

On the solutions of the Einstein-Hilbert and Gauss-Bonnet metric-affine Lagrangians

B. Janssen, A. Jiménez-Cano, J. A. Orejuela

Dpto. Física Teórica y del Cosmos (U. de Granada) / CAFPE
Facultad de Ciencias, Avda Fuentenueva s/n. Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain
alejandroj@ugr.es — Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 42 – 48. [arXiv:1903.00280]



Abstract

It is a known result that the $2k$ -dimensional Lovelock Lagrangian of order k is a total derivative in the Levi-Civita (metric) and in the metric-compatible (Riemann-Cartan) cases. We are indeed interested in figuring out the case with non-metricity, i.e. with a completely general connection. In this work, we focus on the first and second order of the Lovelock expansion in the metric-affine formalism, proving that the non-metricity prevents the theory from being a total derivative. We also provide a few general conclusions for the critical Lovelock Lagrangian with arbitrary k .

Formalism, notation and basic objects

Three fundamental (independent) objects in metric-affine formalism:

- **Coframe.** Basis of the cotangent space in each point

$$\vartheta^a = e_\mu^a dx^\mu \quad (\text{dual to } e_a = e^\mu_a \partial_\mu). \quad (1)$$

- **Metric.** Components of the metric in the arbitrary basis:

$$g_{ab} = e^\mu_a e^\nu_b g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2)$$

Associated objects:

- Hodge duality between k -forms and $(D - k)$ -forms $\rightsquigarrow \star$
- Canonical volume form:

$$\star 1 := \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^D \equiv \frac{1}{D!} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_D} \vartheta^{a_1 \dots a_D}, \quad |g| \equiv |\det(g_{\mu\nu})|. \quad (3)$$

- **Connection 1-form**

$$\omega_a^b = \omega_{\mu a}^b dx^\mu, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\mu a}^b := e^\nu_a e_\lambda^b \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + e_\sigma^b \partial_\mu e^\sigma_a. \quad (4)$$

Associated objects

- Exterior covariant derivative (of algebra-valued forms)

$$D\alpha_{a_1 \dots b_n} = d\alpha_{a_1 \dots b_n} + \omega_c^a \wedge \alpha_{a_1 \dots c \dots b_n} + \dots - \omega_a^c \wedge \alpha_{a_1 \dots b_n \dots c}, \quad (5)$$

- Curvature, torsion and non-metricity forms:

$$R_a^b := d\omega_a^b + \omega_c^b \wedge \omega_a^c = \left(\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda x^\mu \wedge dx^\nu\right) e^\rho_a e^\lambda_b, \quad (6)$$

$$T^a := D\vartheta^a = \left(\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu\right) e^\lambda_a, \quad (7)$$

$$Q_{ab} := -Dg_{ab} = (Q_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu) e^\nu_a e^\rho_b. \quad (8)$$

where

$$R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^\rho := \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\rho - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\rho + \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\rho \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^\rho \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\sigma, \quad (9)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\rho := \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho, \quad Q_{\mu\nu\rho} := -\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho}.$$

More notation:

* Levi-Civita: $\hat{\omega}_a^b, \hat{R}_a^b$.

* Contractions of the curvature:

$$R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} := R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^\lambda, \quad R := g^{\mu\nu} R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}, \quad R^{(2)}_{\mu}{}^\nu := g^{\lambda\sigma} R_{\mu\lambda\sigma}^\nu. \quad (10)$$

Metric-affine Lovelock gravity

- **Def.** D -dimensional (metric-affine) Lovelock term of order k :

$$L_k^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star(\vartheta_{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vartheta_{a_{2k}}) \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}^{[b_1 \dots b_{2k}]} R_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} \dots R_{b_{2k-1} b_{2k}}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (12)$$

- **General properties**

- Levi-Civita is a solution of the Palatini formalism EoM.
- Projective symmetry ($A = A_\mu dx^\mu$ arbitrary):

$$\omega_a^b \rightarrow \omega_a^b + A \delta_a^b \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (13)$$

- In $D = 2k$ (critical dim.), the Riemann-Cartan and the metric cases are topological.

Metric-affine Einstein Lagrangian

- **Einstein Lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

(We drop the factor $(2k)^{-1}$)

$$L_1^{(D)} = R_a^b \wedge \star(\vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta_b) = \text{sgn}(g) e^\nu_b e^\mu_c g^{ca} R_{\mu\nu a}^b(\omega) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (14)$$

- In $D > 2$, the solution of the EoM of the connection is:

$$\omega_a^b = \hat{\omega}_a^b + A \delta_a^b \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (15)$$

Unphysical projective mode \rightarrow can be eliminated using a symmetry of the theory.

- **Critical dimension** $D = 2$.

- Lagrangian (we extract the Riemann-Cartan part which is exact)

$$L_1^{(2)} = d(\dots) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E}_a^b \tilde{Q}_a^c \wedge \tilde{Q}_c^b \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{Q}_{ab} = Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} Q_c^c. \quad (16)$$

- Equations of motion

$$0 = D\mathcal{E}_a^b = -\tilde{Q}^{ca} \mathcal{E}_{bc}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore the general solution is one that verifies:

$$\tilde{Q}_{ab} = 0. \quad (18)$$

- And this is not an identity \rightsquigarrow 4 conditions over the 8 d.o.f. of the connection:

Tensor	d.o.f. in D dim.	d.o.f. in 2 dim.	Condition imposed by EoM
$T_{\mu\nu}^\rho$	$\frac{1}{2} D^2 (D - 1)$	2 (pure trace)	[None]
$Q_{\mu\lambda}^\lambda$	D	2	[None]*
$\tilde{Q}_{\mu\nu\rho}$	$\frac{1}{2} D(D + 2)(D - 1)$	4	They are zero

Table 1: d.o.f. of Γ and their conditions for metric-affine Einstein gravity

* The trace of the non-metricity is undetermined in any D due to proj. symmetry.

Metric-affine Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangian

- **Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

$$L_2^{(D)} = R_a^b \wedge R_c^d \wedge \star(\vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta_b \wedge \vartheta^c \wedge \vartheta_d) \quad (19)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(g) [R^2 - R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} R^{(1)\nu\mu} + 2R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} R^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}] \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (20)$$

- In arbitrary D , the general solution is not known (up to the unphysical projective mode).

- **Critical dimension** $D = 4$.

- Lagrangian (we extract the Riemann-Cartan part which is exact)

$$L_2^{(4)} = d(\dots) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E}_{abcd} [2\tilde{R}^{ab} \wedge \tilde{Q}_c^e \wedge \tilde{Q}_d^e - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{Q}_e^a \wedge \tilde{Q}^{be} \wedge \tilde{Q}_f^c \wedge \tilde{Q}^{df}]. \quad (21)$$

- Particular solution. The Ansatz

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - C^\rho g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

is a solution for the critical case $D = 4$ as long as $B_\mu = C_\mu$.

The transformation that connects it to Levi-Civita,

$$\Phi : \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \mapsto \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - B^\rho g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi : \begin{cases} T_{\mu\nu}^\rho \mapsto T_{\mu\nu}^\rho - 2B_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\rho \\ Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \mapsto Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

is not a symmetry of the theory (due to the non-vanishing $Q_{\mu\nu\sigma}$):

$$\delta_\Phi \mathcal{L}_2^{(4)} = -4B^\mu B^\nu g^{\rho\lambda} [2\nabla_{(\mu} Q_{\rho)\nu\lambda} + T_{\mu\rho}^\sigma Q_{\sigma\nu\lambda}] - 2Q^{\mu\nu\rho} [B_\mu (R^{(1)}_{\nu\rho} + R^{(2)}_{\nu\rho}) + B^\lambda (R_{\lambda\nu\mu\rho} + R_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}) + \dots] - 2Q^{\mu\sigma} [B^\nu (R^{(1)}_{\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}_{\nu\mu} - g_{\nu\mu} R) - 2B_\mu B_\nu B^\nu + \dots] + 2Q_\sigma^{\sigma\mu} [B^\nu (R^{(1)}_{\nu\mu} + R^{(2)}_{\nu\mu}) + 2B_\mu \nabla_\nu B^\nu + 2B^\nu \nabla_\nu B_\mu + 2B_\mu B^\nu T_{\nu\lambda}^\lambda], \quad (24)$$

- In addition, configurations that do not verify the equations of motion can be given. For these reasons, the theory cannot be a total derivative.

General metric-affine Lovelock Lagrangian in $D = 2k$ (critical)

- Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$L_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{D-1} a_D} R_{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R_{a_{D-1} a_D}. \quad (25)$$

- Equation of motion for the connection:

$$0 = \left[\tilde{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \tilde{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \tilde{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}} \quad (26)$$

- Families of solutions:

- for all k : connection with $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = V_\mu g_{\nu\rho}$ (i.e. $\tilde{Q}_{ab} = 0$).
- for $k > 1$: teleparallel (i.e. $R_c^d = 0$), any connection such that $\tilde{Q}_{ab} \wedge R_c^d = 0$.
- for $k > 2$: any connection such that $R_{ab} = \alpha_{ab} \wedge k$ for certain 1-forms α_{ab} and k .

Conclusions and forthcoming research

- **Conclusions**

- Metric-affine Einstein gravity in critical dimension is not topological (the Lagrangian form is not exact), since the dynamics is not identically satisfied.
- In metric-affine Gauss-Bonnet gravity, we have non-trivial solutions that are not connected with Levi-Civita through a symmetry. Indeed, it is possible to find configurations of the fields that violate the equations of motion. Therefore, the theory is not topological either.
- (Conjecture) In arbitrary critical dimension the presence of non-metricity spoils the topological character of the Lovelock action.

- **Future work**

- Analysis of the equation of the metric/coframe.
- Is there an easy (systematic) way to solve the EoM of the connection for any k ?
- Which is the role of the non-metricity in breaking the triviality in critical dimension?

Acknowledgements

Thanks to José Beltrán Jiménez, Tomi Koivisto, Gonzalo Olmo, Miguel Sánchez and Jorge Zanelli for useful discussions. Funding: Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (FIS2016-78198-P), Junta de Andalucía (FQM101) and the Unidad de Excelencia UCE-PP2016-02 (UGR); A.J.C. is supported by the PhD contract FPU15/02864 (MEC); and J.A.O. by a PhD contract of the Plan Propio (UGR). We used xAct to check our calculations.

References

- [1] B. Janssen, A. Jiménez-Cano, J. A. Orejuela, Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 42 – 48.
- [2] D. Lovelock, J. Math. Phys. 12 (1971), 498–501.
- [3] M. Borunda, B. Janssen, M. Bastero-Gil, JCAP 0811 (2008), 008.
- [4] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Rep. 258 (1995) 1 – 171.
- [5] A. Jiménez-Cano, PhD. Thesis (*in progress*).
- [6] D. Iosifidis, and T. Koivisto, arXiv: 1810.12276.
- [7] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T. Koivisto, JCAP 2016 (2016), no 04, 046.
- [8] J. Zanelli, Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012), 133001.