

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND ORDER SINGULAR DAMPED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JIFENG CHU AND NING FAN

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Hohai University  
Nanjing 210098, China

PEDRO J. TORRES

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Granada  
18071 Granada, Spain

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of positive periodic solutions for second order singular damped differential equations by combining the analysis of the sign of Green's functions for the linear damped equation, together with a non-linear alternative principle of Leray–Schauder. Recent results in the literature are generalized and significantly improved.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the existence of positive  $T$ -periodic solutions for the following singular damped differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = f(t, x, x'),$$

where  $h, a \in C(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$  and the nonlinearity  $f \in C((\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . In particular, the nonlinearity may have a repulsive singularity at  $x = 0$ , which means that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, x, y) = +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Electrostatic or gravitational forces are the most important examples of singular interactions.

During the last two decades, the study of the existence of periodic solutions for singular differential equations has attracted the attention of many researchers [3, 5, 6, 21, 25, 29, 30, 33]. Some strong force conditions introduced by Gordon [14] are standard in the related earlier works [10, 17, 30, 31, 33]. Compared with the case of a strong singularity, the study of the existence of periodic solutions under the presence of a weak singularity is more recent, but has also attracted many researchers [6, 7, 9, 12, 25, 28]. Some classical tools have been used to study singular differential equations in the literature, including the method of upper and lower solutions [2, 25], degree theory [30, 31, 33], some fixed point theorems in cones for completely continuous operators [11, 26, 27], Schauder's fixed point theorem [6, 12, 28] and a nonlinear Leray-Schauder alternative principle [7, 8, 20].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34B16, 34D20.

*Key words and phrases.* Periodic solution; singular damped differential equation; Green's function; Leray-Schauder alternative principle.

Jifeng Chu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10801044 and No. 11171090), Jiangsu Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. BK2008356), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (Grant No. NCET-10-0325), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (Grant No. 20110491345) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. Pedro J. Torres was supported by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain, project MTM2011-23652.

However, the singular differential equation (1.1), in which there is the damping term and the nonlinearity is dependent of the derivative, has not attracted much attention in the literature. There are not so many existence results for (1.1) even when the nonlinearity is independent of the derivative. Several existence results can be found in [22, 32]. In this paper, we try to fill this gap and establish the existence of positive  $T$ -periodic solutions of (1.1) using a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, which has been used in [7, 8, 20]. We would like to emphasize that the inclusion of the derivative dependence in the nonlinearity implies a new technical difficulty concerning the right choice of the function space and associated norm. Our new results generalize in several aspects some recent results contained in [6, 7, 20, 26, 29]. Our main motivation is to obtain new existence results for positive  $T$ -periodic solutions of the following differential equations

$$(1.2) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = \left( e(t) + \kappa(t)|x'|^\gamma \right) \left( \frac{b(t)}{x^\alpha} + \mu c(t)x^\beta \right),$$

where  $a, b, c, e, h, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$  is a parameter. The reason for considering equation (1.2) is mainly academic, since it unifies many of the particular examples in the quoted literature and illustrates how our method is applicable to equations depending on the derivative. From a point of view of modelling, singular forces arise in classical mechanics when electromagnetic or gravitational forces are involved, and in many of such models the inclusion of a damping term modelling the loss of energy by friction is reasonable.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a survey on some known results concerning the sign of Green's function of the linear damped equation

$$(1.3) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = 0,$$

associated to periodic boundary conditions

$$(1.4) \quad x(0) = x(T), \quad x'(0) = x'(T).$$

We present two classes of functions  $h, a$  to guarantee that (1.3)-(1.4) has a positive or non-negative Green's function. These two classes have been studied in [19] and [4], respectively. The proofs of the results in [4] are based on the so-called " $L^p$ -criterion" developed by Torres in [26] for the Green's function of the Hill equation

$$(1.5) \quad x'' + a(t)x = 0.$$

During the last several years, the " $L^p$ -criterion" for (1.5) has become a standard assumption in the searching for periodic solutions of second order nonlinear regular and singular differential equation

$$(1.6) \quad x'' + a(t)x = f(t, x).$$

See, for example, [6, 7, 12, 20, 28]. Here we note that the Green's function of (1.3) with separated boundary conditions has also been considered in [1, 13].

In Section 3, by employing a nonlinear alternative principle of Leray-Schauder, we prove the main existence results for (1.1) under the positiveness of the Green's function associated with (1.3)-(1.4). Applications of the new results to (1.2) are also given. The results are applicable to the case of a strong singularity as well as the case of a weak singularity. We are mainly motivated by the recent papers [7, 8, 20], in which periodic singular problem (1.6) has been studied. We have generalized those results in [8, 20] and improved those in [22].

From now on, let us denote by  $p^*$  and  $p_*$  the essential supremum and infimum of a given function  $p \in L^1[0, T]$ , if they exist. Also, we write  $p \succ 0$  if  $p \geq 0$  for almost every  $t \in [0, T]$  and it is positive in a set of positive measure. The usual  $L^p$ -norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . The conjugate exponent of  $p$  is denoted by  $q$ :  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

## 2. SIGN OF GREEN'S FUNCTION

We say that (1.3)-(1.4) is nonresonant when its unique  $T$ -periodic solution is the trivial one. When (1.3)-(1.4) is nonresonant, as a consequence of Fredholm's alternative, the nonhomogeneous equation

$$(2.1) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = l(t)$$

admits a unique  $T$ -periodic solution which can be written as

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)l(s)ds,$$

where  $G(t, s)$  is the Green's function of problem (1.3)-(1.4).

In next section, we will assume that the following standing hypothesis is satisfied:

- (A) The Green's function  $G(t, s)$ , associated with (1.3)-(1.4), is positive for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$ .

The rest part of this section is devoted to present some sufficient conditions, which guarantee that (A) is satisfied. We have two classes.

2.1. Class 1: the general case  $a, h \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that (1.3) admits the anti-maximum principle if (2.1) has a unique  $T$ -periodic solution for any  $l \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z})$  and the unique  $T$ -periodic solution  $x_l$  of (2.1) satisfies  $x_l(t) > 0$  for all  $t$  if  $l > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that (1.3) admits the anti-maximum principle. Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$ , associated with (1.3)-(1.4), is nonnegative for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$ .

**Proof.** For the case  $h \equiv 0$ , this result is proved in [35, Theorem 4.1]. This proof remains invariant in our more general setting.  $\square$

For the positiveness of Green's function, we can use the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let us assume that the distance between two consecutive zeroes of a non-trivial solution of (1.3) is always strictly greater than  $T$ . Then, the Green's function  $G(t, s)$  does not vanish.

**Proof.** For the case  $h \equiv 0$ , this result is proved in [26, Theorem 2.1] (see also [35, Lemma 4.13]). As in the latter result, this proof remains invariant in our more general setting.  $\square$

By combining Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, one has abstract conditions for property (A) to hold.

Next we recall one explicit criterion proved by Halk and Torres in [19] that (1.3) admits the anti-maximum principle. To do this, let us define the functions

$$\sigma(h)(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right),$$

and

$$\sigma_1(h)(t) = \sigma(h)(T) \int_0^t \sigma(h)(s)ds + \int_t^T \sigma(h)(s)ds.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [19, Theorem 2.2] Assume that  $a \neq 0$  and the following two inequalities are satisfied

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^T a(s)\sigma(h)(s)\sigma_1(-h)(s)ds \geq 0,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \int_t^{t+T} \sigma(-h)(s)ds \int_t^{t+T} [a(s)]_+ \sigma(h)(s)ds \right\} \leq 4,$$

where  $[a(s)]_+ = \max\{a(s), 0\}$ . Then the anti-maximum principle for (1.3) holds.

The results in [19] can be exploited to derive a lower bound for the distance among zeroes of solutions of (1.3) as well.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that  $a \not\equiv 0$  and (2.3) holds. Then, the distance between two consecutive zeroes of a nontrivial solution of (1.3) is always strictly greater than  $T$ .*

**Proof.** By contradiction, let us assume that  $x$  is a non-trivial solution of (1.3) with two consecutive zeroes at  $c < b$  such that  $b - c \leq T$ . Then  $x(c) = x(b) = 0$  and, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $c \in [0, T]$  and  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \in ]c, b[$ . By a direct application of [19, Lemma 3.4], one has

$$4 < \int_c^b \sigma(-h)(s) ds \int_c^b [a(s)]_+ \sigma(h)(s) ds.$$

Note that all the integrated functions are non-negative. Since  $b - c \leq T$ , we have

$$4 < \int_c^{c+T} \sigma(-h)(s) ds \int_c^{c+T} [a(s)]_+ \sigma(h)(s) ds,$$

which is a contradiction with (2.3).  $\square$

As a consequence of the two previous lemmas, we have explicit conditions for property (A).

**Corollary 2.6.** *Assume that  $a \not\equiv 0$  and (2.2)-(2.3) hold. Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$ , associated with (1.3)-(1.4), is positive for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$ .*

**2.2. Class 2: special case  $\int_0^T a(t)\sigma(h)(t)dt > 0$  and  $\bar{h} = 0$ .** Next we consider one special case, that is

$$h \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) := \{h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) : \bar{h} = 0\}.$$

In this case, one criterion has been developed by Cabada and Cid in [4].

To describe these, given an exponent  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , the best constant in the Sobolev inequality

$$C \|u\|_{q, [0, 1]} \leq \|u'\|_{2, [0, 1]} \quad \text{for all } u \in H_0^1(0, 1)$$

is denoted by  $\mathbf{M}(q)$ . The explicit formula for  $\mathbf{M}(q)$  is known. That is,

$$\mathbf{M}(q) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2}{q+2}\right)^{1/2-1/q} \frac{\Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(1/2+1/q)}, & \text{for } 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ 2, & \text{for } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function of Euler. In particular,  $\mathbf{M}(2) = \pi$ ,  $\mathbf{M}(\infty) = 2$ . See [34].

**Theorem 2.7.** [4, Theorem 5.1] *Assume that  $h \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\int_0^T a(t)\sigma(h)(t)dt > 0$ . Suppose further that there exists  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  such that*

$$\left(B(T)\right)^{1+1/q} \|\mathcal{A}_+\|_{p, T} < (\leq) \mathbf{M}^2(2q),$$

where

$$B(T) = \int_0^T \sigma(-h)(t) dt,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_+(t) = a_+(t) \left(\sigma(h)(t)\right)^{2-1/p}.$$

Then the Green's function  $G(t, s)$ , associated with (1.3)-(1.4), is positive (nonnegative) for all  $(t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T]$ .

**Remark 2.8.** From the proof of [4, Theorem 5.1], we know that the Green's function of (1.3)-(1.4) can be written as

$$G(t, s) = \tilde{G}(B(t), B(s))\sigma(h)(s), \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in [0, T] \times [0, T],$$

where  $\tilde{G}(r, s)$  is the Green's function related to the linear equation

$$x''(r) + a(B^{-1}(r))\sigma(2h)(B^{-1}(r))x(r) = l(B^{-1}(r))\sigma(2h)(B^{-1}(r)), \quad r \in [0, B(T)]$$

with the periodic boundary condition

$$x(0) = x(B(T)), \quad x'(0) = x'(B(T)).$$

**Example 2.9.** In the case  $h(t) \equiv 0$ ,  $a(t) \equiv k^2$  with  $0 < k \leq \pi/T$ , the Green's function has the form

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin k(t-s) + \sin k(T-t+s)}{2k(1-\cos kT)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ \frac{\sin k(s-t) + \sin k(T-s+t)}{2k(1-\cos kT)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

See [11, 26]. Using Remark 2.8, for the case that

$$h \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}/B^{-1}(T)\mathbb{Z}), \quad a(t)\sigma(2h)(t) = k^2, \quad k > 0,$$

one may easily see that the Green's function of (1.3)-(1.4) has the form

$$G(t, s) = \sigma(h)(s) \begin{cases} \frac{\sin k(B(t) - B(s)) + \sin k(T - B(t) + B(s))}{2k(1 - \cos kT)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ \frac{\sin k(B(s) - B(t)) + \sin k(T - B(s) + B(t))}{2k(1 - \cos kT)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we state and prove the new existence results for (1.1). The proof is based on the following nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, which can be found in [15] or [16, page 120-130] and has been used by Meehan and O'Regan in [23, 24]. From now on, property (A) is fixed as standing hypothesis.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume  $\Omega$  is an open subset of a convex set  $K$  in a normed linear space  $X$  and  $p \in \Omega$ . Let  $T : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow K$  be a compact and continuous map. Then one of the following two conclusions holds:

- (I)  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .
- (II) There exists  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $x = \lambda Tx + (1 - \lambda)p$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that (1.3) satisfies (A) and

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^T a(t)\sigma(h)(t)dt > 0.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists a constant  $r > 0$  such that

- (H<sub>1</sub>) There exists a continuous function  $\phi_r > 0$  such that  $f(t, x, y) \geq \phi_r(t)$  for all  $(t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times (0, r] \times (-\infty, \infty)$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>) There exist continuous, non-negative functions  $g(\cdot)$ ,  $h(\cdot)$  and  $\varrho(\cdot)$  such that

$$0 \leq f(t, x, y) \leq \left( g(x) + h(x) \right) \varrho(|y|), \quad \text{for all } (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times (0, r] \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $g(\cdot) > 0$  is non-increasing,  $h(\cdot)/g(\cdot)$  is non-decreasing in  $(0, r]$  and  $\varrho(\cdot)$  is non-decreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>) The following inequality holds

$$\frac{r}{g(\nu r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \varrho(Lr)} > \omega^*,$$

where

$$\omega(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) ds, \quad L = \frac{2 \int_0^T a(t) \sigma(h)(t) dt}{\min_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sigma(h)(t)},$$

and

$$\iota = m/M, \quad m = \min_{0 \leq s, t \leq T} G(t, s), \quad M = \max_{0 \leq s, t \leq T} G(t, s).$$

Then (1.1) has at least one positive  $T$ -periodic solution  $x$  with  $0 < \|x\| \leq r$ .

**Proof.** Since (H<sub>3</sub>) holds, we can choose  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \nu r$  and

$$\omega^* g(\nu r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \varrho(Lr) + \frac{1}{n_0} < r.$$

Let  $N_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$ . Consider the family of equations

$$(3.2) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = \lambda f_n(t, x(t), x'(t)) + \frac{a(t)}{n},$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $n \in N_0$  and

$$f_n(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} f(t, x, y) & \text{if } x \geq 1/n, \\ f(t, 1/n, y) & \text{if } x \leq 1/n. \end{cases}$$

A  $T$ -periodic solution of (3.2) is just a fixed point of the operator equation

$$(3.3) \quad x = \lambda T_n x + (1 - \lambda)p,$$

where  $p = 1/n$  and  $T_n$  is a continuous and completely continuous operator defined by

$$(T_n x)(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n},$$

where we used the fact

$$\int_0^T G(t, s) a(s) ds \equiv 1.$$

First we claim that any fixed point  $x$  of (3.3) for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  must satisfy  $\|x\| \neq r$ . Otherwise, assume that  $x$  is a fixed point of (3.3) for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\|x\| = r$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - \frac{1}{n} &= \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds \\ &\geq \lambda m \int_0^T f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds \\ &= \iota M \lambda \int_0^T f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds \\ &\geq \iota \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds \right\} \\ &= \iota \|x - \frac{1}{n}\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of  $n_0$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n_0} < \nu r$ . Hence, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$x(t) \geq \iota \|x - \frac{1}{n}\| + \frac{1}{n} \geq \iota (\|x\| - \frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{n} \geq \nu r.$$

Next we claim that

$$(3.4) \quad \|x'\| \leq Lr$$

for any  $T$ -periodic solution  $x(t)$  of equation (3.2). Note that (3.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad (\sigma(h)(t)x'(t))' + a(t)\sigma(h)(t)x = \sigma(h)(t) \left( \lambda f_n(t, x(t), x'(t)) + \frac{a(t)}{n} \right).$$

Integrating (3.5) from 0 to  $T$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^T a(t)\sigma(h)(t)x(t)dt = \int_0^T \sigma(h)(t) \left( \lambda f_n(t, x(t), x'(t)) + \frac{a(t)}{n} \right) dt.$$

By the periodic boundary conditions,  $x'(t_0) = 0$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, T]$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(h)(t)x'(t)| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t (\sigma(h)(s)x'(s))' ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(h)(s) \left( \lambda f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) + \frac{a(s)}{n} - a(s)x(s) \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \sigma(h)(s) \left( \lambda f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) + \frac{a(s)}{n} + a(s)x(s) \right) ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^T a(s)\sigma(h)(s)x(s)ds \\ &\leq 2r \int_0^T a(s)\sigma(h)(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption (3.1). Therefore,

$$\left( \min_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sigma(h)(t) \right) |x'(t)| \leq 2r \int_0^T a(s)\sigma(h)(s)ds,$$

which implies that (3.4) holds.

Thus we have from condition (H<sub>2</sub>), for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f_n(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \lambda \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \int_0^T G(t, s) g(x(s)) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(x(s))}{g(x(s))} \right\} \varrho(|x'(s)|) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq g(\nu r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \varrho(Lr) \int_0^T G(t, s) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq g(\nu r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \varrho(Lr) \omega^* + \frac{1}{n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$r = \|x\| \leq g(\nu r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\} \varrho(Lr) \omega^* + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

This is a contradiction to the choice of  $n_0$  and the first claim is proved.

Let be  $C_T^1 = \{x : x, x' \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})\}$  with the norm  $\|x\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|$ . Then  $C_T^1$  is a normed linear space (not complete). Define

$$B_r = \{x \in C_T^1 : \|x\| < r\}.$$

Then  $B_r$  is an open subset in  $C_T^1$  with  $p = 1/n \in B_r$  since  $1/n < r$ . Now using Lemma 3.1, we know that

$$x = T_n x$$

has a fixed point, denoted by  $x_n$ , in , i.e., equation

$$(3.6) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = f_n(t, x(t), x'(t)) + \frac{a(t)}{n}$$

has a periodic solution  $x_n$  with  $\|x_n\| < r$ . Since  $x_n(t) \geq 1/n > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x_n$  is actually a positive periodic solution of (3.6).

Next we claim that  $x_n(t)$  have a uniform positive lower bound, i.e., there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$ , independent of  $n \in N_0$ , such that

$$(3.7) \quad \min_{t \in [0, T]} x_n(t) \geq \delta$$

for all  $n \in N_0$ .

Since (H<sub>1</sub>) holds, there exists a continuous function  $\phi_r > 0$  such that  $f(t, x, y) \geq \phi_r(t)$  for all  $(t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times (0, r] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_n(t) &= \int_0^T G(t, s) \left\{ f_n(t, x_n(s), x'_n(s)) + \frac{a(s)}{n} \right\} ds \\ &\geq \int_0^T G(t, s) \phi_r(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.7) holds with

$$\delta = \min_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^T G(t, s) \phi_r(s) ds > 0.$$

Using the similar procedure in the proof of (3.4), we can prove that

$$(3.8) \quad \|x'_n\| \leq Lr$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

From equation (1.1),  $\|x_n\| < r$  and (3.8), it is easy to see that  $\{\|x''_n\|\}_{n \in N_0}$  is also uniformly bounded. In consequence,  $\{\|x'_n\|\}_{n \in N_0}$  is equicontinuous, and therefore  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N_0}$  is a bounded and equi-continuous family in  $C_T^1$ . Now the Arzela–Ascoli Theorem guarantees that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N_0}$  has a subsequence,  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , converging uniformly on  $[0, T]$  to a function  $x \in X$ . From the fact  $\|x_n\| < r$  and (3.7),  $x$  satisfies  $\delta \leq x(t) \leq r$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Moreover,  $x_{n_k}$  satisfies the integral equation

$$x_{n_k}(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x_{n_k}(s), x'_{n_k}(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n_k}.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds.$$

Therefore,  $x$  is a positive  $T$ -periodic solution of (1.1) and satisfies  $0 < \|x\| \leq r$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let the nonlinearity in (1.1) be*

$$(3.9) \quad f(t, x, y) = (1 + |y|^\gamma) (x^{-\alpha} + \mu x^\beta),$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  is a positive parameter.

(i) *if  $\beta + \gamma < 1$ , then (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution for each  $\mu > 0$ .*



- (ii) if  $\beta + \gamma \geq 1$ , then (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution for each  $0 < \mu < \mu_1$ , where  $\mu_1$  is some positive constant.

**Proof.** We will apply Theorem 3.2. To this end, the assumption (H<sub>1</sub>) is fulfilled by  $\phi_r(t) = r^{-\alpha}$ . Take

$$g(x) = x^{-\alpha}, \quad h(x) = \mu x^\beta, \quad \varrho(x) = 1 + |x|^\gamma.$$

Then (H<sub>2</sub>) is satisfied and the existence condition (H<sub>3</sub>) becomes

$$\mu < \frac{\sigma^\alpha r^{1+\alpha} - \omega^* - \omega^* L^\gamma r^\gamma}{r^{\alpha+\beta}(\omega^* + \omega^* L^\gamma r^\gamma)}$$

for some  $r > 0$ . So (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution for

$$0 < \mu < \mu_1 := \sup_{r>0} \frac{\sigma^\alpha r^{1+\alpha} - \omega^* - \omega^* L^\gamma r^\gamma}{r^{\alpha+\beta}(\omega^* + \omega^* L^\gamma r^\gamma)}.$$

Note that  $\mu_1 = \infty$  if  $\beta + \gamma < 1$  and  $\mu_1 < \infty$  if  $\beta + \gamma \geq 1$ . We have (i) and (ii).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** Let the nonlinearity in (1.1) be

$$(3.10) \quad f(t, x, y) = \left(1 + |y|^\gamma\right) \left(\frac{1}{x^\alpha} - \frac{\mu}{x^\beta}\right),$$

where  $\alpha > \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 0$  with  $\gamma < \alpha + 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$  is a positive parameter. Then there exists a positive constant  $\mu_2$  such that (1.1) has at least one positive  $T$ -periodic solution for each  $0 \leq \mu < \mu_2$ .

**Proof.** Take

$$g(x) = x^{-\alpha}, \quad h(x) \equiv 0, \quad \varrho(y) = 1 + |y|^\gamma.$$

Then (H<sub>2</sub>) is satisfied and the existence condition (H<sub>3</sub>) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \sigma^\alpha r^{1+\alpha} > \left(1 + L^\gamma r^\gamma\right) \omega^*$$

for some  $r > 0$ . Since  $\alpha + 1 > \gamma$ , we can choose  $r > 0$  large enough such that (3.11) is satisfied. Next we show that (H<sub>1</sub>) is satisfied. Let

$$l(x) = x^{-\alpha} - \mu x^{-\beta}, \quad x \in (0, +\infty)$$

and

$$s_1 = \mu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}}, \quad s_2 = (\alpha/\mu\beta)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\beta}}.$$

Since  $\alpha > \beta$ , one can easily verify that  $s_1 < s_2$  and

$$l(s_1) = 0, \quad l'(s_2) = 0, \quad l'(s) < 0, \quad s \in (0, s_2).$$

Therefore,  $l(s)$  is decreasing on  $(0, s_1) \subset (0, s_2)$ . On the other hand, we can choose  $\mu > 0$  small enough such that

$$r \in (0, s_1).$$

Thus,

$$\min_{s \in (0, r)} l(s) = l(r) > l(s_1) = 0.$$

This implies that the condition (H<sub>1</sub>) is satisfied if we take

$$\phi_r(t) \equiv l(r).$$

**Remark 3.5.** Corollary 3.4 is interesting because the singularity on the right-hand side combines attractive and repulsive effects. The analysis of such differential equations with mixed singularities is at this moment very incomplete, and few references can be cited [3, 18]. Therefore, the results in Corollary 3.4 can be regarded as one contribution to the literature trying to fill partially this gap in the study of singularities of mixed type.

**Remark 3.6.** It is easy to find results analogous to Corollary 3.3 and 3.4 for the general equation (1.2) when  $b, c, e, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $b, e, \kappa \succ 0$ . Here we consider the nonlinearities (3.9) and (3.10) only for simplicity.

Finally in this section, we consider one special case of (1.1), that is

$$(3.12) \quad x'' + h(t)x' + a(t)x = f(t, x),$$

in which the nonlinearity does not depend on the derivative. The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose that (1.3) satisfies (A). Furthermore, assume that there exists a constant  $r > 0$  such that*

(H<sub>1</sub>) *There exists a continuous function  $\phi_r \succ 0$  such that  $f(t, x) \geq \phi_r(t)$  for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times (0, r]$ .*

(H<sub>2</sub>) *There exist continuous, non-negative functions  $g(\cdot)$  and  $h(\cdot)$  such that*

$$0 \leq f(t, x) \leq g(x) + h(x), \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times (0, r],$$

*where  $g(\cdot) > 0$  is non-increasing,  $h(\cdot)/g(\cdot)$  is non-decreasing in  $(0, r]$ .*

(H<sub>3</sub>) *The following inequality holds*

$$\frac{r}{g(\sigma r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h(r)}{g(r)} \right\}} > \omega^*.$$

*Then (3.12) has at least one positive periodic solution  $x$  with  $0 < \|x\| \leq r$ .*

**Remark 3.8.** Even in Theorem 3.7, we have generalized those results contained in [6, 8, 26], in which only equations without damping term are considered. We emphasize that our results are applicable to the case of a strong singularity as well as the case of a weak singularity. Note that in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the positiveness of Green's function plays an important role. Finally, we remark that the results contained in this paper can be translated to the  $L^1$ -Caratheodory framework without significant changes.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to show our great thanks to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments, which have improved a former version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. V. Barteneva, A. Cabada, A. O. Ignatyev, Maximum and anti-maximum principles for the general operator of second order with variable coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 134 (2003), 173-184.
- [2] D. Bonheure, C. De Coster, Forced singular oscillators and the method of lower and upper solutions, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 22 (2003), 297-317.
- [3] J. L. Bravo, P. J. Torres, Periodic solutions of a singular equation with indefinite weight, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 10 (2010), 927-938.
- [4] A. Cabada, J. A. Cid, On the sign of the Green's function associated to Hill's equation with an indefinite potential, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 205 (2008), 303-308.
- [5] J. Chu, X. Lin, D. Jiang, D. O'Regan, R. P. Agarwal, Multiplicity of positive solutions to second order differential equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 73 (2006), 175-182.
- [6] J. Chu, P. J. Torres, Applications of Schauder's fixed point theorem to singular differential equations, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 39 (2007), 653-660.
- [7] J. Chu, P. J. Torres, M. Zhang, Periodic solutions of second order non-autonomous singular dynamical systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 239 (2007), 196-212.
- [8] J. Chu, M. Li, Positive periodic solutions of Hill's equations with singular nonlinear perturbations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008), 276-286.
- [9] J. Chu, Z. Zhang, Periodic solutions of singular differential equations with sign-changing potential, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 82 (2010), 437-445.

- [10] M. A. del Pino, R. F. Manásevich, Infinitely many  $T$ -periodic solutions for a problem arising in nonlinear elasticity, *J. Differential Equations*, 103 (1993), 260-277.
- [11] D. Franco, J. R. L. Webb, Collisionless orbits of singular and nonsingular dynamical systems, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 15 (2006), 747-757.
- [12] D. Franco, P. J. Torres, Periodic solutions of singular systems without the strong force condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 1229-1236.
- [13] M. Gaudenzi, P. Habets, F. Zanolin, Positive solutions of singular boundary value problems with indefinite weight, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 9 (2002), 607-619.
- [14] W. B. Gordon, Conservative dynamical systems involving strong forces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 204 (1975), 113-135.
- [15] A. Granas, R. B. Guenther, J. W. Lee, Some general existence principles in the Carathéodory theory of nonlinear differential systems, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 70 (1991), 153-196.
- [16] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed point theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [17] P. Habets, L. Sanchez, Periodic solution of some Liénard equations with singularities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 109 (1990), 1135-1144.
- [18] R. Hakl, P. J. Torres, On periodic solutions of second-order differential equations with attractive-repulsive singularities, *J. Differential Equations*, 248 (2010), 111-126.
- [19] R. Halk, P.J. Torres, Maximum and antimaximum principles for a second order differential operator with variable coefficients of indefinite sign, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011), 7599-7611.
- [20] D. Jiang, J. Chu, M. Zhang, Multiplicity of positive periodic solutions to superlinear repulsive singular equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 211 (2005), 282-302.
- [21] A. C. Lazer, S. Solimini, On periodic solutions of nonlinear differential equations with singularities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 99 (1987), 109-114.
- [22] X. Li, Z. Zhang, Periodic solutions for damped differential equations with a weak repulsive singularity, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 2395-2399.
- [23] M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Existence theory for nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential and integral equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 31 (1998), 317-341.
- [24] M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions of nonlinear integral equations on compact and semi-infinite intervals, *Appl. Anal.* 74 (2000), 413-427.
- [25] I. Rachunková, M. Tvrdý, I. Vrkoč, Existence of nonnegative and nonpositive solutions for second order periodic boundary value problems, *J. Differential Equations*, 176 (2001), 445-469.
- [26] P. J. Torres, Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, *J. Differential Equations*, 190 (2003), 643-662.
- [27] P. J. Torres, Non-collision periodic solutions of forced dynamical systems with weak singularities, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 11 (2004), 693-698.
- [28] P. J. Torres, Weak singularities may help periodic solutions to exist, *J. Differential Equations*, 232 (2007), 277-284.
- [29] P. J. Torres, Existence and stability of periodic solutions for second order semilinear differential equations with a singular nonlinearity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 137 (2007), 195-201.
- [30] P. Yan, M. Zhang, Higher order nonresonance for differential equations with singularities, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 26 (2003), 1067-1074.
- [31] M. Zhang, A relationship between the periodic and the Dirichlet BVPs of singular differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 128 (1998), 1099-1114.
- [32] M. Zhang, Periodic solutions of damped differential systems with repulsive singular forces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 401-407.
- [33] M. Zhang, Periodic solutions of equations of Ermakov-Pinney type, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 6 (2006), 57-67.
- [34] M. Zhang, Sobolev inequalities and ellipticity of planar linear Hamiltonian systems, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 8 (2008), 633-654.
- [35] M. Zhang, Optimal conditions for maximum and antimaximum principles of the periodic solution problem, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2010, Art. ID 410986, 26 pp.

*E-mail address:* jifengchu@126.com (J. Chu)

*E-mail address:* fnyuan1314@126.com (N. Fan)

*E-mail address:* ptorres@ugr.es (P. J. Torres)