

Periodic motions of fluid particles induced by a prescribed vortex path in a circular domain

Alberto Boscaggin*

SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies,
via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy.
E-mail: boscaggi@sissa.it

Pedro J. Torres†

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada,
Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.
E-mail: ptorres@ugr.es

Abstract

By means of a generalized version of Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem, we prove the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for a hamiltonian system modeling the evolution of advected particles in a two-dimensional ideal fluid inside a circular domain and under the action of a point vortex with prescribed periodic trajectory.

MSC 2010 Classification : 35B09; 35J93; 35B45; 47H11

Keywords: particle transport, ideal fluid, vortex, periodic orbit, Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem

1 Introduction and main result

We consider the motion of a two-dimensional ideal fluid in a circular domain of radius $R > 0$ subjected to the action of a moving point vortex whose position, denoted as $z(t)$, is a prescribed T -periodic function of time. This model plays an important role in Fluid Mechanics as an idealized model of the stirring of a fluid inside a cylindrical

*Partially supported by project MTM2011-23652, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain. The author wishes to thank all the members of the Department of Applied Mathematics of the University of Granada for the warm hospitality.

†Partially supported by project MTM2011-23652, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain

tank by an agitator. A fundamental reference for this problem is the seminal paper [1], where the concept of *chaotic advection* was coined. Following the classical Lagrangian representation, the mathematical model under consideration is the planar system

$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{|z(t)|^2 - R^2}{(\zeta - z(t))(\bar{\zeta}\bar{z}(t) - R^2)} \right), \quad (1)$$

where the complex variable ζ represents the particle transport induced by the so-called *stirring protocol* $z(t)$. System (1) is a T -periodically forced planar system with hamiltonian structure, where the stream function

$$\Psi(t, \zeta) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \ln \left| \frac{\zeta - z(t)}{\bar{z}(t)\zeta - R^2} \right|$$

plays the role of the hamiltonian.

The main contribution of Aref in [1] was to show that the flow may experience regular or chaotic regimes depending on the particular stirring protocol. For instance, system (1) is integrable if $z(t)$ is constant or $z(t) = z_0 \exp(i\Omega t)$ but it is chaotic if $z(t)$ is piecewise constant (blinking protocol in the related literature). A naive way to measure the influence of the ideas presented in [1] is to note the more than a thousand citations of this inspiring paper up to the date. Aref's blinking protocol is piecewise integrable and the theory of linked twist maps permits a good analytical study of the underlying dynamics (see for instance [4, 9]). More recently, other strategies of stirring have been studied, for instance the figure-eight or the epitrochoidal protocol [8], but only from a numerical point of view. Our contribution in this paper is to prove that both regular and chaotic regimes share a common dynamical feature, namely

the existence of an infinite number of periodic solutions labeled by the number of revolutions around the vortex in the course of a period.

To be precise, let us fix $z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a T -periodic function such that $|z(t)| < R$ for all t . For a periodic solution ζ of (1) with period kT , the *winding number* of ζ is defined as

$$\text{rot}_{kT}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{kT} \frac{d(\zeta(t) - z(t))}{\zeta(t) - z(t)}$$

and provides the number of revolutions of $\zeta(t)$ around the vortex point $z(t)$ in the time interval $[0, kT]$. We proceed to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a T -periodic function of class C^1 , such that $|z(t)| < R$ for all t . Then, for every integer $k \geq 1$, system (1) has infinitely many kT -periodic solutions lying in the disk $\mathcal{B}_R(0)$. More precisely, for every integer $k \geq 1$, there exists an integer j_k^* such that, for every integer $j \geq j_k^*$, system (1) has two kT -periodic solutions $\zeta_{k,j}^{(1)}(t)$, $\zeta_{k,j}^{(2)}(t)$ such that, for $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\|\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq R \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rot}_{kT}(\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}) = j. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, for every $k \geq 1$, $j \geq j_k^*$ and $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}(t) - z(t)| = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [0, kT]. \quad (3)$$

In particular, for $k = 1$, we find that (1) has infinitely many T -periodic solutions. For $k > 1$, we find subharmonic solutions of order k (i.e., kT -periodic solutions which are not lT -periodic for any $l = 1, \dots, k-1$) provided that j and k are relatively prime integers; we remark that in this case it is also possible to show that $\zeta_{k,j}^{(1)}(t)$, $\zeta_{k,j}^{(2)}(t)$ are not in the same periodicity class (namely, $\zeta_{k,j}^{(1)}(\cdot) \neq \zeta_{k,j}^{(2)}(\cdot + lT)$ for every integer $l = 1, \dots, k-1$).

As a final remark, it is worth to point out that the regularity condition on the stirring protocol plays an important role. In fact, Theorem 1.1 is not true for a discontinuous $z(t)$ (e.g. the blinking protocol), because condition (3) would imply unphysical discontinuous particle trajectories. The existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for a general protocol, as well as their stability properties, remains as an open problem. Intuitively, a vortex induces a singularity on the angular variable, twisting the flux around it, so Poincaré-Birkhoff Theorem becomes a natural tool of potential application in more general contexts like arbitrary boundary domains [6, 10] or the presence of multiple vortices [2, 3]. Such extension will be the subject of future works.

The rest of the paper is divided in two parts. In Section 2 the Poincaré section is defined, whereas Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1 by an application of a generalized version of Poincaré-Birkhoff Theorem.

2 Definition of the Poincaré section.

For our purposes, it is convenient to write system (1) as

$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta - z(t)} - \frac{1}{\zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t)} \right). \quad (4)$$

In this form, the first term at the right models the action of the vortex whereas the second term corresponds to the wall influence on the flow. Identifying \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 and setting $\zeta = (x, y)$, $z(t) = (a(t), b(t))$, we can rewrite system (4) in real notation as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{y - b(t)}{|\zeta - z(t)|^2} + \frac{y - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} b(t)}{\left| \zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t) \right|^2} \right) \\ \dot{y} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(\frac{x - a(t)}{|\zeta - z(t)|^2} - \frac{x - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} a(t)}{\left| \zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t) \right|^2} \right), \end{cases} \quad \zeta = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5)$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the closed disk centered at the origin with radius R . First, we recall a well known property of system (5).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\zeta : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a solution of (5), with $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ its maximal interval of definition. If $|\zeta(t_0)| \leq R$ for some $t_0 \in J$, then $|\zeta(t)| \leq R$ for every $t \in J$, that is to say, the disk \mathcal{B}_R is invariant for the flow associated to (5).*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid V(x, y) \leq R^2\}$ for $V(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, by standard result of flow-invariant sets, it is enough to prove that

$$\langle Z(t, x, y) | \nabla V(x, y) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T], \quad x^2 + y^2 = R^2,$$

where $Z(t, x, y)$ denotes the vector field of the differential system (5). With simple computations, we find indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z(t, x, y) | \nabla V(x, y) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(X(t, x, y)x + Y(t, x, y)y \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \left(b(t)x - a(t)y \right) \left(\frac{\left| \zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t) \right|^2 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} |\zeta - z(t)|^2}{|\zeta - z(t)|^2 \left| \zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t) \right|^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma}{\pi} \left(b(t)x - a(t)y \right) \left(\frac{\left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} \right) \left(|\zeta|^2 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} |z(t)|^2 \right)}{|\zeta - z(t)|^2 \left| \zeta - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t) \right|^2} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

From now on, we will study solutions to system (5) belonging to the invariant disk \mathcal{B}_R ; accordingly, the singularity of the vector field at $\zeta = \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} z(t)$ (for which $|\zeta| > R$) will not play any role. On the contrary, we will take advantage of the singularity at $\zeta = z(t)$. To this aim, it is useful to introduce the change of variable

$$\eta = \zeta - z(t)$$

and set $\eta = (u, v)$, so that system (5) is transformed into

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{v}{|\eta|^2} + \frac{v + b(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} \right)}{\left| \eta + z(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} \right) \right|^2} \right) - \dot{a}(t) \\ \dot{v} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(\frac{u}{|\eta|^2} - \frac{u + a(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} \right)}{\left| \eta + z(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2} \right) \right|^2} \right) - \dot{b}(t), \end{cases} \quad \eta = (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (6)$$

In the following, given $\eta_0 \neq 0$, we will denote by $\eta(\cdot; \eta_0)$ the unique solution of (6) satisfying the initial condition $\eta(0) = \eta_0$.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists $r > 0$ such that, if $0 < |\eta_0| \leq r$, then the solution $\eta(\cdot; \eta_0)$ exists on \mathbb{R} and satisfies $|\eta(t; \eta_0) + z(t)| \leq R$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Define

$$r = R - |z(0)| > 0.$$

Then, for $0 < |\eta_0| \leq r$, the function $\zeta(t) = \eta(t; \eta_0) + z(t)$ solves (5) and

$$|\zeta(0)| \leq |\eta_0| + |z(0)| \leq r + |z(0)| = R.$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have the a priori bound

$$|\eta(t; \eta_0) + z(t)| \leq R, \quad \text{for every } t \in J, \quad (7)$$

where $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ denotes the maximal interval of definition of $\eta(t; \eta_0)$. Our objective is to show that actually $J = \mathbb{R}$, completing the proof of the lemma. Notice that, in view of the a priori bound (7), we just have to show that $\eta(t; \eta_0)$ cannot reach the singularity $\eta = 0$ in finite time. First, we are going to consider the particular case of $z(t) = a(t), b(t)$ belonging to the C^2 class, then the general case is proved by a standard limiting argument.

Define the function (to simplify the notation, we take advantage here of both real and complex notation)

$$K(t, \eta) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(\ln |\eta| - \ln \left| \bar{z}(t)(\eta + z(t)) - R^2 \right| \right) + \dot{a}(t)v - \dot{b}(t)u$$

and set $k(t) = K(t, \eta(t; \eta_0))$ for $t \in J$. Since $K(t, \eta)$ is a hamiltonian function for (6), we have

$$\langle \nabla K_\eta(t, \eta(t; \eta_0)) | \eta'(t, \eta_0) \rangle = 0,$$

so that (writing for simplicity $\eta(t; \eta_0) = \eta(t)$),

$$\begin{aligned} |k'(t)| &= \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(t, \eta(t; \eta_0)) \right| \\ &= \left| -\frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \frac{\langle \bar{z}(t)(\eta + z(t)) - R^2 | \gamma(t) \rangle}{\left| \bar{z}(t)(\eta + z(t)) - R^2 \right|^2} + \ddot{a}(t)v(t) - \ddot{b}(t)u(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{\left| \bar{z}(t)(\eta + z(t)) - R^2 \right|} + |\ddot{a}(t)v(t) - \ddot{b}(t)u(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

being $\gamma(t) = \bar{z}'(t)\eta(t) + 2\langle z(t)|z'(t)\rangle$. From the a priori bound (7) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bar{z}(t)(\eta + z(t)) - R^2 \right| &\geq R^2 - \left| \bar{z}(t)(\eta(t) + z(t)) \right| \\ &\geq R \left(R - |\bar{z}(t)| \right) > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

so there exists $M > 0$ (independent on η_0) such that $|k'(t)| \leq M$ for every $t \in J$. Hence,

$$|K(t, \eta(t)) - K(0, \eta_0)| \leq M|t|, \quad \text{for every } t \in J. \quad (9)$$

Since $K(t, \eta)$ is unbounded near $\eta = 0$, this shows that $\eta(t)$ cannot reach the singularity in finite time, thus concluding the proof. For the general C^1 case, one can approach uniformly $z(t)$ by C^1 functions, and the result follows from the continuous dependence of the solutions of the initial value problem with respect to parameters. \square

Fix now an integer $k \geq 1$. We can then define the Poincaré map Ψ_k at time kT as

$$\mathcal{B}_r \setminus \{0\} \ni \eta_0 \mapsto \Psi_k(\eta_0) = \eta(kT; \eta_0).$$

By the fundamental theory of ODEs, it turns out that Ψ_k is a global homeomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_r \setminus \{0\}$ onto $\Psi_k(\mathcal{B}_r \setminus \{0\})$, preserving area and orientation; moreover, from (9) we see that Ψ_k can be extended (as an area and orientation preserving homeomorphism) to the whole disc \mathcal{B}_r by setting $\Psi_k(0) = 0$.

3 Proof of the main result.

By Section 2, for any integer $k \geq 1$ there exists a well-defined homeomorphism $\Psi_k : \mathcal{B}_r \rightarrow \Psi_k(\mathcal{B}_r)$ preserving area and orientation. Moreover, $\Psi_k(0) = 0$. For the reader's convenience, we recall here the generalized version of Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem which we are going to apply (see [5, 7]).

Generalized Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. *Let $0 < r_i < r_o$ and set $\mathcal{A} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid r_i^2 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq r_o^2\}$. Let $\Psi : \mathcal{B}_{r_o} \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{B}_{r_o})$ be an area-preserving homeomorphism with $\Psi(0) = 0$. Assume that, on the universal covering space $\{(\rho, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \rho > 0\}$ with covering projection $\Pi(\rho, \theta) = (\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta)$, $\Psi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ has a lifting of the form*

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\rho, \theta) = (R(\rho, \theta), \theta + \gamma(\rho, \theta)),$$

being $R(\rho, \theta), \gamma(\rho, \theta)$ continuous functions 2π -periodic in the second variable. Finally, suppose that, for a suitable $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the twist condition

$$\gamma(r_i, \theta) > 2\pi j \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(r_o, \theta) < 2\pi j, \quad \text{for every } \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

is fulfilled. Then there exist two distinct points $(\rho^{(1)}, \theta^{(1)}), (\rho^{(2)}, \theta^{(2)}) \in]r_i, r_o[\times]0, 2\pi[$ such that (for $i = 1, 2$) $\tilde{\Psi}(\rho^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) = (\rho^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)} + 2\pi j)$.

To apply this theorem, we therefore write

$$\eta(t) = (\rho(t) \cos \theta(t), \rho(t) \sin \theta(t)), \quad \rho(t) > 0,$$

transforming system (6) into

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\rho} = I(t, \rho, \theta) \\ \dot{\theta} = \Theta(t, \rho, \theta), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

being

$$I(t, \rho, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(\frac{(b(t) \cos \theta - a(t) \sin \theta) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2}\right)}{\left|(\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta) + z(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2}\right)\right|^2} \right) - \dot{a}(t) \cos \theta - \dot{b}(t) \sin \theta$$

$$\Theta(t, \rho, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{\rho + (a(t) \cos \theta + b(t) \sin \theta) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2}\right)}{\rho \left|(\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta) + z(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2}\right)\right|^2} \right) + \frac{\dot{a}(t) \sin \theta - \dot{b}(t) \cos \theta}{\rho}.$$

We denote by $(\rho(\cdot; \rho_0, \theta_0), \theta(\cdot; \rho, \theta_0))$ the unique solution to (10) satisfying the initial condition $(\rho(0), \theta(0)) = (\rho_0, \theta_0)$. In view of Lemma 2.2, such solutions globally exists (and $\rho(t) \neq 0$) if $\rho_0 \in]0, r[$.

Define $j_k^* \geq 1$ as the smallest integer such that

$$\theta(kT; r, \theta_0) - \theta(0; r, \theta_0) < 2\pi j_k^*, \quad \text{for every } \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi[. \quad (11)$$

Fix now an integer $j \geq j_k^*$; we claim that there exists $r_j \in]0, r[$ such that

$$\theta(kT; r_j, \theta_0) - \theta(0; r_j, \theta_0) > 2\pi j, \quad \text{for every } \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi[. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, arguing similarly as in (8) we see that

$$\left|(\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta) + z(t) \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{|z(t)|^2}\right)\right|^2$$

is bounded away from zero for $\rho \in]0, r[$; accordingly, we can find $\hat{r}_j \in]0, r[$ such that

$$\Theta(t, \rho, \theta) > \frac{2\pi j}{kT}, \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \in]0, \hat{r}_j], \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

Using a standard compactness argument (usually referred to as “elastic property”) we can find $r_j \in]0, \hat{r}_j[$ such that

$$\rho_0 \in]0, r_j] \implies \rho(t; \rho_0, \theta_0) \leq \hat{r}_j, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, kT], \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi[.$$

Hence (12) follows from (13), after integrating the second equation in (10).

In view of (11) and (12), the Poincaré-Birkhoff fixed point theorem implies the existence of at least two distinct points $(\rho_{k,j}^{(1)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(1)})$, $(\rho_{k,j}^{(2)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(2)}) \in]r_j, r[\times]0, 2\pi[$ such that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\rho(kT; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}) = \rho(0; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}), \quad \theta(kT; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}) = \theta(0; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}) + 2\pi j. \quad (14)$$

Accordingly,

$$\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}(t) = \eta(t; (\rho_{k,j}^{(i)} \cos \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}, \rho_{k,j}^{(i)} \sin \theta_{k,j}^{(i)})) + z(t)$$

is a kT -periodic solution to (5) such that, in view of Lemma 2.2, $\|\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq R$.

The second relation in (2) is just a consequence of (14), using complex notation. Indeed, $\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}(t) - z(t) = \rho(t; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}) e^{i\theta(t; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)})}$ so that, with easy computations,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rot}_{kT}(\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{kT} \frac{d(\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}(t) - z(t))}{\zeta_{k,j}^{(i)}(t) - z(t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{kT} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\log(\rho(t; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)})) \right) + i\theta'(t; \rho_{k,j}^{(i)}, \theta_{k,j}^{(i)}) \right) dt = j. \end{aligned}$$

This information finally implies, by using a standard compactness argument, that (3) holds true.

References

- [1] H. Aref, *Stirring by chaotic advection*, J. Fluid Mech. **143** (1984), 1–21.
- [2] G. Boffetta, A. Celani, P. Franzese, *Trapping of passive tracers in a point vortex system*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29** (1996), 3749–3759.
- [3] P. Boyland, M. Stremler, H. Aref, *Topological fluid mechanics of point vortex motions*, Physica D **175** (2003), 69–95.
- [4] T. Carletti, A. Margheri, *Measuring the mixing efficiency in a simple model of stirring: some analytical results and a quantitative study via frequency map analysis*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006), 299–312.
- [5] W.Y. Ding, *A generalization of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 341–346.
- [6] P. Franzese, L. Zannetti, *Advection by a point vortex in closed domains*, Eur. J. of Mech. B-Fluids **12** (1993), 1–24.
- [7] C. Rebelo, *A note on the Poincaré-Birkhoff fixed point theorem and periodic solutions of planar systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **29** (1997), 291–311.

- [8] J.-L. Thiffeault, M.D. Finn, E. Gouillart, T. Hall, *Topology of chaotic mixing patterns*, *Chaos* **18** (2008), 033123, 8 pp.; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2973815>.
- [9] S. Wiggins, J.M. Ottino, *Foundations of chaotic mixing*, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **362** (2004), 937–970.
- [10] L. Zannetti, P. Franzese, *The non-integrability of the restricted problem of two vortices in closed domains*, *Physica D* **76** (1994), 99–109.