

# A bilinear version of Holsztynski's theorem on isometries of $C(X)$ -spaces

Antonio Moreno Galindo and Ángel Rodríguez Palacios

ABSTRACT. We prove that, for a compact metric space  $X$  not reduced to a point, the existence of a bilinear mapping  $\diamond : C(X) \times C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying  $\|f \diamond g\| = \|f\|\|g\|$  for all  $f, g \in C(X)$  is equivalent to the uncountability of  $X$ . This is derived from a bilinear version of Holsztynski's theorem [3] on isometries of  $C(X)$ -spaces, which is also proved in the paper.

## 1. Introduction

A celebrated theorem of W. Holsztynski [3] asserts that, if  $X$  and  $Z$  are compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and if  $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Z)$  is a linear isometry, then there exist a closed subset  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , a continuous surjective mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X$ , and a norm-one element  $\alpha \in C(Z)$  satisfying  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  and  $T(f)(z) = \alpha(z)f(\varphi(z))$  for every  $(z, f) \in Z_0 \times C(X)$ . As main result, we prove that, if  $X, Y, Z$  are compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and if  $\diamond : C(X) \times C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$  is a bilinear mapping satisfying  $\|f \diamond g\| = \|f\|\|g\|$  for every  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ , then there exist a closed subset  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , a continuous surjective mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X \times Y$ , and a norm-one element  $\alpha \in C(Z)$  satisfying  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  and

$$(f \diamond g)(z) = \alpha(z)f(\pi_X(\varphi(z)))g(\pi_Y(\varphi(z)))$$

for every  $(z, f, g) \in Z_0 \times C(X) \times C(Y)$ , where  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  stand for the natural coordinate projections. We note that Holsztynski's original theorem follows from the new bilinear version by taking the space  $Y$  reduced to a point.

We looked for the main result just reviewed in the attempt to determine those compact Hausdorff topological spaces  $X$  such that the Banach space  $C(X)$  is “absolute-valuable”. That a Banach space  $E$  is absolute-valuable means that there exists a bilinear mapping  $\diamond : E \times E \rightarrow E$  satisfying

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E15, 46B04, secondary 46H70.

Partially supported by Junta de Andalucía grant FQM 0199 and Projects I+D MCYT BFM2001-2335, BFM2002-01529, and BFM2002-01810.

$\|\xi \diamond \chi\| = \|\xi\| \|\chi\|$  for all  $\xi, \chi \in E$ . The reader is referred to [1] for a view of the present status of the theory of such spaces. We derive from the main result that, if  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff topological space such that  $C(X)$  is absolute-valuable, then  $X$  must be either reduced to a point or not scattered. Thus we rediscover the fact, first proved in [1], that  $C(X)$  is not absolute-valuable when we take  $X$  equal to the one-point compactification of any infinite discrete space. We also deduce that, in the case that the compact space  $X$  is metrizable and not reduced to a point, the Banach space  $C(X)$  is absolute-valuable if and only if  $X$  is uncountable.

## 2. The main result

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{K}$  will denote the field of real or complex numbers. The field  $\mathbb{K}$  will remain fixed, and, for a compact Hausdorff topological space  $X$ ,  $C(X)$  will stand for the Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued continuous functions on  $X$ . That

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$  be compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and let  $\diamond : C(X) \times C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$  be a bilinear mapping satisfying*

$$\|f \diamond g\| = \|f\| \|g\|$$

*for every  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ . Then there exist a closed subset  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , a continuous surjective mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X \times Y$ , and a norm-one element  $\alpha \in C(Z)$  satisfying  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  and*

$$(f \diamond g)(z) = \alpha(z) f(\pi_X(\varphi(z))) g(\pi_Y(\varphi(z)))$$

*for every  $(z, f, g) \in Z_0 \times C(X) \times C(Y)$ . Here,  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  denote the natural coordinate projections.*

**PROOF.** Given a compact Hausdorff topological space  $K$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{1}_K$  the constant function equal to 1 on  $K$ , and, for  $k$  in  $K$ , we put

$$S_k := \{f \in C(K) : \|f\| = 1 = |f(k)|\}.$$

Given compact Hausdorff topological spaces  $K$  and  $L$ , an element  $k$  of  $K$ , and a linear isometry  $T : C(K) \rightarrow C(L)$ , we put

$$Q_k^T := \{l \in L : T(S_k) \subseteq S_l\}.$$

We will apply several times the following result, proved by W. Holsztynski [3]:

(\*) *If  $l$  is in  $Q_k^T$ , then we have  $T(f)(l) = T(\mathbf{1}_K)(l) f(k)$  for every  $f \in C(K)$ .*

Now, for  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  we define

$$Q_{x,y} := \{z \in Z : S_x \diamond S_y \subseteq S_z\},$$

and organize the proof in several steps.

*Steep (i).-* *If  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$  are such that  $f(x) = 0$  or  $g(y) = 0$ , then we have  $(f \diamond g)(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in Q_{x,y}$ . Let us fix  $(x, y, g)$  in  $X \times Y \times C(Y)$  with  $g \in S_y$ , consider the linear isometry*

$T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Z)$  defined by  $T(h) := h \diamond g$ , and note that  $Q_{x,y} \subseteq Q_x^T$ . Assume that  $f \in C(X)$  satisfies  $f(x) = 0$ . Then, by (\*), we have

$$(f \diamond g)(z) = (T(f))(z) = 0$$

for every  $z \in Q_x^T$ , and in particular  $(f \diamond g)(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in Q_{x,y}$ . Now, the restriction that  $g$  lies in  $S_Y$  can be removed by keeping in mind that, since  $S_y S_y \subseteq S_y$ , the linear hull of  $S_y$  in  $C(Y)$  is a subalgebra of  $C(Y)$ , which is self-adjoint, contains the constants, and separates the points of  $Y$ , so that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem applies.

*Steep (ii).*- If  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are in  $X \times Y$  with  $(x, y) \neq (x', y')$ , then  $Q_{x,y} \cap Q_{x',y'} = \emptyset$ . Let  $x, x' \in X$  and  $y, y' \in Y$  be such that  $x \neq x'$ , and assume that there exists  $z \in Q_{x,y} \cap Q_{x',y'}$ . Then, taking  $(f, g) \in S_x \times S_y$  with  $f(x') = 0$ , we have  $|(f \diamond g)(z)| = 1$  (by the definition of  $Q_{x,y}$ ) and  $(f \diamond g)(z) = 0$  (by Steep (i)), a contradiction.

*Steep (iii).*- For every  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  we have  $Q_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x, y)$  be in  $X \times Y$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be in  $S_x$  and  $S_y$ , respectively. Putting  $F := \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{f_i(x)} f_i$  and  $G := \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{g_i(y)} g_i$ , we have  $|F(x)| = n = \|F\|$ ,  $|G(y)| = n = \|G\|$ , and hence  $\|F \diamond G\| = n^2$ . Therefore there exists  $z \in Z$  satisfying

$$n^2 = |(F \diamond G)(z)| = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \overline{f_i(x)g_j(y)} (f_i \diamond g_j)(z) \right|.$$

This implies  $|(f_i \diamond g_j)(z)| = 1$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . In this way we have shown that, denoting by  $\mathbb{T}$  the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{K}$ , the family

$$\{(f \diamond g)^{-1}(\mathbb{T}) : (f, g) \in S_x \times S_y\}$$

has the finite intersection property. By the compactness of  $Z$ , we have in fact  $Q_{x,y} = \bigcap_{(f,g) \in S_x \times S_y} (f \diamond g)^{-1}(\mathbb{T}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, we consider the norm-one element  $\alpha$  of  $C(Z)$  defined by

$$\alpha(z) := (\mathbf{1}_X \diamond \mathbf{1}_Y)(z).$$

*Steep (iv).*- For  $(x, y, z)$  in  $X \times Y \times Z$  with  $z \in Q_{x,y}$ , we have

$$(f \diamond g)(z) = \alpha(z)f(x)g(y)$$

for every  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ , and  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$ . Since  $(\mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_Y)$  belongs to  $S_x \times S_y$  whenever  $(x, y)$  is in  $X \times Y$ , it follows from the definitions of  $\alpha$  and  $Q_{x,y}$  that  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  whenever  $z$  is in  $Q_{x,y}$ . Now, let us fix  $(x, y, f, g)$  in  $X \times Y \times C(X) \times C(Y)$  with  $g \in S_y$ , and consider the linear isometries  $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Z)$  and  $R : C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$  defined by  $T(h) := h \diamond g$  and  $R(h) := \mathbf{1}_X \diamond h$ , respectively. Keeping in mind the inclusion  $Q_{x,y} \subseteq Q_x^T \cap Q_y^R$ , and applying (\*), for  $z \in Q_{x,y}$  we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (f \diamond g)(z) &= T(f)(z) = T(\mathbf{1}_X)(z)f(x) = (\mathbf{1}_X \diamond g)(z)f(x) = R(g)(z)f(x) \\ &= R(\mathbf{1}_Y)(z)g(y)f(x) = (\mathbf{1}_X \diamond \mathbf{1}_Y)(z)f(x)g(y) = \alpha(z)f(x)g(y). \end{aligned}$$

The restriction that  $g$  lies in  $S_y$  can be removed by arguing as in the conclusion of the proof of Steep (i).

Now, we define  $Z_0 := \bigcup_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} Q_{x,y}$ . In view of Steep (ii), for every  $z \in Z_0$  there exists a unique  $\varphi(z) \in X \times Y$  such that  $z$  belongs to  $Q_{\pi_X(\varphi(z)), \pi_Y(\varphi(z))}$ . Moreover, by Steep (iii), the mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X \times Y$  defined in this way is surjective. On the other hand, by Steep (iv), the norm-one element  $\alpha \in C(Z)$  satisfies  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  whenever  $z$  lies in  $Z_0$ , and the equality

$$(2.1) \quad (f \diamond g)(z) = \alpha(z)f(\pi_X(\varphi(z)))g(\pi_Y(\varphi(z)))$$

holds for every  $(z, f, g) \in Z_0 \times C(X) \times C(Y)$ . Thus, to conclude the proof of the theorem it is enough to establish the following.

*Steep (v).*-  $Z_0$  is closed in  $Z$ , and the mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X \times Y$  is continuous. Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ , let  $z_0$  be in  $Z \setminus \varphi^{-1}(A)$ , and let  $a = (x, y)$  be in  $A$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{(x,y) \in A} Q_{x,y}$ , there exists  $(f_a, g_a) \in S_x \times S_y$  such that  $\varepsilon_a := \frac{1 - |(f_a \diamond g_a)(z_0)|}{2} > 0$ . Now, we consider the open subset  $U_a$  of  $X \times Y$  given by

$$U_a := \{(x', y') \in X \times Y : |f_a(x')g_a(y')| > 1 - \varepsilon_a\},$$

and the disjoint open subsets  $V_a$  and  $G_a$  of  $Z$  defined by

$$V_a := \{z \in Z : |(f_a \diamond g_a)(z)| > 1 - \varepsilon_a\}$$

and

$$G_a := \{z \in Z : |(f_a \diamond g_a)(z)| < 1 - \varepsilon_a\}.$$

We claim that  $\varphi^{-1}(U_a) \subseteq V_a$ . Indeed, if  $z$  is in  $Z_0$  with  $\varphi(z) = (x', y') \in U_a$ , then, by the sentence containing equality (2.1), and the definition of  $U_a$ , we have

$$|(f_a \diamond g_a)(z)| = |f_a(x')g_a(y')| > 1 - \varepsilon_a,$$

which means that  $z$  lies in  $V_a$ , as claimed. On the other hand, since clearly  $a$  lies in  $U_a$ , we can move  $a$  in  $A$ , and apply the compactness of  $A$  to find  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{a_i}$ . Then, invoking the claim, we derive  $\varphi^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{a_i}$ , and hence

$$(\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{a_i}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(A) \subseteq (\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{a_i}) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{a_i}) = \emptyset.$$

In this way  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{a_i}$  becomes a neighbourhood of  $z_0$  in  $Z$  contained in  $Z \setminus \varphi^{-1}(A)$ . Since  $z_0$  is an arbitrary element of  $Z \setminus \varphi^{-1}(A)$ , we realize that  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  is closed in  $Z$ . Finally, Since  $A$  is an arbitrary closed subset of  $X \times Y$  we obtain that  $Z_0$  is closed in  $Z$  (by noticing that  $Z_0 = \varphi^{-1}(X \times Y)$ ) and that  $\varphi$  is continuous. ■

Taking in Theorem 2.1 the space  $Y$  reduced to a point, we immediately get the following.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** [3] *Let  $X, Z$  be compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and let  $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Z)$  be a linear isometry. Then there exist a closed*

subset  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , a continuous surjective mapping  $\varphi : Z_0 \rightarrow X$ , and a norm-one element  $\alpha \in C(Z)$  satisfying  $|\alpha(z)| = 1$  and

$$T(f)(z) = \alpha(z)f(\varphi(z))$$

for every  $(z, f) \in Z_0 \times C(X)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *For compact Hausdorff topological spaces  $X, Z$ , consider the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from  $Z$  to  $X$ .*
- (2)  *$C(X)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(Z)$ .*
- (3) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from some closed subset of  $Z$  to  $X$ .*

Then (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Moreover, if  $Z$  is metrizable, then (2)  $\iff$  (3).

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).- If there exists a continuous surjective mapping  $\theta : Z \rightarrow X$ , then the mapping  $h \rightarrow h \circ \theta$  from  $C(X)$  to  $C(Z)$  is a linear isometry.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).- By Corollary 2.2.

If  $Z_0$  is any metrizable closed subset of  $Z$ , then  $C(Z_0)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(Z)$  (indeed, by the Borsuk-Kakutani theorem [2, Theorem 1.21], there is in fact a norm-one linear operator  $S : C(Z_0) \rightarrow C(Z)$  satisfying  $S(f)|_{Z_0} = f$  for every  $f \in C(Z_0)$ ). Now, assume that  $Z$  is metrizable, and that there exists a continuous surjective mapping from a closed subset  $Z_0$  of  $Z$  to  $X$ . Then, since  $C(X)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(Z_0)$  (by (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)), it follows that  $C(X)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(Z)$ . ■

**REMARK 2.4.** (a) Even if  $Z$  is metrizable, the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) in Corollary 2.3 above is not reversible. Many counterexamples can be exhibited by keeping in mind the Banach-Mazur theorem that  $C(X)$  is linearly isometric to  $C(Z)$  whenever the compact spaces  $X$  and  $Z$  are metrizable and  $Z$  is uncountable. Thus, putting  $X := \{0, 1\}$  and  $Z := [0, 1]$ , Condition (2) in Corollary 2.3 is fulfilled, whereas clearly Condition (1) does not hold. In this case, an elementary embedding  $C(X) \hookrightarrow C(Z)$  is the one assigning to each function from  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  its unique affine extension to  $[0, 1]$ .

(b) Without the assumption of metrizability of  $Z$ , the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) in Corollary 2.3 is also not reversible. Indeed, taking  $Z := \beta(\mathbb{N})$  (the Stone-Ćech compactification of the integers) and  $X := \beta(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , Condition (3) is fulfilled in an obvious way, but Condition (2) does not hold. Indeed, the norm of  $C(Z)$  is determined by the family of all point evaluations on the set  $\mathbb{N}$ , whereas the norm of  $C(X)$  cannot be determined by any countable subset of the closed unit ball of its dual (see the second paragraph after Proposition II.4.16 of [4]).

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *For compact Hausdorff topological spaces  $X, Y, Z$ , consider the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from  $Z$  to  $X \times Y$ .*
- (2)  *$C(X \times Y)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(Z)$ .*
- (3) *There exists a bilinear mapping  $\diamond : C(X) \times C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$  satisfying  $\|f \diamond g\| = \|f\|\|g\|$  for every  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ .*
- (4) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from some closed subset of  $Z$  to  $X \times Y$ .*

Then (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Moreover, if  $Z$  is metrizable, then in fact (2)  $\iff$  (3)  $\iff$  (4).

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).- By Corollary 2.3.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).- For  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ , define  $f \otimes g \in C(X \times Y)$  by  $(f \otimes g)(x, y) := f(x)g(y)$ . If there exists a linear isometry

$$\phi : C(X \times Y) \rightarrow C(Z),$$

then the mapping  $\diamond : C(X) \times C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$  defined by  $f \diamond g := \phi(f \otimes g)$  is bilinear and satisfies  $\|f \diamond g\| = \|f\|\|g\|$  for every  $(f, g) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).- By Theorem 2.1.

In the case that  $Z$  is metrizable, the implication (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from Corollary 2.3. ■

REMARK 2.6. We note that, when in Corollary 2.5 above we take  $Y$  reduced to a point, then Conditions (2) and (3) assert the same, and Corollary 2.5 becomes Corollary 2.3. Therefore, by Remark 2.4, none of the implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) (even if  $Z$  is metrizable) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) in Corollary 2.5 is reversible.

A more illuminating example that (4) does not imply (3) is the following. Take  $Z := \beta(\mathbb{N}) \times \beta(\mathbb{N})$  and  $X = Y := \beta(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . Then, since  $X \times Y$  is a closed subset of  $Z$ , Condition (4) is fulfilled in an obvious way. However, if Condition (3) were satisfied, then, fixing a norm-one element  $g$  of  $C(Y)$ , the mapping  $f \rightarrow f \diamond g$  from  $C(X)$  to  $C(Z)$  would be a linear isometry. But, since the norm of  $C(Z)$  is determined by the countable family of all point evaluations on the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  (because the inclusion  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \beta(\mathbb{N}) \times \beta(\mathbb{N})$  extends to a continuous surjective mapping from  $\beta(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$  to  $\beta(\mathbb{N}) \times \beta(\mathbb{N})$ ), the existence of such an isometry is impossible (see Remark 2.4.(b)).

Without the assumption of metrizability of  $Z$ , we do not know if the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) in Corollary 2.5 is reversible.

### 3. Absolute-valuable $C(X)$ -spaces

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be **absolute-valuable** if there exists a bilinear mapping  $\diamond : E \times E \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $\|\xi \diamond \chi\| = \|\xi\|\|\chi\|$  for all  $\xi, \chi \in E$ . Let  $X$  be a metrizable compact space. It follows from Corollary 2.5 that  $C(X)$  is absolute-valuable if and only if there exists a continuous surjective mapping from some closed subset of  $X$  to  $X \times X$ . In this section we will prove that in fact the absolute valuableness of  $C(X)$  can be settled in terms of the cardinality of  $X$ . To this end, we need some elementary lemmas of

pure topology. We feel that such lemmas are well-known, but we give their proofs for the sake of completeness. As usual, for every topological space  $X$ , we define the derived set  $X'$  of  $X$  as the set of all accumulation points of  $X$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjective mapping. Assume that  $X$  is compact and that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Then  $Y' \subseteq \varphi(X')$ .*

PROOF. For every point  $z$  in a topological space, we denote by  $\mathcal{V}(z)$  the set of all neighbourhoods of  $z$ . Let  $y$  be in  $Y'$ . Then, since  $\varphi$  is surjective, for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}(y)$  there exists  $x_V \in X$  such that  $\varphi(x_V) \in V \setminus \{y\}$ . Considering in  $\mathcal{V}(y)$  the order given by the inverse inclusion, the compactness of  $X$  provides us with a cluster point  $x \in X$  of the net  $\{x_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}(y)}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous,  $\varphi(x)$  is a cluster point of the net  $\{\varphi(x_V)\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}(y)}$ . Since clearly  $\{\varphi(x_V)\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}(y)}$  converges to  $y$ , and  $Y$  is Hausdorff, it follows that  $\varphi(x) = y$ . Since  $x$  is different from  $x_V$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}(y)$ , and is a cluster point of the net  $\{x_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}(y)}$ , it lies in  $X'$ . ■

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping, and let  $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a decreasing net of closed subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $X$  is compact and that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Then we have  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi(X_\lambda) = \varphi(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $y$  be in  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi(X_\lambda)$ . Then, for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists  $x_\lambda \in X_\lambda$  with  $\varphi(x_\lambda) = y$ . Taking a cluster point  $x$  of the net  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $X$ , and keeping in mind that  $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a decreasing net of closed subsets of  $X$ , we obtain that  $x$  belongs to  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ . Since  $\varphi(x) = y$ , it follows that  $y$  lies in  $\varphi(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda)$ . ■

Given a topological space  $X$  and an ordinal  $\alpha$ , we apply transfinite induction to define the  $\alpha$ -derived set  $X^{(\alpha)}$  of  $X$ . Indeed, we put  $X^{(0)} := X$ ,  $X^{(\alpha+1)} := (X^{(\alpha)})'$ , and  $X^{(\alpha)} := \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)}$  when  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjective mapping, and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Assume that  $X$  is compact and that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Then  $Y^{(\alpha)} \subseteq \varphi(X^{(\alpha)})$ .*

PROOF. We argue by transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ . The case  $\alpha = 0$  is clear.

Assume that the inclusion  $Y^{(\alpha)} \subseteq \varphi(X^{(\alpha)})$  is true for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . Then, putting  $Z := \varphi^{-1}(Y^{(\alpha)}) \cap X^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\psi := \varphi|_Z : Z \rightarrow Y^{(\alpha)}$ , we can apply Lemma 3.1, with  $(Z, Y^{(\alpha)}, \psi)$  instead of  $(X, Y, \varphi)$ , to derive that  $Y^{(\alpha+1)} \subseteq \varphi(Z')$ . Since  $Z \subseteq X^{(\alpha)}$ , we obtain  $Y^{(\alpha+1)} \subseteq \varphi(X^{(\alpha+1)})$ .

Now assume that  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, and that the inclusion  $Y^{(\beta)} \subseteq \varphi(X^{(\beta)})$  holds for every ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ . Applying Lemma 3.2 we have  $Y^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Y^{(\beta)} \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \varphi(X^{(\beta)}) = \varphi(\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)}) = \varphi(X^{(\alpha)})$ . ■

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Then  $X^{(\alpha)} \times Y \subseteq (X \times Y)^{(\alpha)}$ .*

PROOF. Straightforward by transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ . ■

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be scattered if for every nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  we have  $Y \setminus Y' \neq \emptyset$ .

THEOREM 3.5. *For a compact Hausdorff topological space  $X$ , consider the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from  $X$  to  $X \times X$ .*
- (2)  *$C(X \times X)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(X)$ .*
- (3)  *$C(X)$  is absolute-valuable.*
- (4) *There exists a continuous surjective mapping from some closed subset of  $X$  to  $X \times X$ .*
- (5)  *$X$  is either reduced to a point or non scattered.*
- (6)  *$X$  is either reduced to a point or uncountable.*

*Then (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Moreover, if  $X$  is metrizable, then (2)  $\iff$  (3)  $\iff$  (4)  $\iff$  (5)  $\iff$  (6).*

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).- By Corollary 2.5.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5).- Assume that  $X$  is scattered, and that there exist a closed subset  $X_0$  of  $X$  and a continuous surjective mapping  $\varphi : X_0 \rightarrow X \times X$ . Since  $X$  is scattered and compact, there is an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $X^{(\alpha)}$  is finite and nonempty (see for example [5, 8.6.8]). Denote by  $n$  and  $m$  the cardinal numbers of  $X^{(\alpha)}$  and  $X$ , respectively. Since  $X^{(\alpha)} \times X \subseteq \varphi(X_0^{(\alpha)})$  (by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4), we have  $nm \leq n$ . This implies  $m = 1$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6).- Since countable compact Hausdorff spaces are scattered.

If  $X$  is uncountable and metrizable, then, by the Banach-Mazur theorem (see Remark 2.4.(a)),  $C(X \times X)$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of  $C(X)$ . ■

REMARK 3.6. Even if  $X$  is metrizable, the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) in Theorem 3.5 is not reversible. Indeed, taking  $X = [0, 1] \cup \{2\}$ , Condition (2) is satisfied (by the Banach-Mazur theorem), whereas a connectedness argument shows that Condition (1) is not fulfilled.

Without the assumption of metrizability of  $X$ , the implication (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) is also not reversible. Indeed, if  $X$  denotes the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space, then  $X$  is scattered.

Without the assumption of metrizability of  $X$ , we do not know about the reversibility of any of the implications (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5).

Given an infinite set  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $c(\Gamma)$  the vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  of all functions from  $\Gamma$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  having a limit along the filter of all co-finite subsets of  $\Gamma$ , endowed with the sup norm. Since  $c(\Gamma)$  is linearly isometric to the space of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued continuous functions on the scattered compact



Hausdorff topological space consisting of the one-point compactification of the discrete space  $\Gamma$ , we derive from Theorem 3.5 the following.

**COROLLARY 3.7.** [1] *Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite set. Then  $c(\Gamma)$  is not absolute-valuable.*

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are specially grateful to Y. Benyamini for deep suggestions allowing them to improve in an essential way an early version of the paper. They also thank J. Becerra and J. F. Mena for fruitful remarks.

### References

- [1] J. BECERRA, A. MORENO, and A. RODRÍGUEZ, Absolute-valuable Banach spaces, to appear.
- [2] Y. BENYAMINI and J. LINDENSTRAUSS, *Geometric nonlinear functional Analysis, Volume 1*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications **48**, 2000.
- [3] W. HOLSZTYNSKI, Continuous mappings induced by isometries of spaces of continuous functions, *Studia Math.* **26** (1966), 133-136.
- [4] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **338**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1973.
- [5] Z. SEMADENI, *Banach spaces of continuous functions, Volume I*, Monografie Matematyczne **55**, PWN, Warszawa 1971.

UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS  
MATEMÁTICO, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN)  
*E-mail address:* `agalindo@ugr.es`

UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS  
MATEMÁTICO, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN)  
*E-mail address:* `apalacio@ugr.es`