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## The problem

We consider the existence and multiplicity of radial positive solutions of the problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{M} v+f(|x|, v)=0, \quad x \in B_{R}, \\
v=0, \quad x \in \partial B_{R}, \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $B_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<R\right\}$ and $f:[0, R] \times[0, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, which is positive on $(0, R] \times(0, \alpha)$, and

$$
\mathcal{M} v=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^{2}}}\right)
$$

## The problem

Setting, as usual, $r=|x|$ and $v(x)=u(r)$, the Dirichlet problem (1) reduces to the mixed boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1} f(r, u)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0=u(R) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standing hypotheses:
$\left(H_{\phi}\right) \quad \phi:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(0<a<\infty)$ is an odd, increasing homeomorphism with $\phi(0)=0$;
$\left(H_{f}\right) \quad f:[0, R] \times[0, \alpha) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with
$0<\alpha \leq \infty$ and $f(r, s)>0$ for all $(r, s) \in(0, R] \times(0, \alpha)$.

## Existence result I

## Theorem 1

Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(r, s)}{\phi(s)}=+\infty \quad \text { uniformly with } \quad r \in[0, R]  \tag{4}\\
\operatorname{límsup}_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(\tau s)}{\phi(s)}<+\infty \quad \text { for all } \tau>0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then problem (3) has at least one positive solution if either $a R<\alpha$ or $\alpha=a, R=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow a-} \frac{f(r, s)}{\phi(s)}=0 \quad \text { uniformly with } \quad r \in[0,1] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true.

## Examples

Fix $0 \leq q<1$ and let $\mu:[0, R] \rightarrow(0, \infty), h:[0, R] \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be continuous functions.
(i) The Dirichlet problem

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\mu(|x|) v^{q}+h(|x|, v)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R},
$$

has at least one positive classical radial solution for any $R>0$.

## Examples

According to Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.1 in

- A. Capietto, W. Dambrosio, F. Zanolin, Infinitely many radial solutions to a boundary value problem in a ball, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 179 (2001), 159-188.
the problem

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\mu(|x|)|v|^{q-1} v=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

has infinitely many radial solutions with prescribed number of nodes (the positive case is not covered), provided that $0<q<1$ and $\mu$ is continuously differentiable.

## Examples

(ii) If $0 \leq q<1 \leq p$ and $\lambda>0$, then problem

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\lambda v^{q}+v^{p}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

has at least one positive classical radial solution for any $R>0$.
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(ii) If $0 \leq q<1 \leq p$ and $\lambda>0$, then problem

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\lambda v^{q}+v^{p}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

has at least one positive classical radial solution for any $R>0$.

In the classical case, using the upper and lower solutions method, it has been proved by Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami that problem

$$
\Delta v+\lambda v^{q}+v^{p}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

has a positive solution iff $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda>0(0<q<$ $1<p$ ).

## Examples

(iii) The Dirichlet problems

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\frac{\mu(|x|) v^{q}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-v^{2}}}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\frac{\mu(|x|) v^{q}}{(\alpha-v)^{\gamma}}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}
$$

have at least one positive classical radial solution for any $R<\alpha$. (iv) If, in addition, $\gamma<\frac{1}{2}$, then the Dirichlet problem

$$
\mathcal{M} v+\frac{\mu(|x|) v^{q}}{\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{\gamma}}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{B}(R), \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \mathcal{B}(R)
$$

has at least one positive classical radial solution for any $R \leq 1$.

## Sketch of the proof

We use the compact linear operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
S: C \rightarrow C, \quad S u(r)=\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{N-1} u(t) d t, \quad S u(0)=0 ; \\
K: C \rightarrow C, \quad K u(r)=\int_{r}^{R} u(t) d t, \quad(r \in[0, R])
\end{gathered}
$$

and the Nemytskii type operator

$$
N_{f}: B_{\alpha} \rightarrow C, \quad N_{f}(u)=f(\cdot,|u(\cdot)|)
$$

## Sketch of the proof

## Lemma 1

A function $u \in C$ is a solution of (3) if and only if it is a fixed point of the continuous nonlinear operator

$$
\mathcal{N}: B_{\alpha} \rightarrow C, \quad \mathcal{N}=K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ N_{f}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{N}$ is compact on $\bar{B}_{\rho}$ for all $\rho \in(0, \alpha)$.

## Sketch of the proof

## Proposition 1

Assume (4) and (5). Then there exists $0<\rho_{0}<\alpha$ such that

$$
d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{N}, B_{\rho}, 0\right]=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad 0<\rho \leq \rho_{0}
$$

## Sketch of the proof

Let $\mathcal{H}(\lambda, \cdot): B_{\alpha} \rightarrow C$ be the fixed point operator associated to $\left(r^{N-1} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1}[f(r,|u|)+\lambda]=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0=u(R),(7)$ where $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
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$$

This implies

$$
d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{H}(0, \cdot), B_{\rho}, 0\right]=d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{H}(1, \cdot), B_{\rho}, 0\right] .
$$

Besides

$$
u \neq \mathcal{H}(1, u) \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \bar{B}_{\rho},
$$

implying that

$$
d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{H}(1, \cdot), B_{\rho}, 0\right]=0 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{N}, B_{\rho}, 0\right] & =d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{H}(0, \cdot), B_{\rho}, 0\right] \\
& =d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{H}(1, \cdot), B_{\rho}, 0\right] \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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which implies that

$$
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Consequently,
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## Sketch of the proof

If $\alpha=a$, then in Proposition 2 one has that $R<1$. We consider now the case $R=1$, assuming that $f$ is sublinear with respect to $\phi$ at $a$.

## Proposition 3

Assume that $a=\alpha$ and $R=1$. If

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow a-} \frac{f(r, s)}{\phi(s)}=0 \quad \text { uniformly with } \quad r \in[0,1]
$$

then there exists $0<\delta_{1}<$ a such that

$$
d_{L S}\left[I-\mathcal{N}, B_{\delta}, 0\right]=1 \quad \text { for all } \quad \delta_{1} \leq \delta<a
$$

## A second existence result

Now, we study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-u^{\prime 2}}}\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1} \mu(r) p(u)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0=u(R) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the standing hypotheses:
$\left(H_{\mu}\right) \quad \mu:[0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\mu(r)>0$ for all $r>0$;
$\left(H_{p}\right) \quad p:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $p(0)=0$ and $p(s)>0$ for all $s>0$.

## A second existence result

## Theorem 2

Let $P$ be the primitive of $p$ with $P(0)=0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{N}<N \int_{0}^{R} r^{N-1} \mu(r) P(R-r) d r \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then problem (12) has at least one solution $u$ such that $u>0$ on $[0, R)$ and $u$ is strictly decreasing.

## Example

Given $m \geq 0$ and $q>0$, let us consider the Hénon type problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} v+\lambda|x|^{m} v^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that in this case inequality (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\lambda \frac{N R^{m+q+1} \Gamma(q+2) \Gamma(N+m)}{(q+1) \Gamma(N+m+q+2)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if (11) holds then problem (10) has at least one classical positive radial solution.

## Sketch of the proof
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We follow a VARIATIONAL APPROACH. The problem is
$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-u^{\prime 2}}}\right)^{\prime}+r^{N-1} \mu(r) p(u)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0=u(R),(12)$
Define $K_{0}:=\left\{v \in W^{1, \infty}:\left\|v^{\prime}\right\| \leq a, v(R)=0\right\}$. The associated energy functional $I: C \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is
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## Sketch of the proof

Step 1: Each critical point of $I$ is a solution of (12). Moreover, (12) has a solution which is a minimum point of $I$ on $C$.
Step 2: Assume ínf $_{K_{0}} I<0$. Then problem (12) has at least one solution $u$ such that $u>0$ on $[0, R)$ and $u$ is strictly decreasing.
Step 3: Consider the function $v_{R} \in K_{0}$ given by

$$
v_{R}(r)=R-r \quad \text { for all } \quad r \in[0, R] .
$$

Using (9), one gets

$$
I\left(v_{R}\right)=\frac{R^{N}}{N}-\int_{0}^{R} r^{N-1} \mu(r) P(R-r) d r<0
$$

## A multiplicity result for the Hénon problem

Given $m \geq 0$ and $q>1$, let us consider the Hénon type problem
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It is interesting to compare with the classical Hénon equation

$$
\Delta v+\lambda|x|^{m} v^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{R}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R} .
$$

If $N \geq 3$, it has a unique positive radial solution if $1<q<$ $\frac{N+2 m+2}{N-2}$ and no solution if $q \geq \frac{N+2 m+2}{N-2}$ (Pohozaev identity)
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## Theorem 3

Define $\mu_{M}:=\operatorname{máx}_{[0, R]}|\mu|$. There exists $\Lambda>2 N /\left(\mu_{M} R^{q+1}\right)$ such that problem (13) has zero, at least one or at least two positive solutions according to $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda), \lambda=\Lambda$ or $\lambda>\Lambda$. Moreover, $\Lambda$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $R$.
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$$
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## Step 4:

$$
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where $u_{0}$ is the solution found by the variational approach.
We conclude by a simple excision argument.
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