## A NOTE ON H-SURFACES WITH BOUNDARY

Rafael López ${ }^{1}$

In this note, we prove that a constant mean curvature compact embedded surface with planar boundary, which is a graph near the boundary, over the compact planar domain determined by the boundary, is indeed a graph globally.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The structure of the space of compact constant mean curvature surfaces with prescribed boundary is not known, even in the simplest case: when the boundary is a round circle with, for instance, unit radius. Heinz [3] found that a necessary condition for existence in this situation is that $|H| \leq 1$. The only known examples, excluding the trivial minimal case, are the following: the two spherical caps with radius $1 /|H|$, which are the only umbilical ones and some non-embedded surfaces of genus bigger than two whose existence was proved by Kapouleas in [4].

We shall refer to connected compact constant mean curvature surfaces as $H$-surfoces, $H$ the constant value of the mean curvature. We note that if $H=0$, the surface lies in the convex hull of its boundary, and therefore, if the boundary is planar, then the surface is also planar. Hence we assume in this paper that $H \neq 0$.

When the surface is embedded, the Alexandrov reflection method is a powerful tool [1]. So, if the surface $\Sigma$ is embedded with planar convex boundary and it is over the plane $P$ containing the boundary, then $\Sigma$ inherits all symmetries of its boundary: see [5] for the compact case and [8] for the non-compact one. Then, if the boundary $\partial \Sigma$ is a circle, the surface is a spherical cap or a Delaunay surface.

[^0]For this reason, it is important to put hypothesis to assure that the surface is over the plane $P$. For example, in [2] it is proved that an embedded $H$-surface with convex planar boundary that is in a halfspace near the boundary, is completely contained in this halfspace. Also, in [5] it has been proved that if the surface does not intersect the outside of the boundary in the plane $P$, then the surface is over $P$.

In this paper, we give sufficient conditions to get an embedded $H$-surface in a halfspace, more precisely, we shall give conditions to be a graph. We state

THEOREM. Let $\Sigma$ be an embedded $H$-surface with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ a Jordan curve included in a plane $P$. Let $D \subset P$ be the domain bounded by $\partial \Sigma$ in $P$. If $\Sigma \cap D=\emptyset$ and $\Sigma$ is locally a graph over $D$ around $\partial \Sigma$, then $\Sigma$ is a graph.

The proof uses the Alexandrov reflection method with planes parallel to the plane $P$, joined with a certain "balancing formula" for $H$-surfaces. A consequence of this theorem is the following result on embedded $H$-surfaces included in a halfspace, which is proved in [7]:

COROLLARY 1. Let $\Sigma$ be an embedded $H$-surface with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ a Jordan curve included in a plane $P$. Let $D \subset P$ be the bounded domain by $\partial \Sigma$ in $P$. If $\Sigma$ is included in one of the two halfspaces determined by $P$ and it is locally a graph over $D$ around $\partial \Sigma$, then $\Sigma$ is a graph.

Finally, we get the result stated in the summary of this paper.
COROLLARY 2. Let $\Sigma$ be an embedded $H$-surface with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ a Jordan curve included in a plane $P$. Let $D \subset P$ be the bounded domain by $\partial \Sigma$ in $P$. If $\Sigma$ is locally a graph over $D$ around $\partial \Sigma$, then $\Sigma$ is a graph.

## 2. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

To prove the Theorem, we will need a certain flux formula due to Rob Kusner which appears in [6]:

BALANCING FORMULA. Let $\Sigma$ be an embedded $H$-surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with boundary a Jordan curve included in a plane $P$. Then, if $a \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
2 H \int_{D}\left\langle\eta_{D}, a\right\rangle=\int_{\partial \Sigma}\langle\nu(s), a\rangle d s
$$

where $H>0, \nu(s)$ is the interior conormal to $\Sigma$ along $\partial \Sigma, D$ is the bounded domain in $P$ by $\partial \Sigma$ and $\eta_{D}$ is the unit normal vector field to $D$, induced by the orientation of the cycle $\Sigma \cup D$ when $\Sigma$ is oriented by its mean curvature vector.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $P$ is the plane $P=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; x_{3}=0\right\}$ and $\Sigma$ is included in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0, \infty)$ in a neighbourhood of $\partial \Sigma$. Let $a$ be the vector ( $0,0,1$ ).

We define the embedded and closed surface $T=\Sigma \cup D$ and let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the bounded domain determined by $T$. We orient $T$ to have $H>0$ on $\Sigma$. If $N$ is the Gauss map of $\Sigma$, then $N$ points towards $W$. First, we prove that $\langle N, a\rangle<0$ along $\partial \Sigma$. If $\nu$ is the interior conormal of $\Sigma$ along $\partial \Sigma$, the balancing formula gives

$$
2 H \int_{D}\left\langle\eta_{D}, a\right\rangle=\int_{\partial \Sigma}\langle\nu(s), a\rangle d s
$$

Because $\langle\nu(s), a\rangle \geq 0$ and $H>0$, then $\eta_{D}=a$. Since $\eta_{D}$ points towards $W$ and $\Sigma$ is a graph near the boundary, then $\langle N, a\rangle \leq 0$. Remark that $\Sigma$ is not tangent to $D$ in any point $p \in \partial \Sigma$, because in this case, $\Sigma$ and $D$ have a common boundary arc near $p, D$ is locally above $\Sigma$ near $p$ respect to $N(p)=-a$ and then the mean curvature of $D$ should be bigger that the mean curvature of $\Sigma$, in contradiction with $H>0$. Then we have $\langle N, a\rangle<0$ along $\partial \Sigma$. Therefore, the domain $W$, near $D$, lies above $D$, i.e., there is $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
W \cap(D \times(-\epsilon, \epsilon))=W \cap(D \times(0, \epsilon)) \quad(*) .
$$

To use the Alexandrov reflection method, we introduce notation. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $P_{t}=\left\{x_{3}=t\right\}$. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, let $A_{i}^{*}$ be the reflection of $A$ respect to $P_{t}$, i.e.,

$$
A_{t}^{*}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ;\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 2 t-x_{3}\right) \in A\right\} .
$$

Set

$$
A_{t^{+}}=\left\{x \in A ; x_{3}>t\right\} \quad A_{t^{-}}=\left\{x \in A ; x_{3}<t\right\}
$$

and $A_{t^{+}}^{*}=\left(A_{t^{+}}\right)_{t}^{*}, A_{t^{-}}^{*}=\left(A_{t^{-}}\right)_{t^{*}}^{*}$. If $B$ is a subset of $I R^{3}$, we say that $A \geq B$ is for $x \in A, y \in B$ with $x_{1}=y_{1}, x_{2}=y_{2}$, then $x_{3} \geq y_{3}$.

Now let $b<0$ such that

$$
\Sigma_{0-} \cup \Sigma_{0+}^{*} \subset\left\{x_{3}>b\right\}
$$

and we consider the part of the solid cylinder below $D$ given by $X=\bar{D} \times[b, 0]$. Define a bounded domain $Z$ in $R^{3}$ by $Z=W \cup X$ and denote by $\Omega$ the boundary of $Z$. The set $\Omega$ is an embedded closed surface and included in the union of the sets $\Sigma$ with the piece of cylinder $\partial \Sigma \times[b, 0]$ and the disc $\bar{D} \times\{b\}$.

We orient $\Omega$ by the Gauss map $N$ of $\Sigma$ and since $N$ points towards $W$ then $N$ points inside $Z$. Now we apply to the surface $\Omega$ the Alexandrov reflection principle by horizontal planes $P_{t}$ coming down from above. Because $\Omega$ is compact, let $t$ be a large positive number such that $P_{t} \cap \Omega=\emptyset$. Translate $P_{t}$ down until the first height $t_{0}>0$ at which it first touches $\Omega$. Thus the plane $P_{t_{0}}$ touches $\Omega$ in points of $\Sigma$ and since $\Sigma$ is embedded, for small $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\Omega_{\left(t_{0}-\delta\right)^{+}}^{*} \geq \Omega_{\left(t_{0}-\delta\right)^{-}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{\left(t_{0}-\delta\right)^{+}}^{*} \subset \bar{Z} .
$$

Now we decrease $t$ to get the first time $t_{1}<t_{0}$ such that $\Omega_{t_{1}^{+}}^{*} \subset \bar{Z}$ but $\Omega_{t^{+}}^{*} \not \subset \bar{Z}$ for $t<t_{1}$. Then there exists a point $p \in \Omega_{t_{1}^{+}}$such that the reflected point $p^{*}$ of $p$ with respect to the plane $P_{t_{1}}$ is a touching point between $\Omega_{t_{1}^{+}}^{*}$ and $\Omega_{t_{1}^{-}}$.
Let us prove that $t_{1}>0$ is impossible. In this case, this implies that the point $p$ lies in the surface $\Sigma$ and according to the parts of $\Omega$ we have three possibilities about the point $p^{*}$ :

1. The point $p^{*}$ is an interior point of $\Sigma$. Then $\Omega_{t_{1}^{+}}^{*}$ and $\Omega_{t_{1}^{-}}$, around the point $p^{*}$, are domains of $\Sigma$ and then, they are tangent at $p^{*}$; moreover the Gauss maps of both surfaces point towards $Z$ and they agree at $p$. Then the maximum principle [9] gets that $P_{t_{1}}$ is a plane of symmetry of $\Sigma$ (if $p^{*} \in P_{t_{1}}$, we apply the boundary version of the maximum principle). But this is impossible, because $t_{1}$ is positive and the boundary of $\Sigma$ is below $P_{t_{1}}$.
2. The point $p^{*}$ belongs to $\partial \Sigma \times[b, 0]$. Since $t_{1}$ is the time of the first contact and we come from above then $p^{*} \in \partial \Sigma \times\{0\}=\partial \Sigma$. In this case, the segment joining $p$ with $p^{*}$ is included in $\bar{Z} \cap\left\{x_{3} \geq 0\right\}$. But by (*) the piece of cylinder $\partial \Sigma \times(0, \epsilon)$ does not intersect the domain $Z$, getting a contradiction.
3. The point $p^{*}$ belongs to $D \times\{b\}$. This case is impossible by ( $* *$ ).

Therefore $t_{1}=0$ and we can go reflecting the surface $\Sigma$ until the height $t=0$. As conclusion and since $\Sigma$ is a locally a graph over $\partial \Sigma$ around the boundary, we have that $\Sigma_{0^{+}}$is a graph over $D, \Sigma_{0+}^{*}$ is included in $\bar{D} \times[b, 0]$ and $\Sigma$ does not intersect the outside of the domain $\bar{D}$ in the plane $P$. Then by [5] the surface $\Sigma$ is over $P$, i.e., $\Sigma=\Sigma_{0^{+}}$and we conclude that $\Sigma$ is a graph over $D$.

To prove the Corollary 2, the proof of the Theorem gives that $\Sigma$ is never tangent to $D$ along $\partial \Sigma$. Then the surface $\Sigma$ is transverse to $P$ along $\partial \Sigma$. Now the Theorem 2 in [2] gives that the surface does not intersect $D$ and we apply the Theorem.
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