

## Atomic systems with a completely monotonic electron density

J. C. Angulo and J. S. Dehesa

*Departamento de Física Moderna, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain*

(Received 7 December 1990; revised manuscript received 9 April 1991)

For a many-particle system with a spherically averaged single-particle density  $\rho(r)$  of a  $k$ th-order monotonic nature (i.e., a function whose successive derivatives up to that of order  $k$  alternate in sign), rigorous inequalities that involve the central values of  $\rho(r)$  and its derivatives as well as the radial expectation values are derived. These inequalities become optimal in the completely monotonic case, that is for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, it is argued that for atomic systems the electron density is completely monotone to quite a good approximation except in hydrogen, where it is rigorous. In this approximation, the corresponding atomic inequalities produce the following: (i) the famous tight upper bound to the electron density at the nucleus  $\rho(0) \leq (Z/2\pi)\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  obtained by Hoffmann-Ostenhof, Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and Thirring [J. Phys. B **11**, L571 (1978)] by assuming an infinite nuclear mass, (ii) the lower bound  $\rho(0) \geq \langle r^{-2} \rangle^2 / (4\pi \langle r^{-1} \rangle)$ , (iii) lower bounds to the values of any  $k$ th-order derivative of the electron density at the nucleus, and (iv) some inequalities involving two and/or three radial expectation values. These bounds and/or inequalities improve all the corresponding ones known at present. Finally, for completeness, the accuracy of these atomic bounds and/or inequalities are analyzed in the framework of the Hartree-Fock approximation.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The single-particle density  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  is the fundamental quantity of the density-functional theory of many-body systems [1–5]. However, rigorous information about this quantity is very scarce, almost unexisting. Even for the ground state of electronic systems, which is the best studied case, the only known rigorous property of the electron density is its behavior near the origin [6] and at large distances [7]. Indeed, still today there is no rigorous proof of any structural properties (e.g., monotonically decreasing, convexity) of the ground-state electron density, although some numerical hints are known [8–11]. This situation is especially striking since the density-functional theory is presently one of the fundamental theories of matter that has been used to explain successfully numerous ground-state properties of fermionic systems (atoms, molecules, solids, nuclei) [1–5,12,13] and is being extended to excited states [14–17].

Here we will first describe some rigorous conditions that the spherically averaged single-particle density  $\rho(r)$ ,  $0 \leq r < \infty$ , defined by

$$\rho(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\Omega,$$

must necessarily fulfill to be a monotone function of  $k$ th order, that is, a function whose successive derivatives up to that of order  $k$  alternate in sign, i.e.,

$$(-1)^n \rho^{(n)}(r) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

For  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , one says that  $\rho(r)$  is completely monotonic in agreement with the same definition in the mathematical literature [18,19]. Notice that for  $k = 0, 1$ , and  $2$  this property indicates that the density function is non-negative definite, monotonically decreasing, and convex,

respectively. Let us also say that  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ , as well as  $\rho(r)$ , is normalized to the number of particles  $N$  of the system.

This paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II we explain how the above-mentioned conditions will be given and we state the “Stieltjes-moment-problem technique,” which is the mathematical basis of our approach. This technique is applied in Sec. III to any density function  $\rho(r)$  with a monotonicity of  $k$ th degree, from zero through infinite. Therein, specific inequalities among radial expectation values and/or central values of  $\rho(r)$  and its derivatives are given in a simple, rigorous, and compact way, starting (i) only from the positivity property or monotonicity of zero degree of  $\rho(r)$ , (ii) from the monotonically decreasing behavior or monotonicity of first degree, (iii) from the convexity property or monotonicity of second degree, and so on. All these inequalities apply to any physical system provided that the corresponding monotonicity condition is satisfied. Particularly remarkable are those inequalities corresponding to the completely monotonic case, i.e., for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

In Sec. IV, the latter inequalities are applied to atomic systems and their accuracy is analyzed in a Hartree-Fock framework. We found that, although complete monotonicity is not a rigorous property for ground-state neutral atoms, it is however a reasonably good approximation, except for hydrogen, where it is rigorous. Then, numerous and interesting atomic relationships are encountered within such an approximation. Finally, some concluding remarks are given.

### II. METHOD

The above-mentioned conditions will be given by means of the radial expectation values

$$\langle r^\alpha \rangle = \int r^\alpha \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$

and to find them we will apply the so-called ‘‘Stieltjes moment problem’’ technique [20] to the function

$$f_m^{(k)}(r) = (-1)^k \rho^{(k)}(r) r^m, \tag{1}$$

where  $m$  is any non-negative integer. A straightforward

calculation shows that the moments of this function, defined by

$$v_\alpha(k, m) = \int_0^\infty r^\alpha f_m^{(k)}(r) dr$$

have the values

$$v_\alpha(k, m) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-\alpha-m-1} \rho^{(k-\alpha-m-1)}(0) (\alpha+m)! & \text{if } \alpha = -m, -m+1, \dots, k-m-1. \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m+1)}{4\pi\Gamma(\alpha+m-k+1)} \langle r^{\alpha+m-k-2} \rangle & \text{if } \alpha > k-m-1. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

A Stieltjes theorem [20] states that, since  $f_m^{(k)}(r) \geq 0$ , the quantities  $\{v_\alpha(k, m), \alpha=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  must necessarily fulfill the following two types of inequalities:

$$\Delta_j^{(i)} \geq 0 \text{ for } i=0, 1 \text{ and } j=0, 1, \dots, \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta_j^{(i)}$  denotes

$$\Delta_j^{(i)} = \begin{vmatrix} v_i & v_{i+1} & \dots & v_{i+j} \\ v_{i+1} & v_{i+2} & \dots & v_{i+j+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ v_{i+j} & v_{i+j+1} & \dots & v_{i+2j} \end{vmatrix},$$

which is the so-called Hadamard determinant associated to the moments given by Eq. (2).

### III. GENERAL RESULTS

Here the Stieltjes theorem shown in the preceding section is applied to the function  $f_m^{(k)}(r)$  for various choices of the parameter  $m$ . This application produces several rigorous inequalities to be fulfilled by a  $k$ th-order monotone function, which involve radial expectation values and/or the central values of the function and its derivatives. We will only shown those inequalities coming from the non-negativity of the Hadamard determinants  $\Delta_1^{(0)}$  and/or  $\Delta_1^{(1)}$  because they are nontrivial, physically interesting, and specially simple.

*First:*  $m = k - 1$ . The single-particle density at the origin is bounded from below as

$$\rho(0) \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\langle r^{-2} \rangle^2}{\langle r^{-1} \rangle}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4}$$

This inequality reduces to the known lower bounds [11,21,22] to the central single-particle density  $\rho(0)$  for the cases  $k=1$  (monotonically decreasing density) and  $k=2$  (convex density). Even more, such lower bounds get naturally improved for higher values of  $k$  in (4).

*Second:*  $m = k - 2$ . The value of the first derivative of the single-particle density at the origin satisfies the inequality

$$-\rho'(0) \geq 4\pi \frac{k-1}{k} \frac{[\rho(0)]^2}{\langle r^{-2} \rangle}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \tag{5}$$

In particular, for  $k = 2$  one has that

$$-\rho'(0) \geq 2\pi \frac{[\rho(0)]^2}{\langle r^{-2} \rangle} \tag{6}$$

for a convex single-particle density, as recently shown by Ref. [11].

*Third:*  $m = k - n - 1, n \geq 2$ . The central value of the  $n$ th derivative of the single-article density is bounded from below by means of the corresponding values of the derivatives of the two previous orders as

$$\rho^{(n-2)}(0) \rho^{(n)}(0) \geq \frac{k-n}{k-(n-1)} [\rho^{(n-1)}(0)]^2, \tag{7}$$

$n = 2, 3, \dots, k = n+1, n+2, \dots$

It is worthwhile to remark that the combination of inequalities (4), (5), and (7) allows one to find rigorous bounds to any central derivative  $\rho^{(n)}(0)$  in terms of the radial expectation values  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  and  $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ .

*Fourth:*  $m = k + n$  and  $n > -1$ . The radial expectation values  $\langle r^\alpha \rangle$  with  $\alpha = n, n - 1$ , and  $n - 2$  fulfill

$$\langle r^n \rangle \langle r^{n-2} \rangle \geq \frac{(k+n+1)(n+2)}{(k+n+2)(n+1)} \langle r^{n-1} \rangle^2 \tag{8}$$

with  $n > -1, k = 0, 1, \dots$

These inequalities considerably generalize and improve all the corresponding ones known up to now [23–27]. Let us show some particular cases.

(i)  $k = 0$ . Then, any single-particle density, because of its positive definiteness, satisfies

$$\langle r^n \rangle \langle r^{n-2} \rangle \geq \langle r^{n-1} \rangle^2. \tag{9}$$

(ii)  $k = 1$ . A monotonically decreasing single-particle density, that is, a density-function unimodal with mode at the origin, satisfies not only (9) but also

$$\langle r^n \rangle \langle r^{n-2} \rangle \geq \frac{(n+2)^2}{(n+1)(n+3)} \langle r^{n-1} \rangle^2. \tag{10}$$

(iii)  $k = 2$ . A single-particle density with the convexity property satisfies

$$\langle r^n \rangle \langle r^{n-2} \rangle \geq \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{(n+1)(n+4)} \langle r^{n-1} \rangle^2, \tag{11}$$

which is better than the inequalities (9) and (10).

(iv)  $n = 0$ . Then, a  $k$ th-order monotonic density fulfills

TABLE I. Comparison of the upper bound (UB),  $U = (Z/2\pi)\langle r^{-2} \rangle$ , and the lower bound (LB),  $L = (1/4\pi)\langle r^{-2} \rangle^2 / \langle r^{-1} \rangle$ , of Hoffmann-Ostenhof *et al.* with the value of  $\rho(0)$  for all neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$  in the Hartree-Fock approximation. Ratios between bounds and  $\rho(0)$  are given in percent. Numbers in parentheses denote the corresponding power of 10, i.e., 3.1831(-1) means  $3.1831 \times 10^{-1}$ . Atomic units are used throughout.

$Z$	$\langle r^{-2} \rangle$	$\langle r^{-1} \rangle$	$\rho(0)$	LB	UB	LB(%)	UB(%)
1	2.0000	1.0000	3.1831(-1)	3.1831(-1)	3.1831(-1)	100.0	100.0
2	1.1992(+1)	3.3747	3.5973	3.3911	3.8172	94.3	94.2
3	3.0217(+1)	5.7156	1.3834(+1)	1.2713(+1)	1.4428(+1)	91.9	95.9
4	5.7624(+1)	8.4087	3.5428(+1)	3.1424(+1)	3.6685(+1)	88.7	96.6
5	9.3663(+1)	1.1379(+1)	7.1985(+1)	6.1351(+1)	7.4535(+1)	85.2	96.6
6	1.3877(+2)	1.4689(+1)	1.2756(+2)	1.0433(+2)	1.3252(+2)	81.8	96.3
7	1.9322(+2)	1.8336(+1)	2.0613(+2)	1.6203(+2)	2.1526(+2)	78.6	95.8
8	2.5726(+2)	2.2260(+1)	3.1197(+2)	2.3660(+2)	3.2755(+2)	75.8	95.2
9	3.3107(+2)	2.6519(+1)	4.4871(+2)	3.2891(+2)	4.7422(+2)	73.3	94.6
10	4.1490(+2)	3.1113(+1)	6.2015(+2)	4.4029(+2)	6.6033(+2)	71.0	93.9
11	5.0949(+2)	3.5430(+1)	8.3383(+2)	5.8303(+2)	8.9197(+2)	69.9	93.5
12	6.1482(+2)	3.9920(+1)	1.0937(+3)	7.5352(+2)	1.1742(+3)	68.9	93.1
13	7.3035(+2)	4.4500(+1)	1.4029(+3)	9.5388(+2)	1.5111(+3)	68.0	92.8
14	8.5623(+2)	4.9243(+1)	1.7657(+3)	1.1847(+3)	1.9078(+3)	67.1	92.6
15	9.9256(+2)	5.4148(+1)	2.1863(+3)	1.4478(+3)	2.3696(+3)	66.2	92.3
16	1.1409(+3)	5.9497(+1)	2.6701(+3)	1.7410(+3)	2.9053(+3)	65.2	91.9
17	1.2967(+3)	6.4372(+1)	3.2180(+3)	2.0786(+3)	3.5084(+3)	64.6	91.7
18	1.4650(+3)	6.9725(+1)	3.8402(+3)	2.4495(+3)	4.1969(+3)	63.8	91.5
19	1.6442(+3)	7.4894(+1)	4.5385(+3)	2.8724(+3)	4.9720(+3)	63.3	91.3
20	1.8344(+3)	8.0159(+1)	5.3199(+3)	3.3406(+3)	5.8391(+3)	62.8	91.1
21	2.0343(+3)	8.5709(+1)	6.1836(+3)	3.8423(+3)	6.7991(+3)	62.1	90.9
22	2.2446(+3)	9.1420(+1)	7.1339(+3)	4.3856(+3)	7.8593(+3)	61.5	90.8
23	2.4655(+3)	9.7273(+1)	8.1783(+3)	4.9729(+3)	9.0251(+3)	60.8	90.6
24	2.6960(+3)	1.0346(+2)	9.3152(+3)	5.5906(+3)	1.0298(+4)	60.0	90.5
25	2.9391(+3)	1.0941(+2)	1.0560(+4)	6.2829(+3)	1.1694(+4)	59.5	90.3
26	3.1922(+3)	1.1565(+2)	1.1912(+4)	7.0117(+3)	1.3209(+4)	58.9	90.2
27	3.4560(+3)	1.2205(+2)	1.3371(+4)	7.7875(+3)	1.4851(+4)	58.2	90.0
28	3.7305(+3)	1.2858(+2)	1.4943(+4)	8.6129(+3)	1.6624(+4)	57.6	89.9
29	4.0144(+3)	1.3548(+2)	1.6627(+4)	9.4658(+3)	1.8528(+4)	56.9	89.7
30	4.3120(+3)	1.4206(+2)	1.8449(+4)	1.0415(+4)	2.0588(+4)	56.5	89.6
31	4.6200(+3)	1.4865(+2)	2.0388(+4)	1.1426(+4)	2.2794(+4)	56.0	89.4
32	4.9396(+3)	1.5533(+2)	2.2470(+4)	1.2500(+4)	2.5157(+4)	55.6	89.3
33	5.2704(+3)	1.6209(+2)	2.4690(+4)	1.3637(+4)	2.7681(+4)	55.2	89.2
34	5.6128(+3)	1.6892(+2)	2.7061(+4)	1.4841(+4)	3.0372(+4)	54.8	89.1
35	5.9662(+3)	1.7584(+2)	2.9572(+4)	1.6109(+4)	3.3234(+4)	54.5	89.0
36	6.3305(+3)	1.8285(+2)	3.2228(+4)	1.7441(+4)	3.6271(+4)	54.1	88.9
37	6.7057(+3)	1.8967(+2)	3.5024(+4)	1.8866(+4)	3.9488(+4)	53.9	88.7
38	7.0936(+3)	1.9657(+2)	3.8009(+4)	2.0371(+4)	4.2901(+4)	53.6	88.6
39	7.4917(+3)	2.0362(+2)	4.1153(+4)	2.1935(+4)	4.6501(+4)	53.3	88.5
40	7.9002(+3)	2.1090(+2)	4.4462(+4)	2.3550(+4)	5.0294(+4)	53.0	88.4
41	8.3206(+3)	2.1817(+2)	4.7949(+4)	2.5253(+4)	5.4295(+4)	52.7	88.3
42	8.7521(+3)	2.2552(+2)	5.1613(+4)	2.7029(+4)	5.8503(+4)	52.4	88.2
43	9.1956(+3)	2.3281(+2)	5.5466(+4)	2.8903(+4)	6.2932(+4)	52.1	88.1
44	9.6488(+3)	2.4046(+2)	5.9491(+4)	3.0810(+4)	6.7569(+4)	51.8	88.0
45	1.0114(+4)	2.4805(+2)	6.3716(+4)	3.2817(+4)	7.2436(+4)	51.5	88.0
46	1.0589(+4)	2.5590(+2)	6.8129(+4)	3.4868(+4)	7.7523(+4)	51.2	87.9
47	1.1078(+4)	2.6350(+2)	7.2755(+4)	3.7062(+4)	8.2867(+4)	50.9	87.8
48	1.1579(+4)	2.7111(+2)	7.7609(+4)	3.9354(+4)	8.8457(+4)	50.7	87.7
49	1.2090(+4)	2.7880(+2)	8.2644(+4)	4.1721(+4)	9.4285(+4)	50.5	87.7
50	1.2612(+4)	2.8651(+2)	8.7899(+4)	4.4179(+4)	1.0036(+5)	50.3	87.6
51	1.3146(+4)	2.9427(+2)	9.3371(+4)	4.6734(+4)	1.0670(+5)	50.1	87.5
52	1.3692(+4)	3.0208(+2)	9.9074(+4)	4.9386(+4)	1.1332(+5)	49.8	87.4
53	1.4250(+4)	3.0995(+2)	1.0501(+5)	5.2135(+4)	1.2020(+5)	49.6	87.4
54	1.4818(+4)	3.1787(+2)	1.1116(+5)	5.4969(+4)	1.2735(+5)	49.5	87.3

TABLE II. Hartree-Fock study of inequalities (22) and (23) as well as the cusp condition for all neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$ . The ratios  $C_{01} = (-2Z)\rho(0)/\rho'(0)$ ,  $C_{02} = (-2Z)^2\rho(0)/\rho''(0)$ , and  $C_{12} = (-2Z)\rho'(0)/\rho''(0)$  are given. See text for further details. Atomic units are used throughout.

$Z$	$\rho(0)$	$-\rho'(0)$	$\rho''(0)$	$C_{01}$	$C_{02}$	$C_{12}$
1	3.1831(-1)	6.3662(-1)	1.2732	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
2	3.5973	1.4420(+1)	6.1251(+1)	0.99785	0.93969	0.94172
3	1.3834(+1)	8.3479(+1)	5.2892(+2)	0.99432	0.94161	0.94698
4	3.5428(+1)	2.8479(+2)	2.3778(+3)	0.99519	0.95356	0.95817
5	7.1985(+1)	7.2260(+2)	7.4775(+3)	0.99619	0.96269	0.96637
6	1.2756(+2)	1.5357(+3)	1.8968(+4)	0.99670	0.96837	0.97157
7	2.0613(+2)	2.8950(+3)	4.1581(+4)	0.99685	0.97166	0.97472
8	3.1197(+2)	5.0099(+3)	8.2180(+4)	0.99635	0.97184	0.97540
9	4.4871(+2)	8.1019(+3)	1.4907(+5)	0.99691	0.97526	0.97829
10	6.2015(+2)	1.2425(+4)	2.5299(+5)	0.99822	0.98049	0.98225
11	8.3383(+2)	1.8365(+4)	4.1023(+5)	0.99888	0.98378	0.98488
12	1.0937(+3)	2.6274(+4)	6.3933(+5)	0.99905	0.98539	0.98632
13	1.4029(+3)	3.6511(+4)	9.6168(+5)	0.99902	0.98616	0.98712
14	1.7657(+3)	4.9490(+4)	1.4029(+6)	0.99899	0.98672	0.98772
15	2.1863(+3)	6.5638(+4)	1.9911(+6)	0.99925	0.98826	0.98900
16	2.6701(+3)	8.5545(+4)	2.7691(+6)	0.99881	0.98739	0.98856
17	3.2180(+3)	1.0942(+5)	3.7530(+6)	0.99992	0.99122	0.99131
18	3.8402(+3)	1.3837(+5)	5.0320(+6)	0.99909	0.98905	0.98995
19	4.5385(+3)	1.7254(+5)	6.6136(+6)	0.99956	0.99092	0.99135
20	5.3199(+3)	2.1294(+5)	8.5922(+6)	0.99933	0.99066	0.99132
21	6.1836(+3)	2.5995(+5)	1.1016(+7)	0.99907	0.99022	0.99114
22	7.1339(+3)	3.1411(+5)	1.3934(+7)	0.99929	0.99117	0.99187
23	8.1783(+3)	3.7653(+5)	1.7464(+7)	0.99912	0.99090	0.99177
24	9.3152(+3)	4.4745(+5)	2.1638(+7)	0.99929	0.99189	0.99260
25	1.0560(+4)	5.2813(+5)	2.6576(+7)	0.99971	0.99334	0.99363
26	1.1912(+4)	6.1997(+5)	3.2480(+7)	0.99909	0.99165	0.99255
27	1.3371(+4)	7.2271(+5)	3.9319(+7)	0.99905	0.99163	0.99257
28	1.4943(+4)	8.3728(+5)	4.7190(+7)	0.99940	0.99301	0.99360
29	1.6627(+4)	9.6482(+5)	5.6296(+7)	0.99951	0.99353	0.99402
30	1.8449(+4)	1.1073(+6)	6.6813(+7)	0.99961	0.99404	0.99443
31	2.0388(+4)	1.2633(+6)	7.8596(+7)	1.00059	0.99715	0.99657
32	2.2470(+4)	1.4373(+6)	9.2300(+7)	1.00055	0.99717	0.99663
33	2.4690(+4)	1.6286(+6)	1.0782(+8)	1.00061	0.99748	0.99687
34	2.7061(+4)	1.8401(+6)	1.2564(+8)	1.00003	0.99595	0.99592
35	2.9572(+4)	2.0701(+6)	1.4549(+8)	0.99998	0.99596	0.99598
36	3.2228(+4)	2.3199(+6)	1.6761(+8)	1.00024	0.99677	0.99652
37	3.5024(+4)	2.5877(+6)	1.9167(+8)	1.00156	1.00065	0.99910
38	3.8009(+4)	2.8844(+6)	2.1942(+8)	1.00147	1.00052	0.99905
39	4.1153(+4)	3.2053(+6)	2.5025(+8)	1.00143	1.00051	0.99908
40	4.4462(+4)	3.5521(+6)	2.8444(+8)	1.00137	1.00040	0.99904
41	4.7949(+4)	3.9267(+6)	3.2231(+8)	1.00130	1.00031	0.99901
42	5.1613(+4)	4.3300(+6)	3.6408(+8)	1.00126	1.00027	0.99901
43	5.5466(+4)	4.7643(+6)	4.1014(+8)	1.00120	1.00021	0.99900
44	5.9491(+4)	5.2288(+6)	4.6057(+8)	1.00122	1.00028	0.99907
45	6.3716(+4)	5.7280(+6)	5.1604(+8)	1.00113	1.00012	0.99900
46	6.8129(+4)	6.2610(+6)	5.7659(+8)	1.00109	1.00009	0.99899
47	7.2755(+4)	6.8316(+6)	6.4281(+8)	1.00107	1.00009	0.99902
48	7.7609(+4)	7.4448(+6)	7.1568(+8)	1.00076	0.99940	0.99864
49	8.2664(+4)	8.0979(+6)	7.9519(+8)	1.00039	0.99838	0.99799
50	8.7899(+4)	8.7822(+6)	8.7919(+8)	1.00088	0.99977	0.99890
51	9.3371(+4)	9.5153(+6)	9.7156(+8)	1.00090	0.99987	0.99897
52	9.9074(+4)	1.0295(+7)	1.0718(+9)	1.00085	0.99978	0.99893
53	1.0501(+5)	1.1122(+7)	1.1802(+9)	1.00079	0.99968	0.99888
54	1.1116(+5)	1.1996(+7)	1.2970(+9)	1.00080	0.99973	0.99893

$$N\langle r^{-2} \rangle \geq 2 \frac{k+1}{k+2} \langle r^{-1} \rangle^2, \quad k=0,1,\dots \quad (12)$$

(v)  $n=1$ . In this case, the inequality

$$N\langle r^{-2} \rangle \geq \frac{4}{3} \frac{k+3}{k+4} \langle r \rangle^2, \quad k=0,1,\dots \quad (13)$$

is also valid for any monotone density of order  $k$ .

An important observation about inequalities (4)–(8) is that they are optimal in the completely monotonic case, i.e., for  $k=\infty$ . Then one obtains that for a completely monotone single-particle density, the following two sets of rigorous inequalities are satisfied.

(i) Inequalities involving  $\rho(0)$  or  $\rho^{(n)}(0)$ :

$$\rho(0) \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle r^{-2} \rangle^2}{\langle r^{-1} \rangle}, \quad (14)$$

$$-\rho'(0) \geq 4\pi \frac{[\rho(0)]^2}{\langle r^{-2} \rangle}, \quad (15)$$

$$\rho^{(n-2)}(0)\rho^{(n)}(0) \geq [\rho^{(n-1)}(0)]^2, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (16)$$

(ii) Inequalities involving  $\langle r^\alpha \rangle$ :

$$\langle r^n \rangle \langle r^{n-2} \rangle \geq \frac{n+2}{n+1} \langle r^{n-1} \rangle^2, \quad n > -1. \quad (17)$$

Some particular cases are

$$N\langle r^{-2} \rangle \geq 2\langle r^{-1} \rangle^2, \quad (18)$$

$$\langle r^{-1} \rangle \langle r \rangle \geq \frac{3}{2} N^2, \quad (19)$$

$$N\langle r^2 \rangle \geq \frac{4}{3} \langle r \rangle^2. \quad (20)$$

Inequalities (4)–(20) can still be improved by taking into account Hadamard determinantal inequalities (3) of order  $k \geq 2$ . This implies that the resulting inequalities involve a higher number of radial expectation values and/or  $\rho^{(n)}(0)$  values, making them much more complicated.

#### IV. APPLICATION TO ATOMIC SYSTEMS

Now let us apply some of the inequalities found in the preceding section to the atomic systems. First of all, one should say that among inequalities (4)–(20) the only rigorous one is (9). However, it is known from near Hartree-Fock calculations that  $\rho(r)$  is not only monotonically decreasing [8,9] but also, to a very good approximation, convex [11]. Even more, these numerical calculations performed in neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$  show [28] that the monotone behavior of order higher than 2 is only weakly violated, and the violation is so that its effects on measurable and/or fundamental quantities of the system are extremely small since the electron density always appears within an integral kernel in evaluating those quantities. So, although the only known many-electron system with a rigorous completely monotonic electron density is the hydrogen atom, one may assume that the electron density of any neutral atom is completely monotone to quite a good approximation.

To illustrate the goodness of this approximation, let us

TABLE III. Hartree-Fock study of inequalities (16), (17), and (18) for all neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$ . The ratios  $R_i$ ,  $i=0,1,2$ , defined in text are given in percent. Atomic units are used throughout.

$Z$	$\langle r \rangle$	$\langle r^2 \rangle$	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
1	1.50	3.00	100.0	100.0	100.0
2	1.85	2.37	95.0	95.9	96.8
3	5.02	18.63	72.1	47.1	60.1
4	6.13	17.32	61.4	46.6	72.3
5	6.81	15.85	55.3	48.4	78.0
6	7.14	13.79	51.8	51.5	82.2
7	7.35	12.08	49.7	54.5	85.2
8	7.61	11.17	48.2	56.7	86.4
9	7.78	10.24	47.2	58.9	87.5
10	7.89	9.38	46.7	61.1	88.6
11	10.84	27.15	44.8	47.2	52.5
12	12.25	29.57	43.2	44.2	56.4
13	13.72	33.45	41.7	41.5	57.7
14	14.48	32.25	40.5	41.2	61.9
15	14.97	30.26	39.4	41.6	65.8
16	15.36	28.98	38.8	42.0	67.8
17	15.82	27.64	37.6	42.6	71.1
18	16.07	26.04	36.9	43.4	73.5
19	19.45	51.19	35.9	37.2	51.9
20	21.25	56.58	35.0	35.2	53.2
21	21.48	53.16	34.4	35.9	55.1
22	21.60	50.18	33.8	36.8	56.3
23	21.68	47.52	33.4	37.6	57.3
24	20.48	37.62	33.1	40.8	61.9
25	21.79	43.08	32.6	39.3	58.8
26	21.86	41.14	32.2	40.1	59.6
27	21.91	39.41	31.9	40.9	60.2
28	21.95	37.80	31.7	41.7	60.7
29	21.06	32.28	31.5	44.2	63.2
30	22.00	34.99	31.2	43.2	61.5
31	23.40	40.91	30.9	41.5	57.5
32	24.20	41.57	30.5	40.9	58.7
33	24.78	41.05	30.2	40.7	60.4
34	25.40	41.15	29.9	40.4	61.5
35	25.87	40.50	29.6	40.4	62.9
36	26.24	39.52	29.3	40.5	64.5
37	29.80	68.19	29.0	36.3	46.9
38	31.81	76.05	28.7	34.6	46.7
39	32.35	73.22	28.4	34.6	48.9
40	31.49	61.23	28.2	36.1	54.0
41	31.69	58.49	27.9	36.5	55.9
42	31.85	56.13	27.7	36.8	57.4
43	33.32	63.15	27.4	35.8	54.5
44	32.37	53.88	27.2	37.3	58.9
45	32.56	52.67	27.0	37.6	59.6
46	31.37	42.08	26.9	39.5	67.8
47	32.88	50.44	26.7	38.3	60.8
48	33.99	54.34	26.4	37.5	59.1
49	35.51	61.43	26.2	36.4	55.9
50	36.47	63.04	26.0	35.9	56.3
51	37.20	63.06	25.8	35.6	57.4
52	37.96	63.75	25.6	35.4	58.0
53	38.57	63.45	25.4	35.2	59.0
54	39.06	62.64	25.3	35.2	60.1

analyze the quality of inequalities (14)–(20), which supply interesting relationships between values of the electron density and its derivatives at the nucleus and the radial expectation values for atomic systems. First, inequality (15) together with the so-called atomic cusp condition [6]  $\rho'(0) = -2Z\rho(0)$ ,  $Z$  being the atomic number, produces

$$\rho(0) \leq \frac{Z}{2\pi} \langle r^{-2} \rangle. \quad (21)$$

This is the famous upper bound for the electron density at the nucleus obtained in 1978 by Hoffmann-Ostenhof, Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and Thirring [29], in the electrostatic approximation for the atomic Hamiltonian, which assures that the nuclear mass is infinite. Just recently, an approximate expression for  $\rho(0)$ , which coincides with this bound, has also been found by Cioslowski [30] with assumptions on the electron density stronger than the complete monotonicity.

Second, the inequality (14) gives a lower bound to  $\rho(0)$  that is better by a factor  $\frac{3}{2}$  than the best corresponding one known up to now, namely that obtained with the convexity condition [11]. Other similar, but less accurate, lower bounds to  $\rho(0)$  have been also published [21,27,31–33]. In Table I, the qualities of both the lower and upper bounds given by (14) and (21), respectively, are investigated by means of the near Hartree-Fock wave functions [34] for all neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$ . Here we should point out that the near Hartree-Fock values of  $\rho(0)$  were previously calculated by Westgate *et al.* [35]. It is found that the upper bound (21) is very accurate not only for very light atoms, as is already known [25], but also for all the atoms up to Xe. The lower bound (14) is very accurate for light atoms but its quality decreases to about 50% in the region of atoms with  $35 \leq Z \leq 54$ .

Third, inequality (16) with  $n=2$  together with the above-mentioned cusp condition leads to the following two lower bounds for the central second derivative  $\rho''(0)$  of atomic systems:

$$\rho''(0) \geq (-2Z)\rho'(0), \quad (22)$$

$$\rho''(0) \geq (-2Z)^2\rho(0). \quad (23)$$

The quality of these inequalities for all ground-state neutral atoms up to xenon is illustrated in Table II, where

again the near Hartree-Fock atomic wave functions of Clementi-Roetti [34] have been used. Remark that in Table II the atomic cusp condition  $\rho'(0) = -2Z\rho(0)$  is, for the sake of completeness, also numerically studied in the same Hartree-Fock framework. A careful examination of this table allows us to conclude that the accuracy of the two inequalities (22) and (23) is similar to that of the cusp condition. So, the lower bounds (22) and (23) may be considered as the computational value of  $\rho''(0)$ .

Finally, we study the quality of the atomic inequalities (18), (19), and (20) in Table III. Therein the Hartree-Fock values of the ratios

$$R_0 = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\langle r^{-1} \rangle^2}{\langle r^{-2} \rangle}, \quad R_1 = \frac{3N^2}{2} (\langle r^{-1} \rangle \langle r \rangle)^{-1},$$

$$R_2 = \frac{4}{3N} \frac{\langle r \rangle^2}{\langle r^2 \rangle}$$

are given for all the neutral atoms with  $Z \leq 54$ . One notices that the quality of inequality (20) is higher than that of the other two inequalities (18) and (19), being always bigger than  $\sim 50\%$  for all atoms with  $Z \leq 54$ . Inequalities (18) and (19) are reasonably accurate only for atoms with  $Z \leq 10$ .

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

To summarize, we have found several sets of rigorous inequalities that involve the values at the origin of the single-particle density  $\rho(r)$  and its derivatives as well as the radial expectation values. They are valid for any many-particle system characterized by a single-particle density function  $\rho(r)$  with a monotone nature of finite or infinite order.

The application of these inequalities to atomic systems in the approximation of complete monotonicity allows to extend considerably and improve the accuracy of the known inequalities among three expectation values as well as that of the bounds to the electron density  $\rho(r)$  and its derivatives at the nucleus. Particularly remarkable are the upper bound given by (21) and the lower bound given by (14) of Hoffmann-Ostenhof *et al.* to the atomic electron density at the nucleus,  $\rho(0)$ , as well as the computational values for the second derivative of the electron density at the nucleus,  $\rho''(0)$ .

[1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev. B* **136**, 864 (1964).  
 [2] *Theory of the Inhomogeneous Electron Gas*, edited by S. Lundquist and N. H. March (Plenum, New York, 1983).  
 [3] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).  
 [4] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many-Body Problem* (Springer, Heidelberg, 1990).  
 [5] E. S. Kryachko and E. V. Ludeña, *Density Functional Theory of Many-Electron Systems* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989).  
 [6] T. Kato, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **10**, 151 (1957); E.

Steiner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **39**, 2365 (1963); V. H. Smith, Jr., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **9**, 365 (1971).  
 [7] R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.* **64**, 2706 (1976); M. M. Morrell, R. J. Parr, and M. Levy, *ibid.* **62**, 549 (1975); T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Rev. A* **18**, 328 (1978); R. Ahlrichs, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and J. D. Morgan III, *ibid.* **23**, 2106 (1981); H. J. Silverstone, *ibid.* **23**, 1030 (1981).  
 [8] H. Weinstein, P. Politzer, and S. Srebrenik, *Theor. Chim. Acta Berlin* **38**, 159 (1975).  
 [9] G. Sperber, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **5**, 189 (1971).  
 [10] A. M. Simas, R. P. Sagar, A. C. T. Ku, and V. H. Smith,

- Jr., Can. J. Chem. **66**, 1923 (1988); J. C. Angulo, Master thesis, University of Granada, 1989.
- [11] J. C. Angulo, J. S. Dehesa, and F. J. Gálvez, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 641 (1990); **43**, 4069(E) (1991).
- [12] N. H. March, *Electron Density Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Academic, New York, 1991).
- [13] *Principles and Applications of Density Functional Theory*, edited by L. J. Sham and M. Schluter (World Scientific, Teaneck, 1989).
- [14] O. Gunnarson and B. I. Lundquist, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 4274 (1976).
- [15] A. K. Teophilou, J. Phys. C **12**, 5419 (1978).
- [16] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** 2219 (1986); Phys. Rev. A **34**, 737 (1986).
- [17] A. Tachibana, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **35**, 361 (1989).
- [18] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941).
- [19] R. P. Boas, Jr., Am. Math. Monthly **78**, 1085 (1971).
- [20] J. Shohat and J. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1943).
- [21] F. J. Gálvez, I. Porras, J. C. Angulo, and J. S. Dehesa, J. Phys. B **21**, L271 (1988).
- [22] J. C. Angulo, J. S. Dehesa, and F. J. Gálvez, Z. Phys. D **18**, 127 (1991).
- [23] B. Tsapline, Chem. Phys. Lett. **6**, 596 (1970).
- [24] R. Blau, A. R. P. Rau, and L. Spruch, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 119 (1973).
- [25] S.R. Gadre, J. Chem. Phys. **71**, 1510 (1979).
- [26] S. R. Gadre and R. L. Matcha, J. Chem. Phys. **74**, 589 (1981).
- [27] F. J. Gálvez, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 501 (1989).
- [28] J. C. Angulo (unpublished).
- [29] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and W. Thirring, J. Phys. B **11**, L571 (1978).
- [30] J. Cioslowski, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 378 (1989).
- [31] W. Thirring, *Quantum Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981), p. 286.
- [32] F. W. King, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 4317 (1984).
- [33] F.J. Gálvez and J. S. Dehesa, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 3154 (1988).
- [34] E. Clementi and C. Roetti, At. Data Nucl Data Tables **14**, 177 (1974).
- [35] W. M. Westgate, A. D. Byrne, V. H. Smith, Jr., and A. M. Simas, Can. J. Phys. **64**, 1351 (1986); erratum **67**, 543 (1989).