any zero or pole of \( \theta \) in \( \text{Int}(M) \) has even order, and
- \( \theta \) extend meromorphically to \( \text{Int}(M^c) \).

In a similar way, we call \( \mathfrak{G}_h(M) \) the space of \( \theta \in \mathfrak{G}_m(M) \) such that \( \theta \) is holomorphic on \( \text{Int}(M) \).

Two 1-forms \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_m(M) \) are said to be spinorally equivalent if there exists a meromorphic function \( f \) on \( \text{Int}(M^c) \) such that \( \theta_2 = f^2 \theta_1 \). As above, we define the map

\[
\xi : \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_m(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad \Theta \mapsto \xi_\Theta.
\]

**Lemma 2.1** The map \( \xi : \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_m(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is bijective.

**Proof:** Standard monodromy arguments show that \( \xi \) is injective.

Put \( \partial(M) = \cup_{j=1}^b c_j \), where \( c_j \) is a Jordan curve for all \( j \) and \( c_{j_1} \cap c_{j_2} = \emptyset \) when \( j_1 \neq j_2 \). Consider a family \( V_1, \ldots, V_a \) of pairwise disjoint closed discs in \( M^c - \partial(M) \) such that \( E_i \in V_i \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, a \). Label \( r := a + b > 0 \) and \( \{ a_1, \ldots, a_r \} = \{ \partial(V_i), \ i = 1, \ldots, a \} \cup \{ c_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, b \} \). Let \( R \) be a conformal compactification of \( M \), and fix a homology basis \( \{ a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_v \} \) of \( \mathcal{H}_1(R, \mathbb{Z}) \).

We know that \( \{ a_1, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_v, d_1, \ldots, d_{r-1} \} \) is a basis of \( \mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \), so \( \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2) \) contains \( 2^{2\nu+r-1} \) elements. Write \( \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_m(R) = \{ \Theta_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu} \} \). Choose \( \Theta_j \in \Theta_j \) for each \( j \) and call \( f_j = \theta_j/\theta_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_m(R), \ j = 1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu} \). Since \( \Theta_i \) and \( \Theta_j \) correspond to different spinor structures on \( R, \ i \neq j \), \( \sqrt{\theta_i/\theta_j} \) has no well defined branches on \( R \), hence the same holds on \( \text{Int}(M) \). Thus \( \{ \theta_j \mid \text{Int}(M) : j = 1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu} \} \) are pairwise spinorially inequivalent in \( \mathfrak{G}_m(M) \). Write \( M^c = R - \cup_{j=1}^b U_j \), where \( U_j \) is an open disc in \( R \) with \( \partial(U_j) = c_j \) for all \( j \), and fix \( E_{a+j} \in U_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, b \). For any \( J \subseteq \{ 1, \ldots, r-1 \} \), \( J \neq \emptyset \), use Jacobi’s theorem to find an integral divisor \( D_J \in \text{Div}(R) \) of degree \( \nu \) verifying

\[
\varphi(D_J^2 P_0^{-\sharp(J) - 2} E_j^{-\sharp(J)} \prod_{j \in J} E_j^{-1}) = \kappa_R,
\]

where \( \sharp(J) \) is the cardinal of \( J \) and \( P_0 \) is the initial condition of \( \varphi \). By Abel’s theorem, there exists \( \tau_J \in \mathfrak{M}(R) \) with canonical divisor \( (\tau_J) = D_J^2 P_0^{-\sharp(J) - 2} E_j^{-\sharp(J)} \prod_{j \in J} E_j^{-1} \). Since \( f_j \tau_J/\theta_j \) has
a pole of odd order at some $E_h$, $h \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, $(f_i\tau_j)|_{\text{Int}(M)}$ and $\theta_j|_{\text{Int}(M)}$ are not spinorially equivalent in $\mathcal{G}_m(M)$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu}\}$, and likewise for any pair $(f_i\tau_j)|_{\text{Int}(M)}$, $(f_i\tau_j)|_{\text{Int}(M)}$ with $(i_1, J_1) \neq (i_2, J_2)$. Thus $\{\theta_j|_{\text{Int}(M)}, j = 1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu}\} \cup \{(f_i\tau_j)|_{\text{Int}(M)}, i = 1, \ldots, 2^{2\nu}, J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r - 1\}, J \neq \emptyset\}$ contains $2^{2\nu+r-1}$ pairwise spinorially inequivalent 1-forms in $\mathcal{G}_m(M)$, proving that $\xi$ is surjective.

\[\square\]

### 2.3 Approximation results on Riemann surfaces

In this section we recall some basic approximation theorems in complex analysis.

We first adopt some conventions and fix some notations.

**Remark 2.1** In the sequel, $\mathcal{N}$ and $\rho_\mathcal{N}$ will denote an open Riemann surface and a complete conformal Riemann metric on $\mathcal{N}$, respectively.

Given $V \subset \mathcal{N}$, a connected component $U$ of $\mathcal{N} - V$ is said to be bounded if $U$ is compact.

**Definition 2.1** Denote by $\mathcal{N}^c$ the Riemann surface obtained by filling out all the conformal punctures of $\mathcal{N}$ (that is to say, the annular ends of $\mathcal{N}$ of finite conformal type). In other words, $\mathcal{N}^c$ is the union of the Osserman compactifications of all regions in $\mathcal{N}$ of finite conformal type.

If $V \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an arbitrary subset, we denote by $V^c$ the subset of $\mathcal{N}^c$ obtained by attaching to $V$ the isolated points of $\mathcal{N}^c - V$ (that is to say, its conformal punctures).

**Definition 2.2** Let $V$ be a finite collection of pairwise disjoint regions or domains in $\mathcal{N}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{G}_m(V)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{G}_h(V)$) the space of meromorphic (respectively, holomorphic) functions on $V$ such that

- $f$ extends meromorphically (respectively, holomorphically) to a neighborhood of $V$ in $\mathcal{N}$, and
- $f$ extends meromorphically to $V^c$.

The subspace of functions in $\mathfrak{G}_h(V)$ extending holomorphically to $V^c$ will be labeled $\mathfrak{G}_h(V^c)$.

Likewise, we call $\mathfrak{W}_m(V)$, $\mathfrak{W}_h(V)$, and $\mathfrak{W}_h(V^c)$ the analogous spaces of 1-forms.

For instance, $\mathfrak{W}_h(\mathcal{N})$ is the space of holomorphic 1-forms on $\mathcal{N}$ extending meromorphically to $\mathcal{N}^c$. The inclusions $\mathfrak{G}_h(V^c) \subset \mathfrak{G}_h(V) \subset \mathfrak{G}_m(V)$ and $\mathfrak{W}_h(V^c) \subset \mathfrak{W}_h(V) \subset \mathfrak{W}_m(V)$ are trivial.

Let us introduce the special subsets of $\mathcal{N}$ on which our later constructions are based.

**Definition 2.3** A proper subset $S \subset \mathcal{N}$, $S \neq \emptyset$, is said to be admissible in $\mathcal{N}$ if it admits a decomposition $S = M \cup \beta$, where

- $M$ is either empty or consists of finitely many pairwise disjoint regions $M_1, \ldots, M_k$, $k \geq 1$, of finite conformal type and non-empty boundary,
- $\beta$ is either empty or consists of finitely many analytical compact Jordan arcs $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$ in $\mathcal{N}$, possibly some of them closed Jordan curves,
- $\{\beta_i \cap \beta_j | i \neq j\}$ is finite and $\beta - M^o$ has finitely many connected components, and
- $\mathcal{N} - S$ has no bounded components.

If $S$ is admissible in $\mathcal{N}$, we call
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( \partial(S) := \partial(M) \cup \beta \), and
  \item \( S^c = M^c \cup \beta \subset N^c \) (the Osserman compactification of \( S \)).
\end{itemize}

See Figure 1.

**Remark 2.2** When \( \beta_j \) is not a closed Jordan curve, we always suppose that \( \beta_j \subset \beta_{0,j} \), where \( \beta_{0,j} \) is either an open analytical arc or in a closed curve. We make the convention \( \beta_{0,j} = \beta_j \) if \( \beta_j \) is a closed Jordan curve. Furthermore, we will assume that \( \{ \beta_{0,i} \cap \beta_{0,j} \mid i \neq j \} \) is finite and \( \beta_0 - M^c \) has finitely many connected components as well, where \( \beta_0 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{0,j} \).

Notice that if \( S \) is admissible in \( N \), then \( S^c \) is admissible in the open Riemann surface \( S^c \cup N \).

![Figure 1: An admissible subset \( S = M \cup \beta \) with \( k = 2 \) and \( m = 3 \).](image)

Let us present the required spaces of functions and 1-forms on admissible sets and their natural topologies.

**Definition 2.4** Let \( S \subset N \) be an admissible subset. We call \( \mathfrak{F}_m(S) \) (respectively, \( \mathfrak{F}_h(S) \)) the space of continuous functions \( f : S \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( f|_M \in \mathfrak{F}_m(M) \) (respectively, \( f|_M \in \mathfrak{F}_h(M) \)) and \( f(P) \neq \infty \) for all \( P \in \beta \).

The space of functions \( f \in \mathfrak{F}_h(S) \) extending holomorphically to \( M^c \) will be labeled by \( \mathfrak{F}_h(S^c) \).

In a natural way, \( \mathfrak{F}_h(S^c) \subset \mathfrak{F}_h(S) \subset \mathfrak{F}_m(S) \). These spaces are endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on \( S \) (or equivalently, on \( S^c \)), also called the \( C^0(S) \)-topology.

**Definition 2.5** We shall say that a function \( f \in \mathfrak{F}_m(S) \) can be uniformly approximated on \( S \) by functions in \( \mathfrak{F}_m(N) \) if there exists a sequence \( \{ f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \mathfrak{F}_m(N) \) such that \( \{ |f_n|_S - f|_S \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) uniformly on \( S \) (or in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology). In this case, \( f_n - f \in \mathfrak{F}_h(S^c) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and in particular, all \( f_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \), have the same set of poles as \( f \) on \( S^c \).

If \( f \in \mathfrak{F}_h(S) \) (respectively, \( f \in \mathfrak{F}_h(S^c) \)), this notion corresponds to the uniform approximation by holomorphic functions in \( \mathfrak{F}_h(N) \) (respectively, in \( \mathfrak{F}_h(S^c \cup N) \)).

A complex 1-form \( \theta \) on \( S \) is said to be of type \( (1,0) \) if for any conformal chart \( (U, z) \) on \( N \), one has \( \theta|_{U \cap S} = f(z)dz \) for some \( f : U \cap S \to \mathbb{C} \).

**Definition 2.6** We call \( \mathcal{W}_m(S) \) (respectively, \( \mathcal{W}_h(S) \)) the space of 1-forms \( \theta \) of type \( (1,0) \) such that \( \theta|_M \in \mathcal{W}_m(M) \) (respectively, \( \theta|_M \in \mathcal{W}_h(M) \)) and \( \theta(P) \neq \infty \) for all \( P \in \beta \).

The space of 1-forms \( \theta \in \mathcal{W}_h(S) \) extending holomorphically to \( M^c \) will be labeled by \( \mathcal{W}_h(S^c) \).
In a natural way, \( \mathcal{M}_h(S^c) \subset \mathcal{M}_h(S) \subset \mathcal{M}_m(S) \). These spaces are endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on \( S \), also called the \( C^0(S) \)-topology. The convergence \( \{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \theta \) must be understood as \( \{|\frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial \rho_N}\|^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \).

**Definition 2.7** We shall say that a 1-form \( \theta \) in \( \mathcal{M}_m(S) \) can be uniformly approximated on \( S \) by 1-forms in \( \mathcal{M}_m(N) \) if there exists a sequence \( \{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \mathcal{M}_m(N) \) such that \( \{|\frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial \rho_N}\|^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) uniformly in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology. In this case, \( \theta_n - \theta \in \mathcal{M}_h(S^c) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and in particular, all \( \theta_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \), have the same set of poles as \( \theta \) on \( S^c \).

If \( \theta \in \mathcal{M}_h(S^c) \) (respectively, \( \theta \in \mathcal{M}_h(S^c) \)), this notion corresponds to the uniform approximation by holomorphic 1-forms in \( \mathcal{M}_h(N) \) (respectively, \( \mathcal{M}_h(S^c \cup N) \)).

Notice that these notions of convergence for 1-forms do not depend on the auxiliary conformal metric \( \rho_N \) in \( N \).

E. Bishop [2], H. L. Royden [14] and S. Scheinberg [15, 16], among others, have proved several extensions of Runge’s and Mergelyan’s theorems. For our purposes, we need only the following compilation result:

**Theorem 2.1** If \( S \subset N \) is admissible, then any function \( f \in \mathcal{F}_m(S) \) can be uniformly approximated on \( S \) by functions \( \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \mathcal{F}_m(N) \cap \mathcal{F}_h(N-P_f) \), where \( P_f = f^{-1}(\infty) \subset M \). Furthermore, if we write \( S = M \cup \beta \) for the natural decomposition of \( S \) and take any integral divisor \( D \in \mathcal{D}(M) \), then the approximating sequence \( \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) can be chosen so that \( (f|_M - f_n|_M) \geq D \).

**Remark 2.3** In most applications of Theorem 2.1, \( D \) is chosen satisfying that \( D \geq (f|_M)_{0} \).

If \( f \) never vanishes on \( \partial(S) \) and \( D \geq (f|_M)_{0} \), then \( \frac{f_n|_S}{f} \in \mathcal{F}_h(S^c) \) for all \( n \) and \( \{\frac{f_n|_S}{f}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 1 \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology.

## 3 Analytic Representations of Minimal Surfaces

Let us review some basic facts about minimal surfaces.

Fix an open Riemann surface \( N \) and an auxiliary complete conformal Riemannian metric \( \rho_N \) on it, and keep the notation of Section 2.3.

Let \( M \) denote a finite collection of regions in \( N \), and assume that \( \partial(M) \) is compact. Endow \( \mathcal{M}(M) \) (see Definition 1.1) with the following \( C^0(M) \)-topology:

**Definition 3.1** A sequence \( \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(M) \) is said to converge in the \( C^0(M) \)-topology to \( X_0 \in \mathcal{M}(M) \) if for any region \( \Omega \subset M \) of finite conformal type, \( \{X_n|\_\Omega - X_0|\_\Omega\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) uniformly on \( \Omega \), that is to say, in the topology associated to the norm of the supremum\(^2\) on \( \Omega \). If \( M \) has finite conformal type, this topology coincides with the one of the uniform convergence on \( M \).

Let \( X = (X_j)_{j=1,2,3} \) be a conformal minimal immersion in \( \mathcal{M}(M) \). Write \( \partial_j X_j = \phi_j \) and notice that \( \partial_j X_j \in \mathcal{M}_h(M) \) for all \( j \). Since \( X \) is conformal and minimal, then \( \phi_1 = \frac{i}{2}(1/g - g)\phi_3 \) and \( \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1/g + g)\phi_3 \), where \( g \in \mathcal{F}_m(M) \), is, up to the stereographic projection, the Gauss map of \( X \). The pair \( (g, \phi_3) \) is known as the Weierstrass representation of \( X \).

Clearly \( X(P) = X(Q) + \text{Re} \int_P^Q (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \). \( P, Q \in M \). The induced intrinsic metric \( ds^2 \) on \( M \) and its Gauss curvature \( K \) are given by the expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
 ds^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} |\phi_j|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|\phi_3|^2 \left( \frac{1}{|g|} + |g| \right)^2, \\
 K &= -\left( \frac{4|dg||g|}{|\phi_3||1 + |g|^2|^2} \right)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

\(^2\)By the maximum principle, in the norm of the maximum.
The total curvature of $X$ is given by $c(X) := \int_M KdA$, where $dA$ is the area element of $ds^2$, and the flux map of $X$ by the expression $p_X : \mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $p_X(\gamma) = \text{Im} \int_{\gamma} \partial_s X$.

By Huber, Osserman and Jorge-Meeks results [4, 17, 5], if $X$ is complete and of FTC, then $X$ is proper, $M$ has finite conformal type, the Weierstrass data $(g, \phi_3)$ of $X$ extend meromorphically to $M^*$, and the vectorial 1-form $\partial_s X$ has poles of order $\geq 2$ at the ends (i.e., the points of $M^* - M$).

**Remark 3.1** If $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{X\} \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$ and $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X$ in the $C^0(M)$-topology, then the Weierstrass data of $X_n$ converge uniformly to the ones of $X$ on compact regions of $M^*$. Indeed, just observe that $X_n - X$ extends harmonically to the punctures of $M$ by Riemann’s removable singularity theorem, hence $\{X_n - X\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0$ and $\{|\partial_s X_n - \partial_s X|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0$ uniformly on compact regions of $M^*$.

Assume now that $M \subset \mathcal{N}$ is a region of finite conformal type, consider $X \in \mathcal{M}(M)$, and write $(g, \phi_3)$ for its Weierstrass data. Since $ds^2$ has no singularities on $M$ (see equation (1)), $\eta_1 = \frac{\phi_3}{g}$ and $\eta_2 = \phi_3 g \in \mathfrak{S}_h(M^*)$, are spinorially equivalent in $\mathfrak{S}_h(M^*)$, and have no common zeroes on $M^*$, where $M^*$ is any closed tubular neighborhood of $M$ in $\mathcal{N}$ to which $X$ extends. Furthermore, we know that at least one of them has a pole (of order $\geq 2$) at each puncture in $M^* - M$. The next lemma shows that the converse is true:

**Lemma 3.1 (Spinorial Representation)** Let $M$ be a region in $\mathcal{N}$ of finite conformal type, and let $M^*$ be a closed tubular neighborhood of $M$ in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $\eta_1$, $\eta_2$ be two spinorially equivalent 1-forms in $\mathfrak{S}_h(M^*)$ such that $|\eta_1| + |\eta_2|$ never vanishes in $M$, at least one of the 1-forms $\eta_1$, $j = 1, 2$, has a pole at each point of $M^* - M$, and $\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)$, $\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ and $\sqrt{\eta_1 \eta_2}$ have no real periods on $M$.

Then the map $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$X(P) = \text{Re} \int_{P_0}^P (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3), \quad P_0 \in M,$$

(2)

where $(\phi_j)_{j=1,2,3} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2), \frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2), \sqrt{\eta_1 \eta_2}\right)$, is well defined and lies in $\mathcal{M}(M)$.  

**Proof:** Since $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are spinorially equivalent in $\mathfrak{S}_h(M^*)$ (and obviously lie in $\mathfrak{M}_m(M)$), there is $g \in \mathfrak{S}_m(M)$ such that $\eta_2 = g^* \eta_1$, and therefore $\phi_3 := \sqrt{\eta_1 \eta_2}$ is well defined. As $\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)$, $\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ and $\phi_3$ have no real periods on $M$, then $X$ is well defined. Furthermore, from our hypothesis $\frac{1}{2}|\phi_3|^2 (\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g^2 + |g|}})^2$ never vanishes on $M$, hence $X$ is the minimal immersion with Weierstrass data $(g, \phi_3)$. Following Osserman [17], $X$ is complete and of FTC. $\square$

The pair $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ will be called as the spinorial representation of $X$ (see [8] for a good setting).

### 3.1 Minimal surfaces on admissible subsets

We are going to introduce the natural notion of conformal minimal immersion on an admissible subset of $\mathcal{N}$ into $\mathbb{R}^3$. These surfaces will be the initial conditions for our main problem, that is to say, the natural objects to which we will later approximate by conformal minimal immersions of WFTC on $\mathcal{N}$.

Let $S = M \cup \beta$ be an admissible subset in $\mathcal{N}$ (see Definition 2.3), and consider an analytical extension $\beta_{0,j}$ of the analytical arcs $\beta_j$ in $\beta$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, accordingly to Remark 2.2.

A region $V \subset \mathcal{N}$ is said to be an annular extension of $S$ in $\mathcal{N}$ if it is an annular extension of a small closed tubular neighborhood $S_0$ of $S$ in $\mathcal{N}$ (which can be defined in the standard way with the help of the complete conformal Riemannian metric $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$). In particular, $S \subset V^3$, any relatively compact connected component of $V - (M \cup \beta)$ meets $\partial(V)$, $V - (M \cup \beta)$ consists of a finite collection of conformal annulus and conformal once punctured discs, and the induced
homomorphism \( j_* : \mathcal{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{H}_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \) is an isomorphism, where \( j : S \to V \) is the inclusion map. See Figure 2. If in addition the closure of \( V \) is a closed tubular neighborhood of \( S_0 \) (that is to say, \( V - (M^2)^\beta \) contains no conformal once punctured discs), then \( V \) is said to be a closed tubular neighborhood of \( S \) (\( S_0 \) itself is a closed tubular neighborhood of \( S \)).

![Figure 2: An annular extension \( V \) of \( M \cup \beta \).](image)

**Definition 3.2** A map \( X : S \to \mathbb{K}^n \), \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), is said to be smooth if \( X|_M \) extends smoothly to an open neighborhood of \( M \) in \( N \), and \( X|_\beta \) extends smoothly to the analytical arc \( \beta_{0,j} \) containing \( \beta_j \) for all \( j \).

A smooth map \( X : S \to \mathbb{K}^n \) is said to be conformal if

- \( X|_M \) is conformal and extends as a conformal map to a neighborhood of \( M \) in \( N \), and
- for any intersection point \( P \in \beta_j \cap \beta_i \), \( i \neq j \), either \( d(X|_{\beta_i})_P = d(X|_{\beta_j})_P = 0 \) or \( d(X|_{\beta_i})_P \) and \( d(X|_{\beta_j})_P \) are not parallel, and in the last case

\[
\frac{(\rho_N)_P(v_i, v_i)}{\|d(X|_{\beta_i})_P(v_i)\|^2} = \frac{(\rho_N)_P(v_j, v_j)}{\|d(X|_{\beta_j})_P(v_j)\|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \angle_N(v_j, v_i) = \angle_K(d(X|_{\beta_j})_P(v_j), d(X|_{\beta_j})_P(v_i)),
\]

where \( v_j \) and \( v_i \) are any tangent vectors at \( P \) of \( \beta_j \) and \( \beta_i \), respectively, \( \| \cdot \| \) is the Euclidean norm, and \( \angle_N \) and \( \angle_K \) are the oriented angle in the Riemannian surface \((N, \rho_N)\) and the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{K}^n \), respectively. Notice that this notion does not depend on the auxiliary conformal metric \( \rho_N \) in \( N \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{M}(S) \) the space of smooth conformal maps \( X : S \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that \( X_j := X|_M \in \mathcal{M}(M) \) and \( X|_\beta \) is a regular map (or an immersion).

It is clear that \( Y|_S \in \mathcal{M}(S) \) for all \( Y \in \mathcal{M}(N) \).

The Gauss map plays a fundamental role for the understanding of the conformal geometry of surfaces. For this reason, it is interesting to attach a normal field along \( \beta \) to any \( X \in \mathcal{M}(S) \).

**Definition 3.3** Take \( X \in \mathcal{M}(S) \), and let \( N : M \to \mathbb{S}^2 \) denote the Gauss map of \( X|_M \). A continuous map \( \sigma : \beta \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is said to be a smooth normal field with respect to \( X \) along \( \beta \) if

- \( \sigma|_{\beta_j} \) is smooth unit vector field extending (as a smooth unit field) to \( \beta_{0,j} \) for all \( j \);
- \( \sigma(\beta_{0,j}(t)) \) is orthogonal to \((X \circ \beta_{0,j})'(t)\) for any smooth parameter \( t \) on \( \beta_{0,j} \) and for all \( j \);
- \( \sigma \) coincides with \( N \) at points of \( M \cap \beta_0 \), and
- the map \( N_\sigma : S \to \mathbb{S}^2 \), \( N_\sigma|_M = N \), \( N_\sigma|_\beta = \sigma \), is smooth and conformal accordingly to Definition 3.2.
By definition, $N_\sigma$ is said to be the generalized Gauss map of the marked immersion $(X, \sigma)$.

See Figure 3.

The following space of immersions will be crucial.

**Definition 3.4** We call $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$ as the space of marked immersions $X_\sigma := (X, \sigma)$, where $X \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ and $\sigma$ is a smooth normal field with respect to $X$ along $\beta$. For any $X_\sigma, Y_\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$, set

$$||X_\sigma - Y_\sigma||_{1,S} = ||X - Y||_{0,S} + ||N_\sigma - N_\sigma||_{0,S},$$

where $|| \cdot ||$ is the Euclidean norm and $|| \cdot ||_{0,S}$ means $\sup_S || \cdot ||$.

We endow $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$ with the topology of the uniform convergence of maps and normal fields on $S$ or $C^1(S)$-topology of $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$. To be more precise, letting $((X_n)_{\sigma_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_\sigma$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology if $||((X_n)_{\sigma_n} - X_\sigma)||_{1,S} \to 0$.

![Figure 3: A smooth normal field $\sigma$ with respect to $X$ along $\beta$.](image)

Given $X_\sigma \subset \mathcal{M}^*(S)$, let $\partial_2 X_\sigma = (\phi_j)_{j=1,2,3}$ be the complex vectorial 1-form of type $(1,0)$ on $S$ given by $\partial_2 X_\sigma |_{M} = \partial_2 (X |_{M}), \partial_2 X_\sigma(\beta(s)) := (X \circ \beta)(s) + i\sigma(\beta(s))$, where $s$ is the arclength parameter of $X \circ \beta$. To be more precise, if $(U, z = x + iy)$ is a conformal chart on $N$ such that $\beta_j \cap U = z^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \cap z(U))$, then $(\partial_2 X_\sigma)|_{\beta_j \cap U} = [(X \circ \beta_j)(s) + i\sigma(\beta_j(s))]$. The analyticity of $\beta$ and the conformality property are crucial for the well-definition of $\partial_2 X_\sigma$ on $\beta$. In particular, $(\partial_2 X_\sigma)|_{\beta_j}(P) = (\partial_2 X_\sigma)|_{\beta_i}(P)$ at any point $P \in \beta_i \cap \beta_j, i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. As a consequence, $\partial_2 X_\sigma$ lies in $\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(S)^3$.

Notice that $\sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_j^2 = 0$ and set $\hat{\eta}_1 = \phi_1 - i\phi_2, \hat{\eta}_2 = -\phi_1 - i\phi_2$ and $\hat{g} = \hat{\eta}_2 / \hat{\phi}_3$. Since $\hat{g} : S \to \mathbb{C}$ is the stereographic projection of the generalized Gauss map $N_\sigma$ of $X_\sigma$, it is "conformal" as well (to be more precise, this only makes sense at points of $S$ where $\hat{g} \neq \infty$, see the following remark).

**Remark 3.2** $\hat{\phi}_j$ is a smooth object on $S$ in the sense that $\hat{\phi}_j/\rho_{N_\sigma}$ is a smooth function, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

The same holds for $\hat{\eta}_1, \hat{\eta}_2, i, j = 1, 2$.

In a similar way $\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{S}_m(M)$. Furthermore, since $N_\sigma$ is a smooth conformal map and the stereographic projection is conformal, $\hat{g}$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}_m(S)$ provided that $\hat{g} \neq \infty$ on $\beta - M$.

Notice that $\sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{\phi}_j^2 = 0$ and $\text{Re}(\hat{\phi}_j)$ is an exact real 1-form on $S, j = 1, 2, 3$. If $S$ is connected, we also have $X(P) = X(Q) + \text{Re} \int_Q^P (\hat{\phi}_j)_{j=1,2,3}, P, Q \in S$. The pairs $(\hat{g}, \hat{\phi}_3)$ and $(\hat{\eta}_j |_{M})_{j=1,2}$ will be called as the generalized Weierstrass data and spinorial representation of $X_\sigma$, respectively.
As $X|_{M} \in \mathcal{M}(M)$, then $(\phi_j)_{j=1,2,3} := (\hat{\phi}_j|_{M})_{j=1,2,3}$, $(\eta_j)_{j=1,2} = (\hat{\eta}_j|_{M})_{j=1,2}$ and $g := \hat{g}|_{M}$ are the Weierstrass data, spinorial representation and meromorphic Gauss map of $X|_{M}$, respectively. Recall that all these data extend meromorphically to $M^c$.

The group homomorphism $p_{X_\sigma} : \mathcal{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $p_{X_\sigma}(\gamma) = \text{Im} \int_{\gamma} \partial_2 X_\sigma$, is said to be the generalized flux map of $X_\sigma$. Two marked immersions $X_{\sigma_1}, Y_{\sigma_2} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ are said to be flux equivalent on $S$ if $p_{X_{\sigma_1}} = p_{Y_{\sigma_2}}$.

**Definition 3.5** Let $V \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a finite collection of pairwise disjoint regions containing $S$, and let $Y : V \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a conformal minimal immersion extending conformally and minimally to a neighborhood of $V$ in $\mathcal{N}$. If $N : V \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is the Gauss map of $Y$, we set

$$\mathcal{R}_S(Y) = (Y|_{S}, N|_{\beta}).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{R}_S(\mathcal{M}(V)) \subset \mathcal{M}^*(S)$, and notice that the restriction map $\mathcal{R}_S : \mathcal{M}(V) \to \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ is continuous with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$-topology on $\mathcal{M}(V)$ and the $\mathcal{C}^1(S)$-topology on $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$. Moreover, write

$$\|X_{\sigma} - Y\|_{1,S} := \|X_{\sigma} - \mathcal{R}_S(Y)\|_{1,S} \text{ and } \|Z - Y\|_{1,S} := \|\mathcal{R}_S(Z) - \mathcal{R}_S(Y)\|_{1,S}$$

for any $X_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}(V)$.

It is clear that $p_{\mathcal{R}_S(Y)} = p_Y|_{\mathcal{H}_1(S,\mathbb{R})}$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{M}(V)$, where $p_Y$ is the flux map of $Y$.

**Definition 3.6** Given $X_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{X_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{N})$ the space of immersions $Y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ for which $\mathcal{R}_S(Y)$ is flux equivalent to $X_{\sigma}$.

### 4 Approximation by complete minimal surfaces with FTC

Roughly speaking, the aim of this section is to show that any finite collection of Jordan arcs and complete minimal surfaces with FTC and non-empty compact boundary (for instance, a finite collection of Jordan arcs and compact minimal surfaces), can be uniformly approximated by connected complete minimal surfaces of FTC. Furthermore, the conformal structure and the flux map of the approximate sequence can be prescribed. This the message of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem below (see Theorem 4.2 for a more general result).

Fix an open Riemann surface $\mathcal{N}$, and keep the notations of Sections 2 and 3. Furthermore, assume that

- $\mathcal{N}$ has finite conformal type,
- $S = M \cup \beta$ is an admissible subset in $\mathcal{N}$, and
- $\mathcal{N} - S$ consists of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint once punctured open discs.

In particular, $S$ is connected and $j_* : \mathcal{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{N},\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, where $j : S \to \mathcal{N}$ is the inclusion map.

Label by $\nu$ the genus of the Osserman compactification $N^c$ of $\mathcal{N}$, notice that $M^c \subset S^c \subset N^c$. Put $M = M^c - \{E_1, \ldots, E_a\}$, $\mathcal{N} = N^c - \{E_1, \ldots, E_{a+b}\}$ and $N_0 = N^c - \{E_{a+1}, \ldots, E_{a+b}\}$, for suitable points $E_1, \ldots, E_{a+b} \in \mathcal{N}$. Label $U_1, \ldots, U_b$ as the connected components (open discs) of $N^c - S^c$, where up to relabeling $E_{a+j} \in U_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, b$.

**Theorem 4.1 (The Fundamental Approximation Theorem)** For any $X_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$, there exists a sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{X_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\{\mathcal{R}_S(Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $\mathcal{C}^1(S)$-topology.

Furthermore, if $C$ is a positive constant and $V$ a closed tubular neighborhood of $S$ in $\mathcal{N}$, $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be chosen in such a way that $d_{Y_n}(S, \partial(V)) \geq C$ for all $n$, where $d_{Y_n}$ is the intrinsic distance in $\mathcal{N}$ induced by $Y_n$.
The global strategy for proving this theorem has essentially three phases.

(I) First phase: Show that the spinorial representation of $X_\sigma$ on $S$ can be approximated by holomorphic spinorial data on $N$ extending meromorphically to $N^c$ (this technical result corresponds to Lemma 4.1 in paragraph 4.1.1).

(II) Second phase: Prove that the approximating sequence of meromorphic spinorial data on $N^c$ can be slightly deformed in order to solve the period problem (this part corresponds to Lemmata 4.2 and 4.3 in paragraph 4.1.2).

(III) Third phase: Conclude the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see paragraph 4.1.3).

4.1 Proof of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem

Before starting with the first phase of the program, we establish some basic conventions that can be assumed without loss of generality. This is the content of the following three propositions.

Take $X_\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.1.

The first proposition simply says that $X(M)$ can be supposed without containing planar domains.

**Proposition 4.1** Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $X(M)$ contains no planar domains.

**Proof:** Let us show that there exists a sequence $\{Y^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ such that $\{Y^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_\sigma$ uniformly on $S$, $Y^j_2$ is flux equivalent to $X_\sigma$ on $S$ and $Y^j(M)$ contains no planar domains, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, since any flat minimal surface can be approximated by non flat ones, we can find $\{Y^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$ such that $Y^j(M)$ contains no planar domains for all $j$ and $\{Y^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_\sigma$ in the $C^0(M)$-topology. Write $N^j$ for the Gauss map of $Y^j$, and extend $Y^j$ and $N^j$ to $\beta$ in a smooth and conformal way so that $(Y^j, N^j|_\beta) \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ and $(Y^j, N^j|_\beta)$ is flux equivalent to $X_\sigma$ for all $j$, and $(Y^j, N^j|_\beta)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_\sigma$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology.

To finish, notice that if the Fundamental Approximation Theorem held in the non-flat case, the immersions $(Y^j, N^j|_\beta)$ would lie in the closure of $\mathfrak{R}_S(M_{X_\sigma}(N))$ in $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$, were $\mathfrak{R}_S$ is the restriction map in Definition 3.5, hence the same would occur for $X$ and the first part of the theorem would hold. The second one can also be guaranteed in the process. 

Label $\partial_s X_\sigma = (\hat{\phi}_j)_{j=1,2,3}$ and consider the generalized Weierstrass data $(\hat{g}, \hat{\phi}_3)$ and spinorial representation $(\hat{\eta}_j|_M)_{j=1,2}$ of $X_\sigma$. Write $d\hat{g}$ for the 1-form of type $(1,0)$ on $S^c$ given by $d\hat{g}|_{M^c} = d(\hat{g}|_{M^c})$ and $d\hat{g}(\beta'(s)) = (\hat{g} \circ \beta')'(s)$, where $s$ is the arclength parameter of $X \circ \beta$. In other words, if $(U, z = x + iy)$ is a conformal chart in $N$ so that $\beta \cap U = z^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \cap \hat{z}(U))$, then $d\hat{g}|_{\beta \cap U} = (\hat{g} \circ \beta')'(s)s'(x)dz|_{\beta \cap U}$. Since $\hat{g}$ is conformal (see Remark 3.2), it is not hard to check that $d\hat{g}$ is well defined. Furthermore, $d\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{W}_m(S)$ when $\hat{g}(P) \neq \infty$ for any $P \in \beta$. Write $(\phi_j)_{j=1,2,3} = (\hat{\phi}_j|_M)_{j=1,2,3}$, $(\eta_j)_{j=1,2} = (\hat{\eta}_j|_M)_{j=1,2}$ and $g = |M|$ for the Weierstrass data, the spinorial representation and the meromorphic Gauss map of $X|M$, respectively, and call with the same name their meromorphic extensions to $M^c$.

The second convention deals with the behavior of $\hat{g}$ on $\partial(S) = \partial(M) \cup \beta$.

**Proposition 4.2** Without loss of generality, we can assume that

(i) $\hat{g}, 1/\hat{g}, (\hat{g}^2 - 1)$, and $d\hat{g}$ never vanish on $\partial(S)$, hence the same holds for $\hat{\eta}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \hat{\phi}_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ (in particular, $\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{F}_m(S)$ and $d\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{W}_m(S)$),

(ii) $d\hat{g} \neq 0$ at any point of $\hat{g}^{-1}(\{0, \infty\})$, and
(iii) \( \hat{g}(E_i) \neq 0, \infty, i = 1, \ldots, a. \)

In particular, \( m_i := \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\varphi}_3) = \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\eta}_1) = \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\eta}_2) > 1, \) where \( \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\cdot) \) means pole order at \( E_i, i = 1, \ldots, a. \)

Proof: Up to a rigid motion, we can suppose that \( \hat{g}(E_i) \neq 0, \infty, i = 1, \ldots, a, \) and \( d\hat{g} \neq 0 \) at any point of \( \hat{g}^{-1}(\{0, \infty\}) \cap M. \) In particular, \( \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\varphi}_3) = \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\eta}_1) = \text{Ord}_{E_i}(\hat{\eta}_2) > 1, i = 1, \ldots, a. \)

Recall that \( X|_M \) is non flat and extends as a conformal minimal immersion beyond \( M \) in \( \mathcal{N}. \) Therefore, we can find a sequence \( M_{(1)} \supset M_{(2)} \supset \ldots \) of closed tubular neighborhoods of \( M \) in \( \mathcal{N} \) such that \( M_{(j)} \subset M_{(j-1)} \) for any \( j, \) \( M = \cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} M_{(j)}, \) \( X \) and \( \hat{g} \) extend (with the same name) as a conformal minimal immersion and a meromorphic function to \( M \) for all \( j, \) and \( d\hat{g} \neq 0 \) at any point of \( \hat{g}^{-1}(\{0, \infty\}) \cap M_{(j)} \) for all \( j. \) Call \( \beta_{(j)} := \beta - M_{(j)} \), and without loss of generality assume that \( S_j := M_{(j)} \cup \beta_{(j)} \) is admissible in \( \mathcal{N} \) as well for all \( j. \)

Up to suitably deforming \( X|_\beta \) and \( \sigma|_\beta, \) we can construct marked immersions \( Z^j_{\sigma_j} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S_j), \) \( j \in \mathbb{N}, \) such that

1. \( Z^j|_{M_{(j)}} = X|_{M_{(j)}} \) and \( Z^j|_{M_{(j)}} \) is flux equivalent to \( X_\sigma \) on \( S, \)
2. \( \hat{g}_j, 1/\hat{g}_j, (\hat{g}_j^2 - 1), \) and \( d\hat{g}_j \neq 0 \) on \( \partial(S_j), \) and \( d\hat{g}_j \neq 0 \) at any point of \( \hat{g}_j^{-1}(\{0, \infty\}) \cap M_{(j)}, \)
3. \( \{(Z^j|_S, N_{\sigma_j}|_{\beta})\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X_\sigma \) in the \( C^1(S)\)-topology, where \( N_{\sigma_j} \) is the Gauss map of \( Z^j_{\sigma_j}. \)

If Theorem 4.1 held for \( Z^j_{\sigma_j}, j \in \mathbb{N}, \) we would infer that \( Z^j_{\sigma_j} \) lies in the closure of \( \mathcal{R}_S(S_j, M_{X_\sigma}(\mathcal{N})) \) in \( \mathcal{M}^*(S_j), \) \( j \in \mathbb{N}. \) Since \( \{(Z^j|_S, N_{\sigma_j}|_{\beta})\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X_\sigma \) in the \( C^1(S)\)-topology, we would infer that \( X_\sigma \) lies in the closure of \( \mathcal{R}_S(S_j, M_{X_\sigma}(\mathcal{N})) \) in \( \mathcal{M}^*(S) \) as well and we are done.

The second part of the theorem would also be achieved in the process.

Let us go to the first phase of the program.

4.1.1 Approximating the spinorial data of \( X_\sigma \) on \( S \) by global holomorphic ones in \( \mathcal{N}. \)

The following notation is previously required.

Let \( \Theta_j \) denote the class of \( \eta_j \) in \( \mathcal{E}_m(M), \) and for the sake of simplicity, write \( \xi_j \) for the associated morphism \( \xi_j : \mathcal{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \) (notice that these objects make sense even when \( M \) is not connected).

Let us show that there is a canonical extension of \( \xi_j \) to \( \mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z}) \) depending on \( \hat{\eta}_j. \) Indeed, recall that \( \mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) \) and take an arbitrary closed curve \( c \in \mathcal{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}). \) From Proposition 4.2, all the zeros of \( \eta_j = \hat{\eta}_j|_M \) have even order and \( \hat{\eta}_j \) never vanishes on \( \partial(S). \) If we take any conformal annulus \( \{A, z\} \) in \( \mathcal{N} \) such that \( A \) is a closed tubular neighborhood of \( c, \) it suffices to set \( \xi_j(c) = 0 \) when \( \sqrt{\hat{\eta}_j}(z)/dz \) has a well defined branch along \( c \) and \( \xi_\sigma(c) = 1 \) otherwise (this computation does not depend on the chosen \( \{A, z\} \)).

On the other hand, the fact that \( \hat{\eta}_2/\hat{\eta}_1 = \hat{g}^2 \) implies that \( \xi_1 = \xi_2, \) hence one can say that \( \hat{\eta}_1 \) and \( \hat{\eta}_2 \) are "spinorially equivalent" on \( S. \) Lemma 2.1 guarantees the existence of a unique spinor structure on \( \mathcal{N} \) associated to \( \xi_1. \) By definition, an 1-form \( \theta \in \mathcal{E}_m(\mathcal{N}) \) is said to be spinorially equivalent to \( \hat{\eta}_1 \) (and so to \( \hat{\eta}_2 \)) if \( \xi_\theta = \xi_1, \) where \( \Theta \in \mathcal{E}_m(\mathcal{N}) \) is the class of \( \theta. \) By Lemma 2.1, we can always find 1-forms of this kind in \( \mathcal{E}_m(\mathcal{N}). \)

The main goal of this phase is to prove the following:

**Lemma 4.1** There are \( \{\eta_1^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\eta_2^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}_h(\mathcal{N}) \) such that:
(i) \( \{\eta^n_i|s\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \hat{n}_j \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology, \( \eta^n_j \) never vanishes on \( \partial(S) \), \( \eta^n_j|_{M^c} = (\hat{n}_j|_{M^c}) \), \( (\eta^n_j|_{M^c} - \hat{n}_j|_{M^c}) \geq \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i} \), and \( (\eta^n_j)_\infty \geq \prod_{k=a+1}^{a+b} E_k \), \( j \in \{1, 2\} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

(ii) \( \eta^n_1 \) and \( \eta^n_2 \) are spinorially equivalent in \( \mathcal{S}_m(N) \) and have no common zeroes on \( N \).

Proof: The following claims will be useful:

Claim 4.1 Let \( R \) be a compact Riemann surface with empty boundary and genus \( \nu \). Given an open disc \( U \subset R \), a point \( Q \in R \) and a divisor \( D_1 \in \mathcal{D}(R) \), there exists an integral divisor \( D_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U) \) of degree \( \nu \) and \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( D_2^n D_1^{-1} Q^{-\nu n_0} \) is the principal divisor associated to some \( f \in \mathfrak{F}_m(R) \), where \( n_1 = n_0 \nu - \mathcal{D}(D_1) \).

Proof: Since the proof is trivial when \( \nu = 0 \), we will assume that \( \nu \geq 1 \). By Jacobi’s theorem, we can find an open disc \( W \subset U \) such that \( \varphi_Q : W_\nu \to \varphi_Q(W_\nu) \) is a diffeomorphism, where \( \varphi_Q \) is the Abel-Jacobi map with base point \( Q \) and \( W_\nu \) is the set of divisors in \( R_\nu \) with support in \( U \). Since \( J(R) \) is a compact additive Lie Group and \( \varphi_Q(W_\nu) \subset J(R) \) is an open subset, for large enough \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) one has \( n_0 \varphi_Q(W_\nu) = J(R) \). Therefore, there is \( D_2 \in W_\nu \) such that \( \varphi_Q(D_2^n) = \varphi_Q(D_1) = \varphi_Q(D_1 Q^{\nu n_0}) \), where \( n_1 = n_0 \nu - \mathcal{D}(D_1) \). The claim follows from Abel’s theorem.

Claim 4.2 We can find \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_m(N) \) so that \( |\theta_1| + |\theta_2| \) has no zeros in \( N \), \( \theta_1 \) is spinorially equivalent to \( \hat{n}_1 \) and \( \hat{n}_2 \), \( \theta_2 \) never vanishes on \( S \) and \( (\theta_2)_\infty \geq \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{2m_i} \), \( j = 1, 2 \).

Proof: Take \( \theta \in \mathfrak{S}_m(N) \) spinorially equivalent to \( \hat{n}_1 \) and \( \hat{n}_2 \) (see Lemma 2.1).

Let \( k_i \) denote the zero order of \( \theta \) at \( E_i (k_i = 0 \text{ provided that } \theta(E_i) \neq 0) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, a \), write \( (\theta|N) = D_0^2 \) and fix two disjoint open discs \( V_1, V_2 \subset N^c - (S \cup C) \). By Claim 4.1, there are \( D_2 \in \mathcal{D}(V_j) \) of degree \( \nu \), \( n_j \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( h_j \in \mathfrak{S}_m(N^c) \) such that \( (h_j) = D_j^m E_i^{m_i} D_i^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i - k_i} \), where \( v_j = n_j \nu - \mathcal{D}(D_0) - \sum_{i=1}^a (m_i + k_i) \), \( j = 1, 2 \). It suffices to put \( \theta_j = h_j \theta_j \), \( j = 1, 2 \). 

Let \( m_{i,j} \geq 2m_i \) denote the zero order of \( \theta_j \) at \( E_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, a \), and likewise call \( n_{k,j} \) the zero order of \( \theta_j \) at \( E_{a+k} \) \( (n_{k,j} = 0 \text{ provided that } \theta_j(E_{a+k}) \neq 0) \), \( k = 1, \ldots, b \). Set \( s_j = \frac{n_j}{2} \), \( j = 1, 2 \), and observe that \( \theta_j \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \). Moreover, Proposition 4.2 and Claim 4.2 give that \( s_j \neq 0 \), \( \infty \) on \( \partial(S) \), \( (s_j|_{M^c}) = (\hat{n}_j)0 \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i-j-m_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i}, j = 1, 2 \), and \( |s_1| + |s_2| \) has no zeros in \( S \). Claim 4.2 also says that \( s_j = t_j^2 \) for some \( t_j \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \), \( j = 1, 2 \).

Let us construct \( \eta_i^n \).

Consider a collection \( C_1 \) of pairwise disjoint closed discs in \( N_0 - S^c \) containing all the zeros of \( \theta_2|N \) (recall that \( \theta_2 \) never vanishes on \( S^c \), see Claim 4.2) and meeting all the bounded components of \( N^c - S^c \). It is clear that \( S^c \cup C_1 \) is the open Riemann surface \( N_0 = S^c \cup N \). We can find the continuous map \( t_i^* : S^c \cup C_1 \cup D_1 \to \mathbb{C} \), \( t_i|_{S^c} = t_1, t_i^*|_{C_1} = \delta \), where \( \delta \) is a non-zero constant, and notice that \( t_i^* \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \). By Theorem 2.1 applied to the open Riemann surface \( N_0 \), the admissible subset \( S^c \cup C_1 \), the function \( t_i^* \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c \cup C_1) \), and the divisor \( (\hat{n}_j|_{M^c}) = \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i+1+j-m_i} \), we can find \( \{H_{n,1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{S}(N_0) \subset \mathfrak{S}_m(N^c) \) such that \( \{H_{n,1} - t_i^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) uniformly on \( S^c \cup C_1 \) and \( (H_{n,1}|_{M^c} - t_i^*|_{M^c}) \geq (\hat{n}_j|_{M^c})0 \prod_{i=1}^a E_i^{m_i+1+m_i} \). In particular, \( (H_{n,1}/t_1)|_{M^c} \) is holomorphic and \( (H_{n,1}/t_1)|_{S^c} \) and \( (H_{n,1}/t_1)|_{C_1} \) are never-vanishing for large enough \( n \) (without loss of generality, for all \( n \)), see Remark 2.3.

Claim 4.3 Without loss of generality, we can assume that the sequence of pole multiplicities

\[ \{\text{Ord}_{E_{a+k}}(H_{n,1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \]

is divergent for all \( k = 1, \ldots, b \). In particular, we can assume that \( (H_{n,1})_{\infty} \geq \prod_{k=a+1}^{a+b} E_i^{(n_k+1)/2} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).
Proof: From Riemann-Roch theorem, it is not hard to find a function \( T \in \mathfrak{F}_h(N_0) \cap \mathfrak{F}_m(N) \) such that \( (T)_\infty \geq \prod_{k=1}^a E_{a+k} \) and \( (T)_0 \geq (\hat{\eta}_1|_{M^c})_0 \prod_{k=1}^b E_{a+k}^{m_i+1} \).

For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), take \( j_n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( (H_{n,1}T^{j_n})_\infty \geq \prod_{k=1}^b E_{a+k}^{n_i+1} \), and then choose \( k_n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( |H_{n,1}T^{j_n}|, |T^{j_n}| < k_n/n \) on \( S^c \cup C_1 \).

The sequence \( \{H_{n,1}(T^{j_n}/k_n+1)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) formally satisfies the same properties as \( \{H_{n,1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and has the desired pole orders. To finish, replace \( H_{n,1} \) for \( H_{n,1}(T^{j_n}/k_n+1) \) for all \( n \).

\( \square \)

Choose a collection \( C_{n,2} \) of pairwise disjoint closed discs in \( N_0 - S^c \) containing all the zeros of \( \eta^n_1 \) in \( N_0 - M^c \) and meeting all the bounded components of \( N^c - S^c \). Set \( t_{n,2} : S^c \cup C_{n,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, t_{n,2}|_{S^c} = t_2 \) and \( t_{n,2}|_{C_{n,2}} = \delta \), where \( \delta \) is any non-zero constant. As above we can construct \( H_{n,2} = \mathfrak{F}_h(N^c) \cap \mathfrak{F}_h(N_0) \) satisfying that \( |H_{n,2} - t_{n,2}| < 1/n \) on \( S^c \cup C_{n,2}, \) \( (H_{n,2}|_{M^c} - t_{n,2}|_{M^c})_0 \geq (\hat{\eta}_1|_{M^c})_0 \prod_{i=1}^a E_{i}^{m_i+1} \), and \( (F_{n,1})_\infty \geq \prod_{k=a+1}^{b+c+a} E_{k}^{n_i+1} \) for all \( n \).

Setting \( \eta^n_2 := F_1, \) item (i) holds for \( j = 1 \).

For constructing \( \eta^n_2 \), we reason in a similar way.

Choose a collection \( C_{n,2} \) of pairwise disjoint closed discs in \( N_0 - S^c \) containing all the zeros of \( \eta^n_2 \) in \( N_0 - M^c \) and meeting all the bounded components of \( N^c - S^c \). Set \( t_{n,2} : S^c \cup C_{n,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, t_{n,2}|_{S^c} = t_2 \) and \( t_{n,2}|_{C_{n,2}} = \delta \), where \( \delta \) is any non-zero constant. As above we can construct \( H_{n,2} = \mathfrak{F}_h(N^c) \cap \mathfrak{F}_h(N_0) \) satisfying that \( |H_{n,2} - t_{n,2}| < 1/n \) on \( S^c \cup C_{n,2}, \) \( (H_{n,2}|_{M^c} - t_{n,2}|_{M^c})_0 \geq (\hat{\eta}_1|_{M^c})_0 \prod_{i=1}^a E_{i}^{m_i+1} \), and \( (F_{n,2})_\infty \geq \prod_{k=a+1}^{b+c+a} E_{k}^{n_i+1} \) for all \( n \).

Choosing \( \eta^n_2 := F_{n,2}, \) item (i) holds for \( j = 2 \).

Finally, let’s check item (ii). Obviously, \( \eta^n_1 \) and \( \eta^n_2 \) are spinorially equivalent in \( \mathfrak{F}_m(N) \). Recall that \( F_{n,1}, \theta_1, \) and \( |\theta_1| + |\theta_2| \) never vanish on \( C_1, S \), and \( N^c \), respectively. Therefore, from the choice of \( C_1, \) one has that \( |\eta^n_1| + |\eta^n_2| \) has no zeros on \( N^c, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Likewise, the choice of \( C_{n,2} \) and the fact that \( F_{n,2}|_{C_{n,2}} \) never vanishes imply that \( |\eta^n_1| + |\eta^n_2| \) have no zeros on \( N - S \). Moreover, \( \eta^n_1 \) and \( \eta^n_2 \) never vanishes on \( \partial(S) \) and \( (\eta^n_2|_{M^c})_0 \) on \( S^c \) for all \( n \).

Choosing \( \eta^n_2 := F_{n,2}, \) item (i) holds for \( j = 2 \).

Remark 4.1 By (ii) in Lemma 4.1, the 1-form \( \phi^n_3 := \sqrt{\eta^n_1 \eta^n_2} \) is well defined and lies in \( \mathfrak{M}_m(N^c) \cap \mathfrak{M}_b(N) \). With the proper choice of the square root branch, \( \phi^n_3|_{M} - \phi_3 \) extends holomorphically to \( M^c \) and \( \{\phi^n_3|_{S^c} \to \phi_3|_{S^c}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology. In other words, if we call \( \Phi_n := (\phi^n_3)_{n=1,2,3} \in \mathfrak{M}_b(N)^3 \) the Weierstrass data associated to \( (\eta^n_1, \eta^n_2) \) by equation (2), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \{\Phi_n|_{S}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converge in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology to \( (\phi_3|_{S})^{1,2,3} \).

The first tentative for solution of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem could be to choose \( Y_n := \text{Re} \{\Phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \). However, \( \{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) may have real periods (the immersions \( \{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) could not be well defined), and we have no control on the associated flux maps.

At this point we start with the second phase of the program.

4.1.2 Deforming the global spinorial data and solving the period problem.

In order to overcome the above problems, it is necessary to slightly deform these data in a suitable way.

We need the following
Definition 4.1  Fix a homology basis $B_0$ of $H_1(S^c, \mathbb{Z})$, hence of $H_1(N_0, \mathbb{Z})$, and call $\varsigma_0 = 3(2\nu + b - 1)$ the cardinal number of $B_0$.

Roughly speaking, our global strategy consists of the following.

Firstly, we present the natural space of deformations. In our case, it corresponds to

$$L = \{ f \in \mathfrak{I}_{m}(N_0) : (f)_0 \geq \prod_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}^{m_j} \} \subset \mathfrak{I}_{m}(N^c).$$

By Riemann-Roch theorem, $L$ is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{I}_{m}(N^c)$ with infinite dimension and finite codimension. Up to restriction to $S$, $L$ can be viewed as subspace of the complex normed space $(\mathfrak{I}_{m}(S^c), \| \cdot \|_{0,S})$, where $\| h \|_{0,S} = \max_{S} |h| = \max_{S} |h|$ is the norm of the maximum on $S^c$.

Then, we introduce an analytical deformation $\{ \hat{\Phi}(f), f \in L \} \subset \mathfrak{I}_{m}(S^c)$ of $\hat{\Phi}$, where $\Phi(0) = \hat{\Phi}$ (here $0$ is the constant zero function), and likewise for $\Phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Subsequently, we define the Fréchet differentiable analytical period operators

$$\mathcal{P} : L \to \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathcal{P}(f) = \left( \int_{d} \hat{\Phi}(f) - \hat{\Phi} \right)_{d \in B_0},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_n : L \to \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathcal{P}_n(f) = \left( \int_{d} \hat{\Phi}_n(f) - \hat{\Phi} \right)_{d \in B_0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The key step is to prove that $d\mathcal{P}_0$ is surjective (Lemma 4.2 below), and consequently that $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{P}(L)$. Since $\{ \mathcal{P}_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{P}$ in a uniform way, we can deduce that $0$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ as well, $n$ large enough, and find $h_n \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}(0), n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{ h_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0$.

Therefore, the sequence $\{ \mathfrak{I}_n(h_n) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniformly approximates $\hat{\Phi}$ on $S$, have no real periods on $S$, and induce the same flux map as $\hat{\Phi}$, concluding the second phase.

Let us develop carefully this program.

For each $f \in L$, set $\hat{\eta}_j(f) = (1 + jf)^2 \hat{\eta}_j, \quad j = 1, 2$, define $\hat{\phi}_k(f)$ following equation (2), and notice that $\hat{\phi}_k(f) - \hat{\phi}_k \in \mathfrak{I}_{m}(S^c), k = 1, 2, 3$.

Endow $L$ with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{0,S}$ of the maximum on $S$ inducing the $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$-topology. By the maximum principle, this norm coincides with the one of the maximum on $S^c$. Consider the Fréchet differentiable map

$$\mathcal{P} : L \to \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathcal{P}(f) = \left[ \int_{d} \hat{\phi}_j(f) - \hat{\phi}_j \right]_{d \in B_0}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $0$ is the constant zero function.

Lemma 4.2  The complex Fréchet derivative $d\mathcal{P}|_0 : L \to \mathbb{C}^n$ of $\mathcal{P}$ at $0$ is surjective.

Proof: Reason by contradiction, and assume that $d\mathcal{P}|_0(L)$ lies in a hyperplane $U = \{(x^i)_{d \in B_0} \}_{i = 1, 2, 3} \subset \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\sum_{d \in B_0} \lambda^1_d x^i_d) = 0 \}$, where $\sum_{j=1}^{3} (\sum_{d \in B_0} |\lambda^1_d|) \neq 0$.

Therefore $d\mathcal{P}|_0(f) = \frac{d\mathcal{P}(f)}{df}|_{x_0} \in U$, for any $f \in L$, that is to say

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f \hat{\eta}_1 + \int_{\Gamma_2} f \hat{\eta}_2 + \int_{\Gamma_3} f \hat{\phi}_3 = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in L, \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma_j \in H_1(S^c, \mathbb{C}), j = 1, 2, 3$, are the cycles with complex coefficients given by:

$$\Gamma_1 = \sum_{d \in B_0} (\lambda^1_d + i\lambda^2_d) d, \quad \Gamma_2 = 2 \sum_{d \in B_0} (\lambda^1_d + i\lambda^2_d) d, \quad \Gamma_3 = 3 \sum_{d \in B_0} \lambda^3_d d.$$
The idea of the proof is to show that equation (3) yields that \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = 0 \), a contradiction. Let us go to the details.

From Proposition 4.2-(i), \( \phi_k, \tilde{\eta}_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_h(S), d\tilde{g} \in \mathfrak{M}_m(S) \), and \( \tilde{g} \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S) \) are never vanishing objects on \( \partial(S) \), and therefore, their associated divisors have support in \( M - \partial(M) \). This fact is crucial for a good understanding of the following notations and arguments.

Set \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \{ f \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0) : (f) \geq (\phi_3) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} = (\tilde{g} \tilde{g}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \} \subset \mathcal{L} \). From Riemann-Roch theorem, \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) is a linear subspace of \( \mathcal{L} \) of infinite dimension. Since \( m_i \) is the pole order of \( \phi_3 \) at \( E_i \) and \( g(E_i) \neq 0, \infty \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, a \) (see Proposition 4.2-(iii)), then \( df/\phi_3 \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \) and \( (df, \phi_3) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \) for all \( f \in \mathcal{L}_0 \). By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, there is \( \{ f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L} \) converging to \( df/\phi_3 \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology. Applying equation (3) to \( f_n \) and taking the limit as \( n \) goes to \( +\infty \), we infer that \( \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{df}{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\Gamma_2} \tilde{g} df = 0 \) for any \( f \in \mathcal{L}_0 \). Integrating by parts,

\[
\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{df\tilde{g}}{\tilde{g}^2} - \int_{\Gamma_2} f d\tilde{g} = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}_0.
\]

Denote by \( \mathcal{L}_1 = \{ f \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0) : (f) \geq (\tilde{g}^2 - 1)\tilde{g} (\tilde{g}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \} \subset \mathcal{L} \). As above, from Riemann-Roch theorem \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is a linear subspace of \( \mathcal{L} \) of infinite dimension. For any \( f \in \mathcal{L}_1 \), the function \( h_f := \frac{\tilde{g}^2 df}{\tilde{g}^2 - 1} \) lies in \( \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \) and satisfies that \( (h_f) \geq (\phi_3) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \) (take into account Proposition 4.2-(iii)). By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, \( h_f \) lies in the closure of \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) in \( (\mathfrak{S}_h(S^c), \| \cdot \|_{0,S}) \), hence equation (4) can be formally applied to \( h_f \) to obtain that \( \int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} \frac{df}{\tilde{g}^2 - 1} = 0 \), for any \( f \in \mathcal{L}_1 \). Integrating by parts,

\[
\int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} \frac{df\tilde{g}}{\tilde{g}^2} = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}_1.
\]

At this point, we need the following

**Claim 4.4** For any \( P_1, \ldots, P_r \in N_0, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}, \) and \( \tau \in \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0) \), there exists \( F \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0) \) such that \( (\tau + dF) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{r} P_j^{n_j} \).

**Proof:** Let \( U \subset N_0 \) be a closed disc containing \( P_1, \ldots, P_r \) as interior points, and set \( h : U \to \mathbb{C} \) the holomorphic function \( h = \int_{P_j} \tau \). By Theorem 2.1, there exists \( F \in \mathfrak{S}_0(N_0) \) such that \( |F|_U + h < 1 \) and \( (F|_U + h) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{r} P_j^{n_j} + 1 \). This function solves the claim. \( \square \)

Let us show that \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \). Indeed, it is well known (see [3]) that there exist \( 2\nu + b - 1 \) cohomologically independent meromorphic 1-forms in \( \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0) \) generating the first holomorphic De Rham cohomology group \( H^1_{\text{hol}}(N_0) \) of \( N_0 \). Recall that \( H^1_{\text{hol}}(N_0) \) is the quotient \( \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0)/\sim \), where \( \sim \) is the equivalence relation

\[ \tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \text{ if and only if } \tau_2 - \tau_1 = dh \text{ for some } h \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0). \]

Thus, the map \( H^1_{\text{hol}}(N_0) \to \mathbb{C}^{2\nu + b - 1} \), \( [\tau] \mapsto (\int_{\Gamma} \tau)_{\frac{d \in B_0}{} \text{ }, \) is a linear isomorphism. Assume that \( \Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2 \) and take \( \tau \in \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0) \) such that \( \int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} \tau \neq 0 \). By Claim 4.4, we can find \( F \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0) \) such that \( (\tau + dF) \geq (\tilde{g}^2 - 1)(\tilde{g}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \). Set \( h := \frac{(\tau + dF)(\tilde{g}^2 - 1)}{\tilde{g}^2} \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c) \) and note that \( (h) \geq (\tilde{g}^2 - 1)(\tilde{g}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{m_i} \). By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, \( h \) lies in the closure of \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) in \( (\mathfrak{S}_h(S^c), \| \cdot \|_{0,S}) \) and equation (5) gives that \( \int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} \tau + dF = \int_{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2} \tau = 0 \), a contradiction.

Coming back to equation (4) and using that \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \), one has

\[
\int_{\Gamma_1} f \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{g}^2} - 1 \right) d\tilde{g} = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}_0.
\]
Let us see now that $\Gamma_1 = 0$. Reason by contradiction and suppose that $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$. As above, take $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0)$ and $H \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0)$ such that $\int_{\Gamma_1} \tau \neq 0$ and $(\tau + dH)_0 \geq (d\phi)_0(\hat{\omega}_0 - 1)_0 \prod_{i=1}^2 E_i^{\eta_i}$. Set $t := \frac{(\tau + dH)_0}{(\hat{\omega}_0 - 1)_0} \in \mathfrak{S}_h(S^c)$ and observe that $(t) \geq (\hat{\phi}_3)_0 \prod_{i=1}^2 E_i^{\eta_i}$. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, $t$ lies in the closure of $L_0$ in $(\mathfrak{S}_h(S^c), \| \cdot \|_{0, S})$, hence from equation (6) we get that $\int_{\Gamma_1} (\tau + dH) = \int_{\Gamma_1} \tau = 0$, a contradiction.

Finally, equation (3) and the fact that $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = 0$ give that

$$\int_{\Gamma_3} f \hat{\phi}_3 = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in L.$$  

Reasoning as above, there exist $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0)$ and $G \in \mathfrak{S}_h(N_0)$ such that $\int_{\Gamma_3} \tau \neq 0$ and $(\tau + dG)_0 \geq (\phi_3)_0$. The function $v := \frac{(\tau + dG)}{\phi_3}$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}_h(S^c)$ and satisfies that $(v) \geq \prod_{i=1}^2 E_i^{\eta_i}$. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, $v$ lies in the closure of $L$ in $(\mathfrak{S}_h(S^c), \| \cdot \|_{0, S})$ and equation (7) can be formally applied to $v$. We get that $\int_{\Gamma_3} \tau + dF = 0$, absurd. This contradiction proves the lemma.

Now, we introduce analytical deformation and period operators for data $\{\eta^n_1, \eta^n_2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Definition 4.2** For each $f \in L$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\eta^n_j(f) = (1 + j f)^2 \eta^n_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, like in equation 2. Set also $\Phi_n(f) := (\phi^n_j(f))_{j=1,2,3}$.

It is clear that $\eta^n_j(f) - \eta^n_j$, $\phi^n_k(f) - \phi^n_k$ $\in \mathfrak{M}_h(N_0)$, hence

$$\Phi_n(f) - \hat{\Phi} \in \mathfrak{M}_h(S^c)^3 \quad \text{for all } f \in L \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

Set $\mathcal{P}_n : L \to \mathbb{C}^\infty$, $\mathcal{P}_n(f) = (\int_{\Gamma} \Phi_n(f) - \hat{\Phi})_{d \in B_0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Following Lemma 4.2, let $U \subset L$ be a $\xi_0$-dimensional complex linear subspace such that $d\mathcal{P}_n(U) = \mathbb{C}^\infty$, and fix a basis $\{f^I_{d} : d \in B_0, j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \}$ of $U$.

For the sake of simplicity, write $f_0 = [(f^I_{d})_{d \in B_0}]_{j=1,2,3} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$. For any $\mathbf{x} = [(x^I_{d})_{d \in B_0}]_{j=1,2,3} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ and $\mathbf{h} = [(h^I_{d})_{d \in B_0}]_{j=1,2,3} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$, write also $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{h} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{d \in B_0} x^I_{d} h^I_{d}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$, set $\mathcal{Q}_{n, \mathbf{h}} : \mathbb{C}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}^\infty$ for the vectorial degree two complex polynomial function given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n, \mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{h}),$$

where we have made the convention $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}$.

By Lemma 4.2, $\mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}$ has non-zero Jacobian at the origin, hence we can find a closed Euclidean ball $K_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^\infty$ centered at the origin such that $\mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}\big|_{K_0} : K_0 \to \mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}(K_0)$ is a biholomorphism. Moreover, since $\mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}(0) = 0$ then $\mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}(K_0)$ contains the origin as an interior point. Since $\{\mathcal{Q}_{n, f_0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{Q}_{0, f_0}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ and the convergence is analytical, then $\mathcal{Q}_{n, f_0}|_{K_0} : K_0 \to \mathcal{Q}_{n, f_0}(K_0)$ is a biholomorphism, and $\mathcal{Q}_{n, f_0}(K_0)$ is an Euclidean ball containing the origin as an interior point as well, $n$ large enough (without loss of generality, for all $n$). Let $\mathbf{x}_n \in K_0$ denote the unique point such that $\mathcal{Q}_{n, f_0}(\mathbf{x}_n) = 0$, and set $h_n := \mathbf{x}_n \cdot f_0 \in L$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ solves the second phase of the program. Indeed, one has that $\{\Phi_n(h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniformly approximates $\Phi$ on $S$, $\{\mathcal{P}_n(h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have no real periods on $S$, and $\{\mathcal{P}_n(h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induce the same flux map as $\hat{\Phi}$ (just notice that $\hat{\Phi} - \mathcal{P}_n(h_n)$ is exact on $S$ for all $n$). The second tentative of solution for the Fundamental Approximation Theorem is to define $Y_n : N \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $Y_n := \text{Re} \left( \int \Phi_n(h_n) \right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the 1-forms $\eta^1_n(h_n)$ and $\eta^2_n(h_n)$ could have common zeros in $N$, and consequently $Y_n$ could fail to be an immersion. Even more, we have no control over the behavior of $Y_n$ on the punctures of $N_0$.

To overcome this difficulties, we have to devise a more sophisticated deformation procedure. This is the content of the following phase.
4.1.3 Third phase: proving the theorem.

Let us keep the notations of the previous paragraphs.

To finish the proof, we are going to reproduce the previous program but replacing \( f_0 \) for a suitable basis \( f_\alpha \) of \( \mathcal{U} \) depending on \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (see Lemma 4.3 below).

Up to choosing a smaller ball \( K_0 \subset \mathbb{C}_S \), in the sequel we will assume that

\[
\| x \cdot f_0 \|_{0,S} < 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in K_0. \tag{9}
\]

Lemma 4.3 We can find \( \{ f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}^\infty \) such that:

(i) \( \{ f_n \}_{S^c} \rightarrow f_0 |_{S^c} \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology.

(ii) \( \eta^*_j(\cdot \cdot f_n) \) has a pole at \( E_k \) for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, a + b\} \), \( x \in \mathbb{C}^\infty \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( j = 1, 2 \),

(iii) \( \sum_{j=1}^{2} | \eta_j^n(\cdot \cdot f_n) | \) never vanishes on \( \mathcal{N} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x \in K_0 \).

As a consequence, \( \{ \eta_j^n(\cdot \cdot f_n) |_{S^c} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \eta_j(x \cdot f_0) \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology and \( \{ \mathcal{Q}_n \cdot f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_0 \cdot f_0 \) uniformly on \( K_0 \).

Proof: By definition, it is clear that \( \eta^*_j(f) \) has poles at \( E_k \) for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, a\} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{L} \). By Lemma 4.1, \( \{ \eta^*_j |_{S^c} - \eta_j \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 0 \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology, \( (\eta^*_j |_{S^c}) = (\eta_j) \), and \( \eta^*_j \) never vanishes on \( \partial(S) \), \( j = 1, 2 \), for all \( n \). Let \( C_0 \) be a finite collection of closed discs in \( \mathbb{C} \) containing all the zeros of \( \eta^*_1 \) and \( \eta^*_2 \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) and meeting all the bounded components of \( \mathbb{C} \). Obviously, \( \mathbb{C} \cup C_0 \) is admissible in the open Riemann surface \( \mathbb{N}_0 \).

For each \( d \in B_0 \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \), set \( \hat{f}_d^n : S^c \cup C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), \( \hat{f}_d^n |_{S^c} = f_d^n \), \( \hat{f}_d^n |_{C_0} = 0 \).

By Theorem 2.1 and similar arguments to those used in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can find a sequence \( \{ \hat{f}_d^n(m) \}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \mathcal{L} \) satisfying that

- \( \{ \hat{f}_d^n(m) |_{S^c \cup C_0} \}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \hat{f}_d^n \) in the \( C^0(S^c \cup C_0) \)-topology, and
- the sequence of pole multiplicities \( \{ \text{Ord}_{E_{a+j}}(\hat{f}_d^n(m)) \}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is divergent for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, b\} \).

Up to subsequences, we can assume that:

\[
\text{Ord}_{E_{a+j}}(\hat{f}_d^n(m)) \neq \text{Ord}_{E_{a+j}}(\hat{f}_d^{n_0}(m)) \text{ provided that } (d_1, j_1) \neq (d_2, j_2). \tag{10}
\]

Set \( f_n(m) = [(\hat{f}_d^n(m))_{d \in B_0}]_{j=1,2,3} \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), and take a divergent sequence \( \{ m_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \{ f_n(m_n) |_{S^c} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow f_0 |_{S^c} \) in the \( C^0(S^c) \)-topology and \( \{ \max_{C_0} | f_d^n(m_n) | \}_{n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 0 \) for all \( d \in B_0 \) and \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \).

Set \( f_n(m_n) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and let us show that \( \{ f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) solves the claim.

Items (i) is obvious, and item (ii) follows from equation (10) and the facts that \( \eta_j^n \) has a pole at \( E_k \) for all \( k \in \{a + 1, \ldots, a + b\} \), \( \eta_j^n(f) \) has a pole at \( E_j \) for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, a\} \), and \( f \in \mathcal{L} \).

Let us check (iii). Taking into account (i) and equation (9), and removing finitely many terms of \( \{ f_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) if necessary, we can assume that:

(a) \( \| x \cdot f_n \|_{0,S} < 1 \) for all \( x \in K_0 \), and so \( 1 + x \cdot f_n \) and \( 2 + x \cdot f_n \) never vanish on \( S^c \) for all \( x \in K_0 \),

(b) \( 1 + x \cdot f_n \) and \( 2 + x \cdot f_n \) never vanish on \( C_0 \) for all \( n \) and \( x \in K_0 \).

Since \( |\eta_1^*| + |\eta_2^*| \) never vanishes on \( \mathcal{N} \), (a) and (b) give that \( \sum_{j=1}^{2} | \eta_j^n(\cdot \cdot f_n) | \) never vanishes on \( S \cup C_0 \) for all \( n \). Taking into account that \( |1 + f| + |1 + 2f| \) never vanish on \( \mathcal{N} \) for all \( (n, f) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{L} \), and the choice of \( C_0 \), we deduce that \( \sum_{j=1}^{2} | \eta_j^n(\cdot \cdot f_n) | \) never vanishes on \( \mathcal{N} - (S \cup C_0) \) as well, and we are done. \( \square \)
With the help of this lemma, we can tackle the decisive part of the proof.

For the sake of simplicity, write \( Q_n = Q_n.f_n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). At this point, we reproduce the previous program once again. Since the coefficients of the vectorial polynomial functions \( \{Q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converge to the ones of \( Q_0 \) (take into account Lemma 4.3), \( Q_m|_{K_0} : K_0 \to Q_n(K_0) \) is a biholomorphism and \( Q_n(K_0) \) contains the origin as an interior point, \( n \) is large enough (up to removing finitely many terms, for all \( n \)).

Let \( y_n \in K_0 \) denote the unique point satisfying \( Q_n(y_n) = 0 \), and notice that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0 \). Set \( \rho_j := \eta_j(y_n \cdot f_n) \), \( j = 1,2 \), \( \psi_k := \phi_k(y_n \cdot f_n) \), \( k = 1,2,3 \), and define

\[
Y_n : N \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad Y_n(P) = X(P_0) + \Re \int_{P_0}^P (\psi_k^n)_{k=1,2,3}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( P_0 \) is any point of \( S \).

Now we can prove that \( \{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is the solution for the first part of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem.

Indeed, by (8) and the choice of \( y_n \), \( \psi^n_k - \hat{\psi}_k \) is an exact 1-form on \( \mathbb{M}_h(S^c) \) and \( Y_n \) is well defined. Moreover, Lemma 4.3 and Osserman’s theorem imply that \( Y_n \in \mathcal{M}_{X_\sigma}(N) \). As \( \rho_j^n|_{S^c} = (1 + j(y_n \cdot f_n|_{S^c}))^2(\eta_j - \hat{\eta}_j) + (1 + j(y_n \cdot f_n|_{S^c}))^2\hat{\eta}_j \), then Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.3 and the fact that \( \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to 0 \) give that \( \rho_j^n|_{S^c} \to 0 \) in the \( C^0(S) \)-topology. Therefore \( \{\mathcal{R}_S(Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_\sigma \) in the \( C^1(S) \)-topology, proving the first part of the Theorem 4.1.

The second and final part of the theorem is a direct application of the previous ideas and the well-known Jorge-Xavier theorem (see [6]). Let \( V \) be a closed tubular neighborhood of \( S \). Let \( L_n \) be a Jorge-Xavier type labyrinth in \( V^0 - S \) adapted to \( C \) and \( \psi^n_0 \), that is to say, a finite collection of pairwise disjoint closed discs in \( V - S \) such that \( \int_\gamma |\psi^n_0| > C \) for any compact arc \( \gamma \subset V - L_n \) connecting \( \partial(S) \) and \( \partial(V) \) (see [6] or [12]). Consider another Jorge-Xavier type labyrinth \( L_n' \) obtained as a small closed tubular neighborhood of \( L_n \) in \( V^0 - S \). By Theorem 2.1, there is \( \{h_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_h(K_0) \) such that \( |h_{m,n}| < 1/m \) on \( S^c \), \( |h_{m,n} - m| < 1/m \) on \( L_n' \) and \( (h_{m,n})_0 \geq \prod_{j=1}^n E_{j,m} \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).

Consider on \( N \) the spinorial data \( \varphi_1^{n,m} = e^{-h_{m,n}}\eta_1^n, \varphi_2^{n,m} = e^{h_{m,n}}\eta_2^n \), and their associated Weierstrass data

\[
\tau_1^{n,m} = 1/2(\varphi_1^{n,m} - \varphi_2^{n,m}), \quad \tau_2^{n,m} = i/2(\varphi_1^{n,m} + \varphi_2^{n,m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3^{n,m} = \psi^n_3.
\]

For any \( f \in \mathcal{L} \) put \( \varphi_1^{n,m}(f) = e^{-h_{m,n}}\eta_1^n(f), \varphi_2^{n,m}(f) = e^{h_{m,n}}\eta_2^n(f) \), and call

\[
\tau_1^{n,m}(f) = 1/2(\varphi_1^{n,m}(f) - \varphi_2^{n,m}(f)), \quad \tau_2^{n,m}(f) = i/2(\varphi_1^{n,m}(f) + \varphi_2^{n,m}(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3^{n,m}(f) = \psi^n_3(f).
\]

Define the period operator \( Q_{n,m} : \mathbb{C}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}^\infty \), \( Q_{n,m}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_d \tau_j^{n,m}(\mathbf{x} \cdot f_n) - \hat{\phi}_j dt \), where \( \hat{\phi}_j \) is a biholomorphism, and \( 0 \in Q_{n,m}(K_0) - \partial(Q_{n,m}(K_0)) \) for large enough \( m \) (without loss of generality for all \( m \)). Therefore, \( \lim_{m \to \infty} y_{n,m} = y_n \), where \( y_{n,m} \in K_0 \) is the unique point satisfying \( Q_{n,m}(y_{n,m}) = 0 \).

Call \( \psi_j^{n,m} = \tau_j^{n,m}(y_{n,m} \cdot f_n) \), \( j = 1,2,3 \), fix \( P_0 \in S \) and set

\[
Y_{n,m} : N \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad Y_{n,m}(P) = X(P_0) + \Re \int_{P_0}^P (\psi_k^{n,m})_{k=1,2,3}.
\]

Note that \( Y_{n,m} \) is well defined, has no branch points (take into account Lemma 4.3), \( \mathcal{R}_S(Y_{n,m}) \in \mathcal{M}^* (S) \), and \( \mathcal{R}_S(Y_{n,m}) \) and \( X_\sigma \) are flux equivalent on \( S, m \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, \( \{\mathcal{R}_S(Y_{n,m})\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{R}_S(Y_n) \) in the \( C^1(S) \)-topology for all \( n \).
From the choice of $L_m$ and the fact $\{e^{h_{m,n}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \to \infty$ uniformly on $L_m$, one has that $d_{V_{m,n}}(S, \partial(V)) > C$ for large enough $m$ (depending on $n$), where $d_{V_{m,n}}$ is the intrinsic distance in $\mathcal{N}$ associated to $Y_{n,m}$. Since $\{\mathcal{R}(Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $C^0(S)$-topology, for each $n$ we can find $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the immersions $H_n = Y_{n,m_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy:

- $d_{H_n}(S, \partial(V)) > C$, where $d_{H_n}$ is the intrinsic distance in $\mathcal{N}$ associated to $H_n$, and
- $\{\mathcal{R}(H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology.

Unfortunately, $H_n$ is not necessarily of FTC, and we have to work a little more. Applying the first part of the theorem to $H_n|_V$ (notice that $V$ is admissible in $\mathcal{N}$), there exists $\{Z_{n,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\{Z_{n,j}|_V\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \to H_n|_V$ in the $C^0(V)$-topology, and $\mathcal{R}(Z_{n,j})$ and $\mathcal{R}(H_n)$ are flux equivalent for all $j$. In particular, $\{Z_{n,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_X(N)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, without loss of generality we can also suppose that $d_{Z_{n,j}}(S, \partial(V)) > C$ for all $j$ and $n$.

Since $\{\mathcal{R}(H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology, a standard diagonal process provides a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{Z_{n,j} \mid n, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{M}_X(N)$ such that $\{\mathcal{R}(Z_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology and $d_{Z_n}(S, \partial(V)) \geq C$ for all $n$, concluding the proof.

### 4.2 General version of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem.

In this subsection we obtain the general version of the Fundamental Approximation Theorem for arbitrary open Riemann surfaces and admissible subsets.

Let us start with the following

**Lemma 4.4** Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an open Riemann surface, let $S = M \cup \beta$ be a (possibly non-connected) admissible subset in $\mathcal{N}$, and let $V$ be an admissible region in $\mathcal{N}$ of finite conformal type containing $S$. Let $X_{\sigma}$ be a marked immersion in $\mathcal{M}^*(S)$, let $q : \mathcal{H}_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a group homomorphism satisfying that $q|_{\mathcal{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z})} = p_{X_{\sigma}}$, and fix arbitrary constants $C > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$.

Then there exists $Y \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ such that $\|Y - X_{\sigma}\|_{1,S} \leq \epsilon$, $d_X(S, \partial(V)) \geq C$, and $p_Y = q$.

**Proof:** By basic topology, we can find a finite collection $\gamma \subset V$ of Jordan arcs such that $S_0 = S \cup \gamma$ is a connected admissible subset in $\mathcal{N}$ and $j_s : \mathcal{H}_1(S_0, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{H}_1(V, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, where $j_s : S \to V$ is the inclusion map. This simply means that $V - S_0$ consists of a finite collection of once punctured discs and conformal annuli.

If $V \neq \mathcal{N}$, consider a closed tubular neighborhood $V_0$ of $V$ in $\mathcal{N}$ and a conformal compactification $R$ of $V_0$. Recall that $R - V_0$ consists of a finite family $U_1, \ldots, U_r$ of pairwise disjoint open discs. Moreover, if we fix $P_j \in U_j$ for each $j$, $S_0$ becomes an admissible subset of $R_0 := R - \{P_1, \ldots, P_r\}$, and $R_0 - S_0$ consists of $r$ pairwise disjoint once punctured open discs. If $V = \mathcal{N}$, simply set $V_0 = R_0 = V$ and $R = \mathcal{N}$.

Construct $X_{\sigma_0} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S_0)$ satisfying that $X_{\sigma_0}|_S = X$ and $\sigma_0|_{\beta} = \sigma$. By Theorem 4.1, there exists $Z \in \mathcal{M}(R_0)$ such that $\|Z - X_{\sigma_0}\|_{1,S_0} < \epsilon$ and $p_Z|_{\mathcal{H}_1(S_0, \mathbb{Z})} = p_{X_{\sigma_0}}$. The immersion $Y := Z|_V$ solves the lemma.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.2 (General Approximation Theorem)** Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an open Riemann surface, and let $S$ be a possibly non connected admissible subset in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $X_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{M}^*(S)$ and let $q : \mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a group morphism such that $q|_{\mathcal{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z})} = p_{X_{\sigma}}$.

Then there exists a sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\{\mathcal{R}(Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to X_{\sigma}$ in the $C^1(S)$-topology and $p_{Y_n} = q$ for all $n$.

**Proof:** It suffices to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $Y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\|Y - X_{\sigma}\|_{1,S} \leq \epsilon$ and $p_Y = q$. 
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If $\mathcal{N}$ is of finite conformal type, the theorem follows from Lemma 4.4. In the sequel we will suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ is not of finite conformal type, or equivalently that $\mathcal{N}^c$ is non-compact. Write $E = \mathcal{N}^c - \mathcal{N}$.

Consider an exhaustion $\hat{N}_1 \subset \hat{N}_2 \subset \ldots$ of $\mathcal{N}^c$ by compact regions such that

- $\hat{N}_0 := S^c \subset \hat{N}_1^\circ$,
- $\hat{N}_j$ is admissible in the open Riemann surface $\mathcal{N}^c$ for all $j \geq 1$, and
- $\hat{N}_j \subset \hat{N}_{j+1}^\circ$ and $E_j := E \cap \hat{N}_j \subset \hat{N}_j^\circ$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Call $N_j = -\hat{N}_j - E_j$, $j \geq 1$, and set $Y_0 = X_\sigma$.

Using Lemma 4.4 in a recursive way, one can construct $Y_j \in \mathcal{M}(N_j)$, $j \geq 1$, satisfying that:

(i) $\|Y_{j+1} - Y_j\|_{1,N_j} \leq \epsilon/2^{j+1}$ and $p_{Y_j} = q|_{\mathcal{H}_1(N_j, R)}$, for all $j \geq 0$.

(ii) $d_{Y_{j+1}}(Y_{j+1}(N_j), Y_{j+1}(\partial(N_{j+1}))) \geq 1$, where $d_{Y_{j+1}}$ means intrinsic distance in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $Y_{j+1}$, $j \geq 0$.

Let $Y : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the possibly branched minimal immersion given by $Y|_{N_j} = \lim_{m \to \infty} Y_m|_{N_j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and note that $\lim_{m \to \infty} \|Y_m - Y\|_{1,N_j} = 0$ for all $j$ and $\|Y - X_\sigma\|_{1,S} \leq \epsilon$.

Let us show that $Y$ has no branch points.

Without loss of generality, we will suppose that $X$ is non-flat on the regions of $S$ (use similar ideas to those in Proposition 4.1). Up to choosing $\epsilon$ small enough, the inequality $\|Y - X_\sigma\|_{1,S} \leq \epsilon$ implies that $Y$ is non-flat as well. Let $(g_m, \phi_m^3)$ denote the Weierstrass data of $Y_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and likewise call $(g, \phi_3)$ the ones of $Y$. Obviously, $(g_m, \phi_m^3) \to (g, \phi_3)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{N}^c$. Take an arbitrary $P_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, and consider $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_0 \in N_{j_0}^\circ$. Up to a rigid motion, $g(P_0) \neq 0$, $\infty$, hence we can find a closed disc $D \subset N_{j_0}$ such that $P_0 \in D^\circ$ and $g_m|_D$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $g|_D$ are holomorphic and never vanishing. Since $Y_m$ has no branch points, $\phi_m^3$ has no zeroes on $D$ for all $m$. By Hurwitz theorem, either $\phi_3 = 0$ or $\phi_3$ has no zeroes on $D$ as well. In the first case the identity principle would give $\phi_3 = 0$ on $\mathcal{N}$, contradicting that $Y$ is non-flat. Therefore, $\phi_3$ has no zeroes on $D$ and $Y|_D$ has no branch points. Since $P_0$ is an arbitrary point of $\mathcal{N}$, $Y$ is a conformal minimal immersion.

Finally, let us see that $Y$ is complete and of WFTC. By Osserman’s theorem, the Gauss map of $Y_j$ extends meromorphically to $\tilde{N}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\|Y_j - Y\|_{1,N_j}$ is finite, then Weierstrass data of $Y$ extends meromorphically to $\mathcal{N}^c$ as well and $Y|_{N_j}$ is complete and of finite total curvature for any $j$. It remains to check that $Y$ is complete. Indeed, obviously those curves in $\mathcal{N}$ diverging to a puncture in $E$ have infinite intrinsic length with respect to $Y$. By item (ii), any curve in $\mathcal{N}$ diverging in $\mathcal{N}^c$ has also infinite intrinsic length. This shows that $Y$ is complete and lies in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$.

Since $p_{Y_j} = q$, this completes the proof. \qed

For any $X \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ with $p_X = 0$ and $\theta \in \partial(\mathbb{D})$, we set $X_\theta = \text{Re}\left( \int \theta \cdot \partial_z X \right)$ and call $\{X_\theta : \theta \in \partial(\mathbb{D})\} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ as the family of associated minimal immersions of $X$. The next corollary generalizes Pírola’s results in [13]:

**Corollary 4.1** For any open Riemann surface $\mathcal{N}$, there exists $Y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ such that all its associated immersions are well defined. In particular, the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}) \neq \emptyset$.

**Proof:** Fix a closed disc $D \subset \mathcal{N}$ and an immersion $X \in \mathcal{M}(D)$. By Theorem 4.2, there is $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\{Y_n\}_D \to X$ in the $C^0(D)$-topology and $p_{Y_n} = 0$. The corollary follows straightforwardly. \qed
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