

**Bounds to the central electron-pair density with applications to two-electron atoms**

J. S. Dehesa\* and J. C. Angulo\*

*Departamento de Física Moderna, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain*

Toshikatsu Koga† and Kazunori Matsui†

*Department of Applied Chemistry, Muroran Institute of Technology, Muroran, Hokkaido 050, Japan*

(Received 30 July 1992)

The local electron-electron quantity  $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{12}) \rangle$  of atomic systems, which plays an important role in the realization of the so-called correlation cusp condition, as well as in the description of the relativistic and radiative corrections to the ground-state energy, is investigated by means of the interelectronic radial expectation values  $\langle r_{12}^\alpha \rangle$ ,  $\alpha > -3$ . Starting from the unimodal character of the spherically averaged electron-pair density  $h(r_{12})$  and the recently found inequality  $h(r_{12}) > h'(r_{12})$ , upper  $U_k$  and lower  $L_k$  bounds,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , to the electron-pair density at the origin or central electron-pair density  $h(0)$ , which is equal to the quantity  $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{12}) \rangle$ , are found analytically. For the two-electron atoms with  $Z = 1, 2, 3, 5$ , and  $10$ , the quality of these bounds is analyzed by means of the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions recently obtained by two of us [T. Koga and K. Matsui, *Z. Phys. D* (to be published)]. It is shown that the accuracy of both types of bounds increases with  $k$  for fixed  $Z$ , being greater for the lower bounds than for the upper bounds when  $k$  is small. Both bounds are of similar quality for big values of  $k$ . Moreover, any desired accuracy may be reached by increasing the  $k$  value.

PACS number(s): 31.10.+z, 31.20.Tz, 71.10.+x

Here we consider the electron-electron property  $\langle \delta(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{r}_{12}$  being the interelectronic vector, of atomic and molecular systems. This quantity appears in the calculation of the relativistic [1] and radiative [2] corrections to the ground-state energy of atoms and ions as well as in the realization of the electron-electron or correlation cusp condition [3]. Moreover, (i) it is a very sensitive probe of the behavior of the wave function at small values of  $r_{12}$ , and (ii) it is an important local property of the electron-pair density  $I(\mathbf{u})$ ; namely, the value  $h(0)$  at the origin of the spherically averaged electron-pair density  $h(u)$  given by

$$h(u) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int I(\mathbf{u}) d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}} \tag{1}$$

Indeed, one has [3]

$$\langle \delta(\mathbf{u}) \rangle \equiv \int I(\mathbf{u}) \delta(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = h(0),$$

where we have used the formulas

$$\delta(\mathbf{u}) = (2\pi)^{-1} u^{-2} \delta(u), \quad \int_0^\infty \delta(u) h(u) du = \frac{1}{2} h(0)$$

as well as Eq. (1). Then,  $\langle \delta(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$  is a measure of probability of electron-electron coalescence. Our purpose is to study this property by means of the so-called interelectronic moments or radial expectation values  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$  defined as

$$\langle u^\alpha \rangle \equiv \int u^\alpha I(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha+2} h(u) du \equiv 4\pi \mu_{\alpha+2},$$

$$\alpha > -3,$$

where  $\{\mu_k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  denote the moments about the origin of the one-dimensional probability density  $h(u)$ . In doing so, we continue the research of correlation properties of atomic systems using the interelectronic mo-

ments  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$  which we have recently initiated [4,5]. Although all the observations in this paper are related to the He-like systems, the method used and the results found in it may be extended to any other atomic and molecular system in a straightforward manner. We have found analytically upper and lower bounds to  $h(0)$  in terms of the moments  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$  by making use of one of the following properties of  $h(u)$ : unimodality and the inequality  $h(u) > h'(u)$  valid for any  $u \geq 0$ . Moreover, the accuracy of the resulting bounds is analyzed by means of the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions of Koga and Matsui [6].

To obtain lower bounds to  $h(0)$  we use the non-negativity of the function  $g_0(u) = h(u) - h'(u)$ , which extends the known correlation cusp condition [3] to any  $u$  value as recently shown by the authors [5]. Stieltjes's theorem [7,8] applied to the density function  $g_0(u)$  leads to the following determinantal inequalities:

$$\Delta_k = \begin{vmatrix} \nu_0 & \nu_1 & \cdots & \nu_k \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \cdots & \nu_{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \nu_k & \nu_{k+1} & \cdots & \nu_{2k} \end{vmatrix} > 0 \tag{2}$$

for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The symbol  $\nu_\alpha$  denotes the moment around the origin of order  $\alpha$  of the function  $g_0(u)$ , whose value is

$$\nu_\alpha = \int_0^\infty u^\alpha g_0(u) du = h(0) \delta_{\alpha 0} + \mu_\alpha + \alpha \mu_{\alpha-1}$$

$$= h(0) \delta_{\alpha 0} + \frac{1}{4\pi} [\langle u^{\alpha-2} \rangle + \alpha \langle u^{\alpha-3} \rangle]$$

for any  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Remark that

$$\nu_0 = h(0) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \langle u^{-2} \rangle, \quad \nu_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} [\langle u^{-1} \rangle + \langle u^{-2} \rangle], \dots$$

TABLE I. Comparison, where it is possible, between the values of various ground-state quantities of the He-like atoms with  $Z = 1, 2, 3, 5,$  and  $10$  calculated by means of the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions and the near-exact ones. The symbols  $E, h(0), h_{\max}, u_{\max},$  and  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$  denote the total energy, the electron-pair density at the origin, the intensity, and the location of the maximum of the electron-pair density and the  $\alpha$ th-order interelectronic moment. Atomic units are used throughout.

| Quantity                   | 1            |            | 2         |            | 3          |            | 5           |            | 10           |             |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
|                            | Hylleraas    | Near exact | Hylleraas | Near exact | Hylleraas  | Near exact | Hylleraas   | Near exact | Hylleraas    | Near exact  |
| $-E$                       | 0.527 742    | 0.527 751  | 2.903 722 | 2.903 724  | 7.279 910  | 7.279 913  | 22.030 966  | 22.030 970 | 93.906 798   | 93.906 802  |
| $h(0)$                     | 0.002 82245  | 0.002 740  | 0.106 852 | 0.106 352  | 0.535 251  | 0.533 808  | 3.316 17    | 3.314 78   | 32.661 9     | 32.643 2    |
| $h_{\max}$                 | 0.0004 03015 |            | 0.116 518 |            | 0.556 017  |            | 3.362 56    |            | 32.768 6     |             |
| $u_{\max}$                 | 0.926 347    |            | 0.191 818 |            | 0.081 9881 |            | 0.029 098 9 |            | 0.007 021 38 |             |
| $\langle u^{-5/2} \rangle$ | 0.159 814    |            | 2.786 10  |            | 10.223 3   |            | 45.399 2    |            | 299.350      |             |
| $\langle u^{-2} \rangle$   | 0.155 344    | 0.155 108  | 1.465 10  | 1.464 77   | 4.082 91   | 4.082 25   | 13.308 0    | 13.307 5   | 59.697 5     | 59.694 6    |
| $\langle u^{-3/2} \rangle$ | 0.203 191    |            | 1.080 24  |            | 2.314 88   |            | 5.587 58    |            | 17.160 3     |             |
| $\langle u^{-1} \rangle$   | 0.311 136    | 0.311 022  | 0.945 819 | 0.945 818  | 1.567 72   | 1.567 72   | 2.814 71    | 2.814 70   | 5.937 25     | 5.937 24    |
| $\langle u^{-1/2} \rangle$ | 0.533 101    |            | 0.930 219 |            | 1.196 46   |            | 1.601 89    |            | 2.325 14     |             |
| $\langle u^0 \rangle$      | 1            | 1          | 1         | 1          | 1          | 1          | 1           | 1          | 1            | 1           |
| $\langle u^{1/2} \rangle$  | 2.027 57     |            | 1.156 14  |            | 0.899 952  |            | 0.627 2 893 |            | 0.463 964    |             |
| $\langle u \rangle$        | 4.406 54     | 4.412 69   | 1.422 08  | 1.422 07   | 0.862 320  | 0.862 315  | 0.482 435   | 0.482 436  | 0.229 492    | 0.229 492   |
| $\langle u^{3/2} \rangle$  | 10.203 9     |            | 1.846 58  |            | 0.872 666  |            | 0.365 498   |            | 0.120 002    |             |
| $\langle u^2 \rangle$      | 25.059 5     | 25.202 0   | 2.516 49  | 2.516 44   | 0.927 083  | 0.927 064  | 0.290 790   | 0.290 791  | 0.065 917 3  | 0.065 917 4 |
| $\langle u^{5/2} \rangle$  | 65.020 7     |            | 3.582 63  |            | 1.028 99   |            | 0.241 769   |            | 0.037 848 2  |             |
| $\langle u^3 \rangle$      | 177.627      | 180.601    | 5.308 40  | 5.308 00   | 1.188 64   | 1.188 56   | 0.290 239   | 0.209 243  | 0.022 625 3  | 0.022 625 4 |
| $\langle u^{7/2} \rangle$  | 509.244      |            | 8.160 95  |            | 1.424 49   |            | 0.187 886   |            | 0.014 035 2  |             |
| $\langle u^4 \rangle$      | 1527.21      | 1590.0     | 12.983 9  | 12.981 2   | 1.766 33   | 1.766 0    | 0.174 568   | 0.174 58   | 0.009 009 84 | 0.009 010 0 |

TABLE II. Values of the central electron-pair density  $h(0)$  and the three first lower bounds  $L_k$ ,  $k=1, 2$ , and  $3$ , calculated with the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions in the heliumlike systems characterized by  $Z=1, 2, 3, 5$ , and  $10$ . Atomic units are used throughout.

| $Z$ | $L_1$      | $L_2$       | $L_3$     | $h(0)$    |
|-----|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1   | -0.001 688 | 0.000 622 3 | 0.001 513 | 0.002 822 |
| 2   | 0.043 37   | 0.078 27    | 0.090 89  | 0.106 9   |
| 3   | 0.289 5    | 0.424 4     | 0.473 5   | 0.535 3   |
| 5   | 2.061      | 2.750       | 3.001     | 3.316     |
| 10  | 21.88      | 27.78       | 29.93     | 32.66     |

From the inequality (2) one has that

$$\Delta_k = \sum_{j=0}^k v_j A_j = v_0 A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k v_j A_j > 0,$$

where  $A_j$  is the cofactor of  $v_j$ , i.e.,  $(-1)^j$  times the determinant resulting from the elimination of the first row and  $(j+1)$ th column of  $\Delta_k$ . From this equation one easily obtains that

$$h(0) > L_k \equiv - \left[ \mu_0 + A_0^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k v_j A_j \right], \quad k=1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

which produces an infinite set of lower bounds  $L_k$  to  $h(0)$ . The bound  $L_0 = -\mu_0 = -\langle u^{-2} \rangle / 4\pi$  is useless since  $\langle u^{-2} \rangle$  is always positive, but

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle u^{-1} \rangle^2 + \langle u^{-2} \rangle (\langle u^{-2} \rangle - \langle u^0 \rangle)}{\langle u^0 \rangle + 2\langle u^{-1} \rangle}$$

and so on provide us with useful bounds as we will see later. One should keep in mind that  $\langle u^0 \rangle = 1$  for two-electron atoms.

Secondly, to obtain upper bounds to  $h(0)$  we use the known unimodal character [3,9] of  $h(u)$ . Then, by construction the function

$$\phi(u) \equiv (u_{\max} - u)h'(u)$$

is always non-negative. Its moments around the origin are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j &= \int_0^\infty u^j \phi(u) du \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu_0 - u_{\max} h(0) & \text{if } j=0 \\ (j+1)\mu_j - u_{\max} j\mu_{j-1}, & \text{if } j>0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $u_{\max}$  denotes the location of the maximum of  $h(u)$ .

Then, Stieltjes's theorem applied to the density function  $\phi(u)$  produces an infinite set of determinantal inequalities for the moments  $\xi_j$  similar to that given by (2). These new inequalities together with Eq. (3) lead to the new upper bounds  $U_k$  as

$$h(0) < U_k \equiv \frac{1}{u_{\max}} \left[ \frac{1}{4\pi} \langle u^{-2} \rangle + \frac{1}{B_0} \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j B_j \right]$$

for any  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$  and where  $\{B_j, j=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  have a meaning analogous to  $\{A_j\}$ . For illustration, let us point out that the explicit expression of the lowest-order upper bound is

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle u^{-2} \rangle}{u_{\max}}.$$

Finally, for the sake of completeness, we study numerically the quality of the bounds  $L_k$  and  $U_k$  found here. To do that we use the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions recently described in [9]. They are very appropriate for this purpose as it is shown in Table I where the values of various ground-state properties obtained with these wave functions are compared, where it is possible, with the near-exact ones [10] in the two-electron atoms with  $Z=1, 2, 3, 5$ , and  $10$ . The properties considered are the total energy  $E$ , the central electron-pair density  $h(0)$ , various interelectronic moments  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$ , and the intensity  $h_{\max}$  and location  $u_{\max}$  of the maximum of the electron-pair density. One observes that (i) the optimum Hylleraas-type 20-term wave functions are of sufficient accuracy except possibly for the  $Z=1$  case, and (ii) there is not yet enough information available for  $h(0)$  and  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$  at the near-exact level.

In Table II the quality of the lower bounds  $L_k$ ,  $k=1, 2$ , and  $3$ , is discussed for various two-electron atoms with  $Z=1, 2, 3, 5$ , and  $10$ . One notices that it increases with  $Z$  for fixed  $k$  and with  $k$  for fixed  $Z$ . Going He through  $\text{Ne}^{8+}$  one has that the accuracy of the bounds goes (i) from 41% up to 67% in the  $L_1$  case, (ii) from 73% up to 85% in the  $L_2$  case, and (iii) from 85% up to 92% in the  $L_3$  case.

Similarly, the quality of the upper bounds  $U_k$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2$ , and  $3$ , is illustrated in Table III for the same aforementioned heliumlike ions. It is apparent that it decreases with  $Z$  for fixed  $k$ , contrary to what happens for the lower bounds, and it increases with  $k$  for fixed  $Z$  faster than for the lower bounds. In the He case, for example, the bound  $U_3$  is 1.14 times the Hylleraas  $h(0)$  value. In fact, for both lower and upper bounds one can get any

TABLE III. Values of the central electron-pair density  $h(0)$  and the four first upper bounds  $U_k$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2$ , and  $3$ , calculated with the optimum 20-term Hylleraas-type wave functions in the heliumlike systems characterized by  $Z=1, 2, 3, 5$ , and  $10$ . Atomic units are used throughout.

| $Z$ | $U_0$    | $U_1$     | $U_2$     | $U_3$     | $h(0)$    |
|-----|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1   | 0.013 44 | 0.005 233 | 0.004 008 | 0.003 643 | 0.002 822 |
| 2   | 0.607 8  | 0.199 7   | 0.137 8   | 0.121 6   | 0.106 9   |
| 3   | 3.963    | 1.187     | 0.745 2   | 0.625 2   | 0.535 3   |
| 5   | 36.39    | 9.896     | 5.486     | 4.236     | 3.316     |
| 10  | 676.6    | 166.7     | 78.71     | 52.86     | 32.66     |

desired accuracy by means of the inclusion of a high enough number of interelectronic moments, i.e., by taking into account a high enough value of  $k$  in the corresponding  $L_k$  and  $U_k$  expressions.

In summary, we have derived lower  $L_k$  and upper  $U_k$  bounds to the interelectronic quantity  $h(0) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$  in terms of the moments  $\langle u^\alpha \rangle$ . Satisfactory accuracy of these bounds has been shown for several He-like atoms.

---

\*FAX: 34-58-274258

†FAX: 81-143-47-3127

[1] H. A. Bethe and E. Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms* (Springer, Berlin, 1957).

[2] P. K. Kabir and E. Salpeter, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1256 (1957).

[3] A. J. Thakkar and V. H. Smith, Jr., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **42**, 476 (1976).

[4] J. S. Dehesa, J. C. Angulo, and T. Koga, *Z. Phys. D* **25**, 3 (1992).

[5] J. S. Dehesa, J. C. Angulo, T. Koga, and K. Matsui, *Z.*

*Phys. D* **25**, 9 (1992).

[6] T. Koga and K. Matsui, *Z. Phys. D* (to be published).

[7] J. Shohat and A. J. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1943).

[8] J. C. Angulo, J. S. Dehesa, and F. J. Gálvez, *Z. Phys. D* **18**, 127 (1991).

[9] A. J. Thakkar and V. H. Smith, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **67**, 1191 (1977).

[10] A. J. Thakkar and V. H. Smith, Jr., *Phys. Rev. A* **15**, 1 (1977).