



Multiple positive radial solutions for a Dirichlet problem involving the mean curvature operator in Minkowski space [☆]

Cristian Bereanu ^{a,*}, Petru Jebelean ^b, Pedro J. Torres ^c

^a Institute of Mathematics “Simion Stoilow”, Romanian Academy, 21, Calea Griviței, RO-010702 Bucharest, Sector 1, Romania

^b Department of Mathematics, West University of Timișoara, 4, Blvd. V. Pârvan, RO-300223 Timișoara, Romania

^c Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

Received 2 April 2013; accepted 17 April 2013

Available online 23 April 2013

Communicated by H. Brezis

Abstract

We study the Dirichlet problem with mean curvature operator in Minkowski space

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}}\right) + \lambda[\mu(|x|)v^q] = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(R), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{B}(R),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $q > 1$, $R > 0$, $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{B}(R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$. Using upper and lower solutions and Leray–Schauder degree type arguments, we prove that there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that the problem has zero, at least one or at least two positive radial solutions according to $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\lambda = \Lambda$ or $\lambda > \Lambda$. Moreover, Λ is strictly decreasing with respect to R .

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dirichlet problem; Positive radial solutions; Mean curvature operator; Minkowski space; Leray–Schauder degree; Upper and lower solutions

[☆] The first author is partially supported by a GENIL grant YTR-2011-7 (Spain) and by the grant PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0157 (Romania). The second author is partially supported by the grant PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0157 (Romania). The third author is partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain, project MTM2011-23652.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: cristian.bereanu@imar.ro (C. Bereanu), jebelean@math.uvt.ro (P. Jebelean), ptorres@ugr.es (P.J. Torres).

1. Introduction

In this paper we present some non-existence, existence and multiplicity results for radial solutions of Dirichlet problems in a ball, associated to the mean curvature operator in the flat Minkowski space

$$\mathbb{L}^{N+1} := \{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

endowed with the Lorentzian metric

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (dx_j)^2 - (dt)^2,$$

where (x, t) are the canonical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} .

These problems are originated in the study – in differential geometry or special relativity, of maximal or constant mean curvature hypersurfaces, i.e., spacelike submanifolds of codimension one in \mathbb{L}^{N+1} , having the property that their mean extrinsic curvature (trace of its second fundamental form) is respectively zero or constant (see e.g. [1,9,21]). More specifically, let M be a spacelike hypersurface of codimension one in \mathbb{L}^{N+1} and assume that M is the graph of a smooth function $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with Ω a domain in $\{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^N$. The spacelike condition implies $|\nabla v| < 1$ and the mean curvature H satisfies the equation

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}} \right) = NH(x, v) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

If H is bounded, then it has been shown in [3] that the above equation has at least one solution $u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ with $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

In this paper we consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}} \right) + \lambda [\mu(|x|)v^q] = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(R), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{B}(R), \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $q > 1$, $R > 0$, $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{B}(R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$.

Using a variational type argument, in [8] it is shown that if

$$(q + 1)R^N < \lambda N \int_0^R r^{N-1} \mu(r)(R - r)^{q+1} dr,$$

then problem (1) has at least one positive classical radial solution. In particular, it is clear that the above condition is satisfied provided that λ is sufficiently large. On account of the main result of this paper (Theorem 1), this result becomes more precise. Namely, we prove (Corollary 1) that

- there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that (1) has zero, at least one or at least two positive classical radial solutions according to $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\lambda = \Lambda$ or $\lambda > \Lambda$. Moreover, Λ is strictly decreasing with respect to R .

Up to our knowledge, such bifurcation scheme is completely new and has not been described before in related problems. If we compare with known results for classical elliptic equations with convex-concave nonlinearities (see for instance [2]), the bifurcation diagram is reversed in some sense. In particular, the non-existence of solutions for small values of the bifurcation parameter is a striking effect and a genuine consequence of the Minkowski mean curvature operator.

In the case $\mu = 1$, it is interesting to compare (1) with the analogous problem in the Euclidean context:

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla v|^2}}\right) + \lambda v^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(R), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{B}(R), \quad (2)$$

with $1 < q < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$. The assumption on q is natural because, from [19] it follows that (2) has no nontrivial solutions if $q \geq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$. Notice also that, according to [13], all positive solutions of (2) have radial symmetry. Using critical point theory, in [11] it is proved that (2) has at least one positive radial solution for λ sufficiently large. On the other hand, in [10] it is shown that if $\lambda = 1$ then there exists a non-negative number R^* such that (2) has at least one positive radial solution for every $R > R^*$; this is done by means of a generalization of a Liouville type theorem concerning ground states due to Ni and Serrin. Also, notice that in [20] it has been shown that there exists $R_* > 0$ such that (2) has no positive radial solution when $R < R_*$. The case $q = 1$ is considered in [17] for λ in a left neighborhood of the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in H_0^1 . In dimension one for $R = 1$, in [14] it is given a complete description of the exact number of positive solutions of (2).

For $\mu(r) \equiv r^m$, the analogous semilinear problem in which the mean curvature operator is replaced by the Laplacian is

$$\Delta v + |x|^m v^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(1), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{B}(1),$$

and we point out that, as shown in [18], the above problem has a positive radial solution provided that $1 < q < \frac{N+2m+2}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 3, m > 0$.

Setting, as usual, $r = |x|$ and $v(x) = u(r)$, we reduce the Dirichlet problem (1) to the mixed boundary value problem

$$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}}\right)' + r^{N-1} [\lambda \mu(r) u^q] = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R). \quad (3)$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we associate to a larger class of problems of type (3) a fixed point operator and we prove a lower and upper solution result (Proposition 1). A Cauchy problem associated to the differential equation in (3) is studied in Section 3. The main result of this section (Proposition 2) will be employed to prove the monotonicity of Λ with respect to R . By means of a degree computation inspired in the proof of the cone compression–expansion theorem by Krasnosel'skii (see [15]), in Section 4 we show that the Leray–Schauder index in zero of the fixed point operator introduced in Section 2 is 1. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of the main result.

For other results concerning the Neumann problem associated to prescribed mean curvature operator in Minkowski space we refer the reader to [5–7,16].

2. A fixed point operator, lower and upper solutions and degree

In this section we consider problems of the type

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(u'))' + r^{N-1}g(r, u) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R), \tag{4}$$

where $N \geq 2$ is an integer, $R > 0$ and the following main hypotheses hold true:

- (H_ϕ) $\phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($0 < a < \infty$) is an odd, increasing homeomorphism;
- (H_g) $g : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

In the sequel, the space $C := C[0, R]$ will be endowed with the usual sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $C^1 := C^1[0, R]$ will be considered with the norm $\|u\| = \|u\|_\infty + \|u'\|_\infty$. Also, we shall use the closed subspace of C^1 defined by

$$C_M^1 = \{u \in C^1 : u'(0) = 0 = u(R)\}.$$

For $u_0 \in C_M^1$, we set $B(u_0, \rho) := \{u \in C_M^1 : \|u\| < \rho\}$ ($\rho > 0$) and, for shortness, we shall write B_ρ instead $B(0, \rho)$.

Recall, by a solution of (4) we mean a function $u \in C^1$ with $\|u'\|_\infty < a$, such that $r^{N-1}\phi(u') \in C^1$ and (4) is satisfied.

Setting

$$\sigma(r) := 1/r^{N-1} \quad (r > 0),$$

we introduce the linear operators

$$S : C \rightarrow C, \quad Su(r) = \sigma(r) \int_0^r t^{N-1}u(t) dt \quad (r \in (0, R]), \quad Su(0) = 0;$$

$$K : C \rightarrow C^1, \quad Ku(r) = \int_r^R u(t) dt \quad (r \in [0, R]).$$

It is easy to see that K is bounded and standard arguments, invoking the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, show that S is compact. This implies that the nonlinear operator $K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S : C \rightarrow C^1$ is compact. On the other hand, an easy computation shows that, for a given function $h \in C$, the mixed problem

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(u'))' + r^{N-1}h(r) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R),$$

has an unique solution u given by

$$u = K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ h.$$

Next, let N_g be the Nemytskii operator associated to g , i.e.,

$$N_g : C \rightarrow C, \quad N_g(u) = g(\cdot, u(\cdot)).$$

Noticing that N_g is continuous and takes bounded sets into bounded sets, we have the following fixed point reformulation of problem (4).

Lemma 1. *A function $u \in C_M^1$ is a solution of (4) if and only if it is a fixed point of the compact nonlinear operator*

$$\mathcal{N}_g : C_M^1 \rightarrow C_M^1, \quad \mathcal{N}_g = K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ N_g.$$

Moreover, any fixed point u of \mathcal{N}_g satisfies

$$\|u'\|_\infty < a, \quad \|u\|_\infty < aR \tag{5}$$

and

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_g, B_\rho, 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } \rho \geq a(R + 1).$$

Proof. Inequalities in (5) follow immediately from the fact that the range of ϕ^{-1} is $(-a, a)$. Next, consider the compact homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} : [0, 1] \times C_M^1 \rightarrow C_M^1, \quad \mathcal{H}(\tau, \cdot) = \tau \mathcal{N}_g(\cdot).$$

One has that

$$\mathcal{H}([0, 1] \times C_M^1) \subset B_{a(R+1)},$$

which together with the invariance under homotopy of the Leray–Schauder degree, imply that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{H}(0, \cdot), B_\rho, 0] = d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{H}(1, \cdot), B_\rho, 0],$$

for all $\rho \geq a(R + 1)$. The result follows from $\mathcal{H}(0, \cdot) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}(1, \cdot) = \mathcal{N}_g$ and $d_{LS}[I, B_\rho, 0] = 1$. \square

A lower solution of (4) is a function $\alpha \in C^1$ such that $\|\alpha'\|_\infty < a$, $r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha') \in C^1$ and

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r)))' + r^{N-1}g(r, \alpha(r)) \geq 0 \quad (r \in [0, R]), \quad \alpha(R) \leq 0.$$

Similarly, an upper solution of (4) is defined by reversing the above inequalities.

Proposition 1. *If (4) has a lower solution α and an upper solution β such that $\alpha(r) \leq \beta(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$, then (4) has a solution u such that $\alpha(r) \leq u(r) \leq \beta(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined by

$$\gamma(r, u) = \begin{cases} \alpha(r), & \text{if } u < \alpha(r), \\ u, & \text{if } \alpha(r) \leq u \leq \beta(r), \\ \beta(r), & \text{if } u > \beta(r), \end{cases}$$

and define $G : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $G(r, u) = g(r, \gamma(r, u))$. We consider the modified problem

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(u'))' + r^{N-1}[G(r, u) - u + \gamma(r, u)] = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R). \tag{6}$$

It follows from [4] that problem (6) has at least one solution.

We show that if u is a solution of (6), then $\alpha(r) \leq u(r) \leq \beta(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$. This will conclude the proof.

Suppose that there exists some $r_0 \in [0, R]$ such that

$$\max_{[0, R]}(\alpha - u) = \alpha(r_0) - u(r_0) > 0.$$

If $r_0 \in (0, R)$ then $\alpha'(r_0) = u'(r_0)$ and there is a sequence $\{r_k\}$ in $(0, r_0)$ converging to r_0 such that $\alpha'(r_k) - u'(r_k) \geq 0$. As ϕ is an increasing homeomorphism, this implies

$$r_k^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r_k)) - r_0^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r_0)) \geq r_k^{N-1}\phi(u'(r_k)) - r_0^{N-1}\phi(u'(r_0)),$$

implying that

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r)))'_{r=r_0} \leq (r^{N-1}\phi(u'(r)))'_{r=r_0}.$$

Hence, because α is a lower solution of (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r)))'_{r=r_0} &\leq (r^{N-1}\phi(u'(r)))'_{r=r_0} \\ &= r_0^{N-1}[-g(r_0, \alpha(r_0)) + u(r_0) - \alpha(r_0)] \\ &< r_0^{N-1}[-g(r_0, \alpha(r_0))] \\ &\leq (r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r)))'_{r=r_0}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. If $r_0 = R$ then $\alpha(R) - u(R) > 0$. But $u(R) = 0$ and $\alpha(R) \leq 0$, obtaining again a contradiction. Finally, if $r_0 = 0$ then there exists $r_1 \in (0, R]$ such that $\alpha(r) - u(r) > 0$ for all $r \in [0, r_1]$ and $\alpha'(r_1) - u'(r_1) \leq 0$. It follows that

$$r_1^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r_1)) \leq r_1^{N-1}\phi(u'(r_1)).$$

On the other hand, integrating (6) from 0 to r_1 and using that α is a lower solution of (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_1^{N-1}\phi(u'(r_1)) &= \int_0^{r_1} r^{N-1}[-g(r, \alpha(r)) + u(r) - \alpha(r)] dr \\ &< \int_0^{r_1} (r^{N-1}\phi(\alpha'(r)))' dr \\ &= r_1^{N-1}\phi(u'(r_1)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Consequently, $\alpha(r) \leq u(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$. Analogously, it follows that $u(r) \leq \beta(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2. Assume that (4) has a lower solution α and an upper solution β such that $\alpha(r) \leq \beta(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$, and let $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta} := \{u \in C_M^1 : \alpha \leq u \leq \beta\}$. Assume also that problem (4) has a unique solution u_0 in $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ and there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $\bar{B}(u_0, \rho_0) \subset \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then,

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_g, B(u_0, \rho), 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \rho \leq \rho_0,$$

where \mathcal{N}_g is the fixed point operator associated to (4).

Proof. Let \mathcal{N}_γ be the fixed point operator associated to the modified problem (6). From the proof of Proposition 1 it follows that any fixed point u of \mathcal{N}_γ is contained in $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ and u is also a fixed point of \mathcal{N}_g . It follows that u_0 is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{N}_γ . Then, from Lemma 1 and the excision property of the Leray–Schauder degree one has that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_\gamma, B(u_0, \rho), 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0.$$

The result follows from the fact that

$$\mathcal{N}_\gamma(u) = \mathcal{N}_g(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \bar{B}(u_0, \rho_0). \quad \square$$

3. A Cauchy problem

In this section we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{N-1}\phi(u'(r)))' + r^{N-1}[\lambda\mu(r)p(u(r))] &= 0 \quad (r \in [0, R]), \\ u(0) = \xi, \quad u'(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda, \xi > 0$ and

- $\mu : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
- $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets.

We denote $\mu_M := \max_{[0,R]} |\mu|$. In the proof of the next result we use some ideas from the last section in [12].

Proposition 2. Assume (H_ϕ) and that ϕ is of class C^1 , $\phi' > 0$. Then, problem (7) has an unique solution $u(\lambda, \xi; \cdot)$ and the mapping $(\lambda, \xi) \mapsto u(\lambda, \xi; \cdot)$ is continuous from $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ to C^1 .

Proof. We divide the proof in three steps.

1. *Existence.* Consider the nonlinear compact operator

$$C : C \rightarrow C, \quad Cu(r) \equiv \xi - \int_0^r \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t^{N-1}} \int_0^t s^{N-1} [\lambda \mu(s) p(u(s))] ds \right) dt.$$

One has that $u \in C$ is solution of (7) if and only if $u = Cu$. Using that $\|Cu\|_\infty < \xi + aR$ for all $u \in C$, it follows from Schauder’s fixed point theorem that C has at least one fixed point u which is a solution of (7). Notice that

$$\|u\|_\infty < \xi + aR. \tag{8}$$

2. *Uniqueness.* Let u and v be solutions of (7) and

$$\omega = \phi(u') - \phi(v'), \quad \psi = \lambda \mu [p(v) - p(u)].$$

It follows that, for all $r \in [0, R]$, one has

$$|\omega(r)| = \left| \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r t^{N-1} \psi(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{R}{N} \sup_{[0,r]} |\psi|.$$

On the other hand, from (8) we have

$$|\psi(r)| \leq M |u(r) - v(r)| \quad (r \in [0, R]),$$

where $M = \lambda L \mu_M$ and L is the Lipschitz constant of p corresponding to the interval $[-(\xi + aR), \xi + aR]$. Hence, using that $u(0) = v(0)$, we infer that for all $r \in [0, R]$,

$$|\psi(r)| \leq M \int_0^r |u'(t) - v'(t)| dt \leq \frac{M}{m} \int_0^r |\omega(t)| dt,$$

where m is the minimum of ϕ' on the interval $[0, \max\{\|u'\|_\infty, \|v'\|_\infty\}]$. It follows that

$$|\omega(r)| \leq \frac{MR}{mN} \int_0^r |\omega(t)| dt \quad (r \in [0, R]),$$

which together with Gronwall’s inequality imply $\omega = 0$, hence $u = v$.

3. *Continuous dependence on (λ, ξ) .* Let $u(\lambda, \xi; \cdot)$ be the unique solution of (7). For $l, h \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently small, we set

$$u := u(\lambda, \xi; \cdot), \quad v := u(\lambda + l, \xi + h; \cdot).$$

From (8) we may assume that

$$\|v\|_\infty < \xi + 1 + aR.$$

This and

$$-v'(r) = \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} [(\lambda + l)\mu(s)p(v(s))] ds \right) \tag{9}$$

imply that there exists $\delta > 0$, which is independent on l and h , such that

$$\|v'\|_\infty \leq \delta < a.$$

Let ω, ψ be as in Step 2. Using (9), for all $r \in [0, R]$, one has

$$|\omega(r)| = \left| \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r t^{N-1} [\psi(t) - l\mu(t)p(v(t))] dt \right| \leq \frac{R}{N} \left[\sup_{[0,r]} |\psi| + |l|c \right],$$

where $c = \mu_M \max_{[-(\xi+1+aR), \xi+1+aR]} |p|$. On the other hand, arguing as above we infer that for all $r \in [0, R]$,

$$|\psi(r)| \leq \frac{M}{k} \int_0^r |\omega(t)| dt + M|h|,$$

where $M = \lambda L \mu_M$ and L is the Lipschitz constant of p corresponding to the interval $[-(\xi + 1 + aR), \xi + 1 + aR]$, and k is the minimum of ϕ' on the interval $[0, \delta]$. It follows

$$|\omega(r)| \leq \frac{cR|l| + MR|h|}{N} + \frac{MR}{kN} \int_0^r |\omega(t)| dt \quad (r \in [0, R]),$$

which together with Gronwall’s inequality imply that

$$|\omega(r)| \leq \left(\frac{cR|l| + MR|h|}{N} \right) \exp\left(\frac{MR^2}{kN} \right) \quad (r \in [0, R]).$$

So, $\|u' - v'\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $l, h \rightarrow 0$, implying also that $\|u - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. \square

4. Non-negative nonlinearities, positive solutions and degree around zero

Here, we consider mixed boundary value problems of the type

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(u'))' + r^{N-1}f(r, u) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R), \tag{10}$$

where $N \geq 2$ is an integer, $R > 0$ under hypotheses (H_ϕ) and

(H_f) $f : [0, R] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and $f(r, s) > 0$ for all $(r, s) \in (0, R] \times (0, \infty)$.

We need the following elementary result, which is proved in [8].

Lemma 3. Assume (H_ϕ) , (H_f) and let u be a nontrivial solution of

$$(r^{N-1}\phi(u'))' + r^{N-1}f(r, |u|) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R). \tag{11}$$

Then $u > 0$ on $[0, R)$ and u is strictly decreasing.

Notice that, by virtue of Lemma 3, u is a nontrivial solution of the mixed boundary value problem (11) if and only if u is a positive solution of (10). In this case, u is strictly decreasing.

Let \mathcal{N}_f be the fixed point operator associated to (11). In the next lemma we assume that f is sublinear with respect to ϕ at zero.

Lemma 4. Assume (H_ϕ) , (H_f) ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(r, s)}{\phi(s)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } r \in [0, R] \tag{12}$$

and

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(\sigma s)}{\phi(s)} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \sigma > 0. \tag{13}$$

Then there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_f, B_\rho, 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \rho \leq \rho_0.$$

Proof. Using (13) we can find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$R\varepsilon/N < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(s/R)}{\phi(s)}. \tag{14}$$

From (12) it follows that there exists $s_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$f(r, s) \leq \varepsilon\phi(s) \quad \text{for all } (r, s) \in [0, R] \times [0, s_\varepsilon]. \tag{15}$$

Let us consider the compact homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} : [0, 1] \times C_M^1 \rightarrow C_M^1, \quad \mathcal{H}(\tau, u) = \tau \mathcal{N}_f(u).$$

We will show that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$u \neq \mathcal{H}(\tau, u) \quad \text{for all } (\tau, u) \in [0, 1] \times (\bar{B}_{\rho_0} \setminus \{0\}). \tag{16}$$

By contradiction, assume that one has

$$u_k = \tau_k \mathcal{N}_f(u_k)$$

with $\tau_k \in [0, 1]$, $u_k \in C_M^1 \setminus \{0\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|u_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Using Lemma 3 it follows that u_k are strictly decreasing functions which are also strictly positive on $[0, R]$. Passing if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that $\|u_k\| \leq s_\varepsilon$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and then using (15) it follows

$$f(r, u_k(r)) \leq \varepsilon \phi(\|u_k\|_\infty) \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R], k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_\infty &\leq \int_0^R \phi^{-1} \left(\sigma(t) \int_0^t r^{N-1} f(r, u_k(r)) dr \right) dt \\ &\leq R \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon R}{N} \phi(\|u_k\|_\infty) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\frac{\phi(\frac{1}{R} \|u_k\|_\infty)}{\phi(\|u_k\|_\infty)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon R}{N} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

which together with $\|u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ contradict (14). Hence, (16) holds true. So, for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$ one has

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{H}(1, \cdot), B_\rho, 0] = d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{H}(0, \cdot), B_\rho, 0],$$

implying that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_f, B_\rho, 0] = d_{LS}[I, B_\rho, 0] = 1,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

5. Main result

Now, we come to study the one-parameter problem (3) under the hypothesis

(H) $N \geq 2$ is an integer, $R > 0$, $q > 1$ and $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\mu(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$.

As the results in the previous sections apply with

$$\phi(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \quad (s \in (-1, 1)),$$

note that $u \in C^1$ is a positive solution of (3) if and only if u is a nontrivial solution of

$$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + r^{N-1} [\lambda \mu(r) |u|^q] = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(R); \tag{17}$$

in this case, u is strictly decreasing.

The main result of the paper is the following one. Notice that $\mu_M = \max_{[0, R]} \mu$.

Theorem 1. *Under hypothesis (H), there exists $\Lambda > 2N/(\mu_M R^{q+1})$ such that problem (3) has zero, at least one or at least two positive solutions according to $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\lambda = \Lambda$ or $\lambda > \Lambda$. Moreover, Λ is strictly decreasing with respect to R .*

Proof. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} S_j &:= \{ \lambda > 0: (3) \text{ has at least } j \text{ positive solutions} \} \\ &= \{ \lambda > 0: (17) \text{ has at least } j \text{ non-trivial solutions} \} \quad (j = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

and divide the proof in three steps.

1. *Finding Λ .* Let $\lambda > 0$ and u be a positive solution of (3). Integrating (3) on $[0, r]$, it follows

$$-r^{N-1} \frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-u'^2(r)}} = \lambda \int_0^r t^{N-1} \mu(t) u^q(t) dt \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R].$$

Using that u is strictly decreasing on $[0, R]$, we deduce that, for all $r \in [0, R]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} -r^{N-1} u'(r) &\leq -r^{N-1} \frac{u'(r)}{\sqrt{1-u'^2(r)}} \\ &\leq \lambda u^q(0) \mu_M r^N / N \end{aligned}$$

and integrating over $[0, R]$, we obtain

$$u(0) \leq \lambda u^q(0) \mu_M R^2 / (2N). \tag{18}$$

This, together with $0 < u(0) < R$ (see (5)) and $q > 1$ imply

$$\lambda > 2N/(\mu_M R^{q+1}).$$

From [8, Corollary 2] we know that (3) has a least one positive solution for $\lambda > 0$, sufficiently large. In particular, $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and we can define

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(R) := \inf S_1.$$

Clearly, we have $\Lambda \geq 2N/(\mu_M R^{q+1})$. We claim that $\Lambda \in S_1$. Indeed, let $\{\lambda_k\} \subset S_1$ be a sequence converging to Λ , and $u_k \in C_M^1$ be positive on $[0, R)$ such that

$$u_k = K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ (\lambda_k \mu u_k^q).$$

Then, from (5) and the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we infer that there exists $u \in C$ such that, passing eventually to a subsequence, $\{u_k\}$ converges to u in C . So, it follows that $u \geq 0$ and

$$u = K \circ \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ (\Lambda \mu u^q).$$

Using (18) we deduce that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $u_k(0) > c_1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This ensures that $u(0) \geq c_1$, hence $u > 0$ on $[0, R)$ (by Lemma 3) and the claim is proved. Also, it is clear that $\Lambda > 2N/(\mu_M R^{q+1})$.

Next, let $\lambda_0 > \Lambda$ be arbitrarily chosen. We shall apply Proposition 1 to show that $\lambda_0 \in S_1$. In this view, let u_1 be a positive solution for (3) corresponding to $\lambda = \Lambda$. It is easy to see that u_1 is a lower solution for (17) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$. To construct an upper solution, let $H > 0$, $\tilde{R} > R$ and consider the mixed problem

$$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + r^{N-1} H = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(\tilde{R}). \tag{19}$$

Then, by a simple integration, one has that the unique (positive) solution of (19) is given by

$$u(r) = \frac{N}{H} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{H^2}{N^2} \tilde{R}^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{H^2}{N^2} r^2} \right] \quad (r \in [0, \tilde{R}]).$$

For fixed $\lambda_2 > \lambda_0$, let u_2 be the solution of (19) corresponding to $H = \lambda_2 \mu_M \tilde{R}^q$. Using that $u_2(R) > 0$ and

$$\lambda_0 \mu(r) u_2^q(r) \leq \lambda_2 \mu_M \tilde{R}^q \quad (r \in [0, R]),$$

it follows that u_2 is an upper solution for (17) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Since

$$u_2(R) = N \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\lambda_2 \mu_M)^2 \tilde{R}^{2q}} + \frac{\tilde{R}^2}{N^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{(\lambda_2 \mu_M)^2 \tilde{R}^{2q}} + \frac{R^2}{N^2}} \right],$$

we can find \tilde{R} sufficiently large, such that $u_1(0) < u_2(R)$. Then, taking into account that u_1, u_2 are strictly decreasing, we infer that $u_1 < u_2$ on $[0, R]$. By virtue of Proposition 1, we get $\lambda_0 \in S_1$. Therefore, we have

$$S_1 = [\Lambda, \infty).$$

2. *Multiplicity.* We use some ideas from the proof of Theorem 3.10 in [2]. Let $\lambda_0 > \Lambda$. We shall apply Lemmas 1, 2, 4 to show that $\lambda_0 \in S_2$. With this aim, let u_1, u_2 be constructed as in Step 1 and u_0 be a solution of (17) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$ such that $u_1 \leq u_0 \leq u_2$, i.e., $u_0 \in \Omega_{u_1, u_2}$ (see Lemma 2).

First, we claim that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\bar{B}(u_0, \varepsilon) \subset \Omega_{u_1, u_2}$. Notice that, for all $r \in [0, R]$, one has

$$u_2(r) = \int_r^{\tilde{R}} \phi^{-1} \left(\sigma(t) \int_0^t s^{N-1} [\lambda_2 \mu_M \tilde{R}^q] ds \right) dt,$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(r) &> \int_r^R \phi^{-1} \left(\sigma(t) \int_0^t s^{N-1} [\lambda_2 \mu(s) u_2^q(s)] ds \right) dt \\ &\geq \int_r^R \phi^{-1} \left(\sigma(t) \int_0^t s^{N-1} [\lambda_0 \mu(s) u_0^q(s)] ds \right) dt \\ &= u_0(r), \end{aligned}$$

so, there exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $v \leq u_2$ for all $v \in \bar{B}(u_0, \varepsilon_2)$. Similar arguments show that $u_1 < u_0$ on $[0, R/2]$. Thus, we can find $\varepsilon'_1 > 0$ so that

$$v \in C_M^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v - u_0\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon'_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v \geq u_1 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, R/2]. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$-u'_0 = \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ [\lambda_0 \mu u_0^q] \quad \text{and} \quad -u'_1 = \phi^{-1} \circ S \circ [\Lambda \mu u_1^q],$$

yielding $u'_0 < u'_1$ on $[R/2, R]$. So, we can find $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon'_1)$ sufficiently small, such that $v' < u'_1$ on $[R/2, R]$ whenever $v \in \bar{B}(u_0, \varepsilon_1)$. Then, using $u_0(R) = 0 = v(R)$, we deduce that $v > u_1$ on $[R/2, R]$, for all $v \in \bar{B}(u_0, \varepsilon_1)$. Now, on account of (20), the claim follows with any $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\})$.

Next, if (17) has a second solution contained in Ω_{u_1, u_2} , this solution is nontrivial and the proof of the multiplicity part is completed. If not, using Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}, B(u_0, \rho), 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \rho \leq \varepsilon,$$

where \mathcal{N}_{λ_0} is the fixed point operator associated to (17) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$. On the other hand, from Lemma 1 one has

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}, B_\rho, 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } \rho \geq R + 1,$$

and from Lemma 4 we have

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}, B_\rho, 0] = 1 \quad \text{for all } \rho \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

Now, consider $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$ sufficiently small and $\rho_3 \geq R + 1$ such that $\overline{B}(u_0, \rho_1) \cap \overline{B}_{\rho_2} = \emptyset$ and $\overline{B}(u_0, \rho_1) \cup \overline{B}_{\rho_2} \subset B_{\rho_3}$. Then, from the additivity-excision property of the Leray–Schauder degree it follows that

$$d_{LS}[I - \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}, B_{\rho_3} \setminus [\overline{B}(u_0, \rho_1) \cup \overline{B}_{\rho_2}], 0] = -1,$$

which, together with the existence property of the Leray–Schauder degree, imply that \mathcal{N}_{λ_0} has a fixed point $\tilde{u}_0 \in B_{\rho_3} \setminus [\overline{B}(u_0, \rho_1) \cup \overline{B}_{\rho_2}]$. We infer that (3) has a second positive solution.

3. *Monotonicity of Λ .* Let u_0 be a nontrivial solution of (17) with $\lambda = \lambda_0 := \Lambda(R_0)$ and $R = R_0$. We fix $R > R_0$. Then, setting $\xi_0 = u_0(0)$, from Proposition 2 with $p(s) = |s|^q$, one has that $u(\lambda_0, \xi_0; \cdot)|_{[0, R_0]} = u_0$. Since $u(\lambda_0, \xi_0; \cdot)$ is strictly decreasing on $[0, R]$ (this is easily seen) and $u(\lambda_0, \xi_0; R_0) = 0$, it follows that $u(\lambda_0, \xi_0; R) < 0$. Using again Proposition 2, we infer that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u(\lambda, \xi_0; R) < 0$ for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon]$; in particular, $u(\lambda, \xi_0; \cdot)$ is a lower solution of (17). Arguing exactly as in Step 1, we can show that (17) has an upper solution β_λ such that $u(\lambda, \xi_0, \cdot) \leq \beta_\lambda$ on $[0, R]$. Then, applying Proposition 1 we deduce that (17) has at least one nonzero solution which is also a strictly positive solution of (3). Consequently, $\Lambda(R_0) > \Lambda(R)$ and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 1. *Under hypothesis (H), there exists $\Lambda > 2N/(\mu_M R^{q+1})$ such that problem (1) has zero, at least one or at least two positive classical radial solutions according to $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\lambda = \Lambda$ or $\lambda > \Lambda$. Also, Λ is strictly decreasing with respect to R .*

Example 1. If $N \geq 2$ is an integer and $q > 1, m \geq 0, R > 0$ are real numbers, then there exists $\Lambda > 2N/R^{m+q+1}$ such that the problem

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}}\right) + \lambda|x|^m v^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(R), \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{B}(R),$$

has zero, at least one or at least two positive classical radial solutions according to $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, $\lambda = \Lambda$ or $\lambda > \Lambda$. In addition, Λ is strictly decreasing with respect to R .

Remark 1. The reader will emphasize that, excepting the part concerning the monotonicity of Λ as function of R , the statements of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 still remain true if the continuous weight function μ is defined only on $[0, R]$ instead of $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, R]$.

References

- [1] L.J. Alías, B. Palmer, On the Gaussian curvature of maximal surfaces and the Calabi–Bernstein theorem, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33 (2001) 454–458.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral, Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 137 (1996) 219–242.
- [3] R. Bartnik, L. Simon, Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 87 (1982–1983) 131–152.
- [4] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin, Radial solutions for some nonlinear problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (2009) 161–169.
- [5] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin, Radial solutions for Neumann problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 283 (2010) 379–391.
- [6] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin, Multiple solutions for Neumann and periodic problems with singular ϕ -Laplacian, *J. Funct. Anal.* 261 (2011) 3226–3246.
- [7] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin, Radial solutions of Neumann problems involving mean extrinsic curvature and periodic nonlinearities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 46 (2013) 113–122.
- [8] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, P.J. Torres, Positive radial solutions for Dirichlet problems with mean curvature operators in Minkowski space, *J. Funct. Anal.* 264 (2013) 270–287.
- [9] S.-Y. Cheng, S.-T. Yau, Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in the Lorentz–Minkowski spaces, *Ann. of Math.* 104 (1976) 407–419.
- [10] P. Clément, R. Manásevich, E. Mitidieri, On a modified capillary equation, *J. Differential Equations* 124 (1996) 343–358.
- [11] C.V. Coffman, W.K. Ziemer, A prescribed mean curvature problem on domains without radial symmetry, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 22 (1991) 982–990.
- [12] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli, J. Serrin, Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Adv. Math.* 118 (1996) 177–243.
- [13] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 68 (1979) 209–243.
- [14] P. Habets, P. Omari, Multiple positive solutions of a one-dimensional prescribed mean curvature problem, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 9 (2007) 701–730.
- [15] M.A. Krasnosel’skii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [16] R. López, Stationary surfaces in Lorentz–Minkowski space, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 138 (2008) 1067–1096.
- [17] M. Nakao, A bifurcation problem for a quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 14 (1990) 251–262.
- [18] W.M. Ni, A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 31 (1982) 801–807.
- [19] W.M. Ni, J. Serrin, Non-existence theorems for quasilinear partial differential equations, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Suppl.* 8 (1985) 171–185.
- [20] J. Serrin, Positive solutions of a prescribed mean curvature problem, in: *Calculus of Variations and Differential Equations*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1340, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [21] A.E. Treibergs, Entire spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space, *Invent. Math.* 66 (1982) 39–56.