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## 1. Introduction

An element $u$ of a norm-unital Banach algebra $A$ is said to be unitary if $u$ is invertible in $A$ and satisfies $\|u\|=\left\|u^{-1}\right\|=1$. The norm-unital Banach algebra $A$ is called unitary if the convex hull of the set of its unitary elements is norm-dense in the closed unit ball of $A$. If $X$ is a complex Hilbert space, then the algebra $B L(X)$ of all bounded linear operators on $X$ is unitary (by the Russo-Dye theorem [10] Theorem 30.2). The question whether the above fact characterizes complex Hilbert spaces among complex Banach spaces seems to be open (see [12], [18], and [31]). In this paper we prove some partial affirmative answers to the question just quoted. Indeed, a complex Banach space $X$ is a Hilbert space if (and only if) $B L(X)$ is unitary and, for $Y$ equal to either $X, X^{*}$, or $X^{* *}$, there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of the open unit ball of $Y$ which cannot be extended to a surjective linear isometry on $Y$ (see Theorems 2.2, 2.5, and 2.10, respectively).

The proof of our results involves deep facts taken from the theory of the infinite dimensional holomorphy. The reader is referred to the survey paper of J. Arazy [1], as well as to Section 2 of the Arazy-Solel paper [2], for a comprehensive view of the part of that theory involved in our arguments.

[^0]Actually we have had to slightly develop the theory of circular homogeneous domains on complex Banach spaces. Indeed, as a key tool for the proof of Theorem 2.10, we prove in Proposition 2.9 that, if $X$ is a complex Banach space, and if the orbit of zero under the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball of $X^{* *}$ contains the open unit ball of $X$, then such an orbit is in fact the whole open unit ball of $X^{* *}$ (i.e., $X^{* *}$ is a $J B^{*}$-triple, see [21] and [22]).

## 2. The Results

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{K}$ will mean the field of real or complex numbers. Let $X$ be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. We denote by $S_{X}, B_{X}, \Delta_{X}$, and $X^{*}$ the unit sphere, the closed unit ball, the open unit ball, and the (topological) dual, respectively, of $X$. Given $(x, f)$ in $X \times X^{*}$, the value of $f$ at $x$ will be denoted by $<f, x>$. The symbol $\mathcal{G}_{X}$ will stand for the group of all surjective linear isometries from $X$ to $X$. We note that $\mathcal{G}_{X}$ is nothing but the set of all unitary elements of the norm-unital Banach algebra $B L(X)$. For a subset $A$ of $X, \overline{c o} A$ will mean the (norm-) closed convex hull of $A$ in $X$.

Lemma 2.1. For a Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{K}$, consider the following conditions:
(1) $B L(X)$ is unitary.
(2) For every $\alpha$ in $S_{X^{* *}}$ we have

$$
\overline{c o}\left\{T^{* *}(\alpha): T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\} \supseteq B_{X}
$$

(3) For every $f$ in $S_{X^{*}}$ we have

$$
\overline{c o}\left\{T^{*}(f): T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\}=B_{X^{*}} .
$$

(4) For every $x$ in $S_{X}$ we have

$$
\overline{c o}\left\{T(x): T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\}=B_{X}
$$

Then $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 4$.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$.- Let $x$ and $\alpha$ be in $B_{X}$ and $S_{X^{* *}}$, respectively. Fix $\varepsilon>0$, take $f$ in $B_{X^{*}}$ such that $|1-<\alpha, f>|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and denote by $x \otimes f$ the operator on $X$ defined by $(x \otimes f)(y):=<f, y>x$ for every $y$ in $X$. By the assumption 1, there exists $F$ in the convex hull of $\mathcal{G}_{X}$ satisfying $\|x \otimes f-F\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|x-F^{* *}(\alpha)\right\| \leq\|x-<\alpha, f>x\|+\left\|<\alpha, f>x-F^{* *}(\alpha)\right\| \\
=\|(1-<\alpha, f>) x\|+\left\|(x \otimes f-F)^{* *}(\alpha)\right\|<\varepsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

$2 \Rightarrow 3$.- As a consequence of the assumption 2, for every $\alpha$ in $S_{X^{* *}}$ the convex hull of $\left\{T^{* *}(\alpha): T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\}$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $B_{X^{* *}}$. Then 3 follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem.
$3 \Rightarrow 4$.- The assumption 3 implies that for every $f$ in $S_{X^{*}}$ the convex hull of $\left\{T^{*}(f): T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\}$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $B_{X^{*}}$. By another application of the Hahn-Banach theorem, 4 holds.

A Banach space $X$ is said to be convex-transitive if it satisfies Condition 4 in Lemma 2.1. Thus Condition 3 in the above lemma is a stronger form of the convex transitivity for $X^{*}$. The implications $1 \Rightarrow 3$ and $1 \Rightarrow 4$ in Lemma 2.1 are first proved in [12]. For convex-transitive Banach spaces the reader is referred to [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [20], [24], and [30].

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space. Then $\Delta_{X}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{X}$, and hence $\mathcal{G}_{X}$ can be seen as a subgroup of the group of all biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X}$. According to [1, Theorem 3.6 and Main Lemma 4.2], the orbit of zero under the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $\Delta_{X}$ becomes the open unit ball of a closed subspace of $X$, which is called the symmetric part of $X$ and is denoted by $X_{s}$. The possibility $X=X_{s}$ has been deeply studied by many authors since the fundamental work of W . Kaup (see [21] and [22]), who proves that such an equality is equivalent to the fact that $X$ is a $J B^{*}$-triple. We recall that the complex Banach space $X$
is said to be a $J B^{*}$-triple if it is endowed with a continuous triple product $\{\ldots\}: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ which is linear and symmetric in the outer variables, and conjugate-linear in the middle variable, and satisfies:
(1) For all $x$ in $X$, the mapping $y \rightarrow\{x x y\}$ from $X$ to $X$ is a hermitian operator on $X$ and has nonnegative spectrum;
(2) The main identity

$$
\{a b\{x y z\}\}=\{\{a b x\} y z\}-\{x\{b a y\} z\}+\{x y\{a b z\}\}
$$

holds for all $a, b, x, y, z$ in $X$;
(3) $\|\{x x x\}\|=\|x\|^{3}$ for every $x$ in $X$.
$J B^{*}$-triples which are dual Banach spaces are called $J B W^{*}$-triples.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a nonzero complex Banach space. Then $X$ is a Hilbert space if (and only if) $B L(X)$ is unitary and there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X}$ which does not lie in $\mathcal{G}_{X}$.

Proof. The "only if" part is well-known. Indeed, every complex Hilbert space is in fact a $J B^{*}$-triple under the triple product

$$
\{x y z\}:=\frac{1}{2}((x \mid y) z+(z \mid y) x)
$$

Assume that $B L(X)$ is unitary and that there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X}$ which is not in $\mathcal{G}_{X}$. By the second assumption and [1, Lemma 2.1], $X_{s}$ is nonzero. Since $X_{s}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{X}$, the first assumption, together with the implication $1 \Rightarrow 4$ in Lemma 2.1, gives that $X=X_{s}$, that is $X$ becomes a $J B^{*}$-triple. By [15], $X^{* *}$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple.

Now $X^{*}$ is the predual of a $J B W^{*}$-triple, as well as a convex-transitive Banach space (a consequence of the implication $1 \Rightarrow 3$ in Lemma 2.1), and moreover the closed unit ball of $X^{*}$ has extreme points (by the KreinMilman theorem). It follows from [6, Theorem 3.1] that $X^{*}$ (and hence $X$ ) is a Hilbert space.

Banach spaces $X$ which are ranges of a linear projection $P$ on $X^{* *}$ such that $1-2 P$ is an isometry have been considered in [17].

Proposition 2.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume that $B L(X)$ is unitary and that $X$ is the range of a linear projection $P$ on $X^{* *}$ such that $1-2 P$ is an isometry. Then $X$ is reflexive.

Proof. Let $T$ be in $\mathcal{G}_{X}$. Then $Q:=\left(T^{* *}\right)^{-1} P T^{* *}$ is a projection on $X^{* *}$ satisfying $Q\left(X^{* *}\right)=X$ and such that $1-2 Q$ is an isometry. By the comments after $\left[8\right.$, Theorem 3.5], we have $Q=P$ (i.e. $P$ commutes with $T^{* *}$ ), and hence $(1-P)\left(X^{* *}\right)$ is invariant under $T^{* *}$. Now note that $T$ is arbitrary in $\mathcal{G}_{X}$ and that, by the implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ in Lemma 2.1 , every nonzero closed subspace of $X^{* *}$ invariant under $\left\{T^{* *}: T \in \mathcal{G}_{X}\right\}$ must contain $X$. It follows that, if $X$ were not reflexive, then we would have the contradiction $(1-P)\left(X^{* *}\right) \supseteq X=P\left(X^{* *}\right)$.

Let $X$ be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. An $L$-projection on $X$ is a linear projection (say $\pi$ ) on $X$ satisfying $\|x\|=\|\pi(x)\|+\|x-\pi(x)\|$ for every $x$ in $X$. The Banach space $X$ is said to be $L$-embedded if it is the range of an $L$-projection on $X^{* *}$. For the theory of $L$-embedded Banach spaces the reader is referred to [19]. The next corollary follows straightforwardly from Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. Let $X$ be an L-embedded Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ such that $B L(X)$ is unitary. Then $X$ is reflexive.

Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ be a nonzero complex Banach space. Then $X$ is a Hilbert space if (and only if) $B L(X)$ is unitary and there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X^{*}}$ which does not lie in $\mathcal{G}_{X^{*}}$.

Proof. Assume that $B L(X)$ is unitary and that there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X *}$ which is not in $\mathcal{G}_{X^{*}}$. By the second assumption and $\left[1\right.$, Lemma 2.1], $\left(X^{*}\right)_{s}$ is nonzero. Since $\left(X^{*}\right)_{s}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{X^{*}}$, the
first assumption, together with the implication $1 \Rightarrow 3$ in Lemma 2.1, gives that $X^{*}=\left(X^{*}\right)_{s}$, that is $X^{*}$ becomes a $J B W^{*}$-triple. Then, by [3, Proposition 3.4], $X$ is an $L$-embedded Banach space.

Now $X$ is the predual of a $J B W^{*}$-triple, as well as a convex-transitive Banach space (by the implication $1 \Rightarrow 4$ in Lemma 2.1), and moreover the closed unit ball of $X$ has extreme points (because, by Corollary 2.4, $X$ is reflexive). It follows from [6, Theorem 3.1] that $X$ is a Hilbert space.

Remark 2.6. The Banach space $X$ in Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, as well as its dual $X^{*}$, is in fact superreflexive and almost transitive. This is so because, by Lemma 2.1, $X$ and $X^{*}$ are convex transitive, and, since they are reflexive, [4, Corollary 3.3] applies. We recall that almost transitivity of a Banach space $Y$ means that, for every $y$ in $S_{Y}, \mathcal{G}_{Y}(y)$ is dense in $S_{Y}$. Since superreflexive almost transitive Banach spaces are uniformly smooth [16] (see also [14, Corollary IV.5.7]), the concluding paragraph in the proof of Theorem 2.5 can be replaced with an application of the refined version of Corollary 2.4 just commented, keeping in mind either that smooth preduals of $J B W^{*}$-triples are Hilbert spaces [6, Proposition 2.4] or Tarasov's theorem [28] that smooth $J B^{*}$-triples are Hilbert spaces.

The next lemma is a non linear generalization of [10, Theorem 17.2]. Given a Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{K}$, we denote by $\Pi(X)$ the set of those elements $(x, f)$ in $S_{X} \times S_{X^{*}}$ such that $<f, x>=1$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $X$ be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$, let $(f, \alpha)$ be an element of $\Pi\left(X^{*}\right)$, and let $\Lambda$ be a bounded function from $S_{X^{*}}$ to $X^{*}$ continuous at $f$. Then $<\alpha, \Lambda(f)>$ belongs to the closure in $\mathbb{K}$ of the set

$$
\{<\Lambda(h), x>:(x, h) \in \Pi(X)\}
$$

More precisely, for every positive number $\rho,<\alpha, \Lambda(f)>$ lies in the closure in $\mathbb{K}$ of the set

$$
\{<\Lambda(h), x>:(x, h) \in \Pi(X),\|f-h\|<\rho\} .
$$

Proof. Fix the positive number $\rho$ in the statement, and let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\Lambda$ is continuous at $f$, there exists

$$
0<\delta<\min \{1, \rho, \varepsilon\}
$$

such that $\|\Lambda(g)-\Lambda(f)\|<\varepsilon$ whenever $g$ is in $S_{X^{*}}$ and $\|g-f\|<\delta$. Since $B_{X}$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $B_{X^{* *}}$, there exists $y \in B_{X}$ satisfying

$$
|<\alpha-y, \Lambda(f)>|<\varepsilon \text { and }\left|1-<f, y>\left|=|<\alpha-y, f>|<\frac{\delta^{2}}{4} .\right.\right.
$$

By the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem [10, Theorem 16.1], there exists $(x, h) \in \Pi(X)$ such that $\|y-x\|<\delta$, and $\|f-h\|<\delta$. Now we have $\|f-h\|<\rho$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
|<\alpha, \Lambda(f)>-<\Lambda(h), x>| \\
\leq|<\alpha-y, \Lambda(f)>|+|<\Lambda(f)-\Lambda(h), y>|+|<\Lambda(h), y-x>| \\
<\varepsilon(2+\|\Lambda\|)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space. We recall that a holomorphic vector field on $\Delta_{X}$ is nothing but a holomorphic mapping from $\Delta_{X}$ to $X$. A holomorphic vector field $\Lambda$ on $\Delta_{X}$ is said to be complete if, for each $x$ in $\Delta_{X}$, there exists a differentiable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Delta_{X}$ satisfying

$$
\varphi(0)=x \text { and } \frac{d}{d t} \varphi(t)=\Lambda(\varphi(t))
$$

for every $t$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The next lemma is due to L. Stacho [25] (see also [1, p. 139], [26], [27], and [29, Lecture 4]). The formulation we give here is that of [2, Proposition 2.5].

Lemma 2.8. Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, and $\Lambda$ a holomorphic vector field on $\Delta_{X}$. Then $\Lambda$ is complete if and only if it has a holomorphic extension (say $\widehat{\Lambda}$ ) to a neighborhood of $B_{X}$ satisfying $\Re e(<f, \widehat{\Lambda}(x)>)=0$ for every $(x, f) \in \Pi(X)$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $X$ be a complex Banach space such that $\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s} \supseteq X$. Then $X^{* *}$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple.

Proof. Since $X$ is contained in the symmetric part of $X^{* *}$, according to [1, Lemma 3.5 and Theorems 3.3 and 3.6] (see also [1, Definition 3.7]), for each $x$ in $X$ there exists a unique continuous quadratic mapping $q_{x}$ : $X^{* *} \rightarrow X^{* *}$ such that (the restriction to $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$ of) the function $\Lambda_{x}: \alpha \rightarrow$ $x-q_{x}(\alpha)$ from $X^{* *}$ to $X^{* *}$ becomes a complete holomorphic vector field on $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$. Moreover, the mapping $x \rightarrow q_{x}$, from $X$ to the Banach space of all $X^{* *}$-valued continuous quadratic functions on $X^{* *}$, is conjugate-linear and continuous. The continuity of the mapping $x \rightarrow q_{x}$ is not explicitly noticed in [1], but follows easily from Lemma 2.8 and the closed graph theorem. For $\alpha$ in $X^{* *}$ consider the continuous conjugate-linear mapping $F_{\alpha}: x \rightarrow q_{x}(\alpha)$ from $X$ to $X^{* *}$. Denote by $G_{\alpha}$ the unique $w^{*}$-continuous conjugate-linear mapping from $X^{* *}$ to $X^{* *}$ which extends $F_{\alpha}$ (see for instance [23, Lemma 1.5]), and consider the function $\Lambda_{\alpha}: \beta \rightarrow \alpha-G_{\beta}(\alpha)$ from $X^{* *}$ to $X^{* *}$. Note that the definition of $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ just given is consistent with the one previously introduced in the particular case that $\alpha=x \in X$. Now, let $x, \alpha$, and $(f, \beta)$ be elements of $X, X^{* *}$, and $\Pi\left(X^{*}\right)$, respectively. Since $\Lambda_{x}$ is a holomorphic vector field on $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$ and $(\beta, f)$ belongs to $\Pi\left(X^{* *}\right)$, Lemma 2.8 applies giving

$$
\Re e\left(<x-F_{\beta}(x), f>\right)=\Re e\left(<\Lambda_{x}(\beta), f>\right)=0
$$

Since $x$ is arbitrary in $X$, it follows from the $w^{*}$-density of $X$ in $X^{* *}$ that

$$
\Re e\left(<\alpha-G_{\beta}(\alpha), f>\right)=\Re e\left(<\Lambda_{\alpha}(\beta), f>\right)=0 .
$$

Note now that $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ is a holomorphic mapping on $X^{* *}$ bounded on $B_{X^{* *}}$ (indeed, it follows easily from the continuity of the mapping $x \rightarrow q_{x}$, and the way of defining $G_{\diamond}$, that $\gamma \rightarrow G_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is a continuous quadratic mapping from $X^{* *}$ to $\left.X^{* *}\right)$. Since $(f, \beta)$ is arbitrary in $\Pi\left(X^{*}\right)$, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that $\Re e\left(<\chi, \Lambda_{\alpha}(\gamma)>\right)=0$ for every $(\gamma, \chi) \in \Pi\left(X^{* *}\right)$. By Lemma 2.8, $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$. By [1, Theorem 3.6],
$\alpha=\Lambda_{\alpha}(0)$ belongs to $\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s}$. Finally, since $\alpha$ is arbitrary in $X^{* *}$, we have $X^{* *}=\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s}$.

In relation to Proposition 2.9 above, it is worth mentioning that complex Banach spaces whose biduals are $J B W^{*}$-triples need not be $J B^{*}$-triples (see for instance [6, Example 3.10]).

Theorem 2.10. Let $X$ be a nonzero complex Banach space. Then $X$ is a Hilbert space if (and only if) $B L(X)$ is unitary and there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$ which does not lie in $\mathcal{G}_{X^{* *}}$.

Proof. Assume that $B L(X)$ is unitary and that there exists a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Delta_{X^{* *}}$ which is not in $\mathcal{G}_{X^{* *}}$. By the second assumption and [1, Lemma 2.1], $\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s}$ is nonzero. Then, since $\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s}$ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}_{X^{* *}}$, the first assumption, together with the implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ in Lemma 2.1, gives that $\left(X^{* *}\right)_{s} \supseteq X$. By Proposition 2.9, $X^{* *}$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple. Now the proof of the present theorem is finished by repeating verbatim the concluding paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.2.
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