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#### Abstract

We continue the study of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli $\Phi_{X}(\delta)$ and $\Phi_{X}^{S}(\delta)$ initiated in [M. Chica, V. Kadets, M. Martín, S. Moreno-Pulido, and F. Rambla-Barreno. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 412 (2014), no. 2, 697-719]. In particular, for a uniformly non-square Banach space $X$ we present a simple proof of the previously known fact that $\Phi_{X}(\delta)<\sqrt{2 \delta}$ for $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$ and extend this result to the whole range of $\delta \in(0,2)$. We demonstrate also the continuity of $\Phi_{X}$ with respect to $X$.


## 1. Introduction

In 1970, B. Bollobás [2] gave a refinement of the classical Bishop-Phelps theorem [1] on the density of the set of norm-attaining functionals in the dual of every Banach space. Such a refinement allows to approximate at the same time a functional and a vector in which it almost attains the norm by a norm-attaining functional and a point in which it attains the norm, respectively. This paper deals with two moduli, recently introduced in [5], which measure what is the best possible Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem in a given space. Let us give the necessary definitions. Given a real or complex Banach space $X$, we write $X^{*}$ to denote the topological dual of $X$. We write $B_{X}$ and $S_{X}$ to denote respectively the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of the space. We consider the set in $X \times X^{*}$ given by

$$
\Pi(X):=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in S_{X} \times S_{X^{*}}: \operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x)=1\right\} .
$$

Definition 1.1 (Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli, [5]).
Let $X$ be a Banach space. The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás modulus of $X$ is the function $\Phi_{X}:(0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ such that given $\delta \in(0,2), \Phi_{X}(\delta)$ is the infimum of those $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying that for every $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in B_{X} \times B_{X^{*}}$ with $\operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x)>1-\delta$, there is $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \Pi(X)$ with $\|x-y\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left\|x^{*}-y^{*}\right\|<\varepsilon$.

The spherical Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás modulus of $X$ is the function $\Phi_{X}^{S}:(0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that given $\delta \in(0,2), \Phi_{X}^{S}(\delta)$ is the infimum of those $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying that for every $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in S_{X} \times S_{X^{*}}$ with $\operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x)>1-\delta$, there is $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \Pi(X)$ with $\|x-y\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left\|x^{*}-y^{*}\right\|<\varepsilon$.

Many properties of these moduli have been established in the recent papers [4, 5], to which we refer for background. It is clear that $\Phi_{X}(\delta) \geqslant \Phi_{X}^{S}(\delta)$ for every $\delta \in(0,2)$ and every Banach space $X$, and this inequality can be strict (for instance, this happens when $X$ is a Hilbert space, see [5, §4]). One of the main results of [5] states that $\Phi_{X}(\delta) \leqslant \sqrt{2 \delta}$ for every $\delta \in(0,2)$ and every Banach space $X$ [5, Theorem 2.1] and that this inequality is sharp [5, §4]: for instance, spaces of continuous functions or spaces of integrable functions satisfy $\Phi_{X}(\delta)=\sqrt{2 \delta}$ for every $\delta \in(0,2)$. Conversely, it is shown in [5, §5] and in [4, Corollary 3.4] that if $\Phi_{X}(\delta)=\sqrt{2 \delta}$ for some $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$, then $X$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ (i.e. the space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ endowed with the maximum norm). The proofs of this fact are involved and cannot be extended to larger values of $\delta$. The first aim of this paper is to extend this result to all values of $\delta \in(0,2)$ with a very simple proof. To do so, we provide a new sufficient geometric condition for a Banach space to contain almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$. This is the content of section 2. Finally, section 3 is devoted to prove the continuity of the two Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás

[^0]moduli in the set of all equivalent norms of a given Banach space (which can be endowed naturally with the structure of metric space).

## 2. Banach spaces with the greatest possible modulus

Our aim now is to show that a Banach space $X$ with maximum value of $\Phi_{X}(\delta)$ for some $\delta \in(0,2)$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$. For $\delta<1 / 2$ this was proved, with a much more complicated proof, in [5, Theorem 5.8] and [4, Corollary 3.4] (in last reference, the result is a consequence of a quantitative approach). Let us first recall the following definition.
Definition 2.1. Let $X, E$ be Banach spaces. $X$ contains almost isometric copies of $E$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a subspace $E_{\varepsilon} \subset X$ and a bijective linear operator $T: E \longrightarrow E_{\varepsilon}$ with $\|T\|<1+\varepsilon$ and $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|<1+\varepsilon$.

The unit ball of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ is the square with the vertexes $u=(1,1), v=(1,-1),-u$ and $-v$, and the vertexes satisfy $\|u-v\|=\|u+v\|=2$. The following easy result, which we state here for future use, is well known and follows from the above description of the shape of the unit ball of $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space.
(a) $X$ contains the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ isometrically if and only if there are elements $u, v \in S_{E}$ such that $\|u-v\|=\|u+v\|=2$.
(b) $X$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ if and only if there are elements $u_{n}, v_{n} \in$ $X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim \left\|u_{n}\right\|=\lim \left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$ and $\liminf \left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2, \liminf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$.
(c) $X$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ if and only if $X^{*}$ does (see [7, Corollary 2], for instance).

Our promised result can be stated as follows.
Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose that there is $\delta \in(0,2)$ satisfying $\Phi_{X}(\delta)=\sqrt{2 \delta}$. Then, $X$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$.

We need a couple of preliminary results. The first one is a sufficient condition for a Banach space to contains almost-isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$ which can be of independent interest.
Lemma 2.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose that there exist $k \in(0,1)$ and two sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $S_{X}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ in $X \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying

$$
\limsup \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant k \quad \text { and } \quad \liminf \left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\| \geqslant 2 k
$$

Then $X$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$.
We will use the following result which is surely well known, but we include an elementary proof as we have not found any explicit reference.
Remark 2.5. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $k \in(0,1)$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right)$ sequences of elements of $X$ such that

$$
\limsup \left\|u_{n}\right\| \leqslant 1, \quad \lim \sup \left\|v_{n}\right\| \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \liminf \left\|k u_{n}+(1-k) v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 1
$$

Then $\lim \inf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$.
Proof. Write $m_{n}=\left\|(1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}\right\|$, take $f_{n} \in S_{X^{*}}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left((1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}\right)=\left\|(1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}\right\|=m_{n}
$$

and observe that $\limsup \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant 1$ and $\limsup \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) \leqslant 1$. As

$$
m_{n}=(1-k) \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)+k \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{1-k}\left(m_{n}-k \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{k}\left(m_{n}-(1-k) \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Now,

$$
\lim \inf \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{1-k}\left(\lim \inf m_{n}-k \lim \sup \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 1
$$

and

$$
\liminf \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{k}\left(\liminf m_{n}-(1-k) \limsup \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 1
$$

Finally,

$$
\lim \inf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant \liminf \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}+v_{n}\right) \geqslant \liminf \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)+\lim \inf \operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) \geqslant 2
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Up to subsequences, we may and do suppose that

$$
\lim \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant k, \quad \lim \left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\| \geqslant 2 k, \quad \text { and } \quad \exists \lim \left\|y_{n}\right\| .
$$

We first observe that since $\left(x_{n}\right)$ lies in $S_{X}$, using the triangle inequality we have that

$$
\lim \left|1-\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right|=\left|1-\lim \left\|y_{n}\right\|\right| \leqslant k
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 k & \leqslant \lim \left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|=\lim \frac{1}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\| \| y_{n}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& =\lim \frac{1}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\| \| y_{n}\left\|\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\left(1-\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right) y_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \lim \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\lim \left|1-\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right| \leqslant k+k=2 k
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, all the inequalities above are in fact equalities and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=k, \quad \lim \left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|=2 k, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|1-\lim \left\|y_{n}\right\|\right|=k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, it is enough to find two sequences $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right)$ in $X$ such that $\lim \left\|u_{n}\right\|=1, \lim \left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$, $\liminf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$ and $\liminf \left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$. We distinguish two cases depending on the values of $\lim \left\|y_{n}\right\|$. Suppose first that $\lim \left\|y_{n}\right\|=1-k$ and take

$$
u_{n}=\frac{y_{n}}{1-k} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n}=\frac{x_{n}-y_{n}}{k} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

which satisfy that $\lim \left\|u_{n}\right\|=\lim \left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. We have $(1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}=x_{n} \in S_{X}$, and we may apply Remark 2.5 to get that $\lim \inf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| & =\frac{1}{k}\left\|k u_{n}-\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{k}\left\|k u_{n}-x_{n}+(1-k) u_{n}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{k}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{k}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{1-k}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{k}\left(\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}-x_{n}\right\|-\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{1-k}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|\right) \longrightarrow 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, liminf $\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$. This finishes the proof in this case.
If, otherwise, $\lim \left\|y_{n}\right\|=1+k$, take

$$
u_{n}=\frac{y_{n}}{1+k} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n}=\frac{y_{n}-x_{n}}{k} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

which satisfy that $\lim \left\|u_{n}\right\|=\lim \left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| & =\frac{1}{k}\left\|k \frac{y_{n}}{1+k}+x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{k}\left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{1+k}\right\| \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{k}\left(\left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|-\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{1+k}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|\right) \longrightarrow 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\lim \inf \left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}\right\| & =\left\|(1-k) u_{n}+y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\left\|(1-k) u_{n}+(1+k) u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|2 u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2\left\|u_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\lim \inf \left\|(1-k) u_{n}+k v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 1$ and we may apply Remark 2.5 to get that $\lim \inf \left\|u_{n}+v_{n}\right\| \geqslant 2$.
Observe that if the sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ in Lemma 2.4 are constant, what we get (with much easier proof) is an isometric copy of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$. Let us state this result.
Corollary 2.6. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose that there are $x \in S_{X}, y \in X \backslash\{0\}$ and $k \in(0,1)$ satisfying

$$
\|x-y\|=k \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|x-\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\|=2 k .
$$

Then the real linear span of $\{x, y\}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$.
We would like to mention that both Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.6 are false for $k=0$ and $k=1$. The case of $k=0$ is immediate, as in every Banach space we may find unit vectors $x, y$ satisfying the requirements of the corollary, and the corresponding constant sequences satisfy the requirements of the lemma. The case of $k=1$ in the corollary cannot happen: if $X$ is a Banach space, $x \in S_{X}$ and $y \in X \backslash\{0\}$ satisfy $\|x-y\|=1$ and $\left\|x-\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\|=2$, it follows that $\mid 1-\|y\| \|=1$ (see eq. (1)), so $\|y\|=2$; but then

$$
4=\|2 x-y\| \leqslant\|x\|+\|x-y\| \leqslant 2
$$

a contradiction. Finally, hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 for $k=1$ are satisfied in every Banach space $X$. Indeed, fix $x \in S_{X}$ and consider $x_{n}=x \in S_{X}$ and $y_{n}=\frac{-1}{n} x \in X \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=1+\frac{1}{n}$ and $\left\|x_{n}-\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}\right\|=\|2 x\|=2$.

For the proof of Theorem 2.3 we will also need the following result, which is a particular case of [8, Corollary 2.2], which we state for the sake of clearness.

Lemma 2.7 ([8, Corollary 2.2]). Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose that $x^{*} \in S_{X^{*}}, \delta>0$ and $x \in B_{X}$ are such that

$$
\operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x) \geqslant 1-\delta
$$

Then, for every $k \in(0,1)$ there exist $y^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $y \in B_{X}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re} y^{*}(y)=\left\|y^{*}\right\|, \quad\|x-y\| \leqslant \frac{\delta}{k}, \quad\left\|x^{*}-y^{*}\right\| \leqslant k
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Consider a strictly increasing sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ of positive numbers with $\lim \rho_{n}=1$ and such that $\frac{\sqrt{2 \delta}}{2 \rho_{n}}<1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By [5, Proposition 3.8] or [4, Theorem 2.1], we have that $\Phi_{X}^{S}(\delta)=\sqrt{2 \delta}$, so for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $x_{n} \in S_{X}$ and $x_{n}^{*} \in S_{X^{*}}$ satisfying that

$$
\operatorname{Re} x_{n}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right) \geqslant 1-\delta
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|,\left\|x_{n}^{*}-z^{*}\right\|\right\} \geqslant \sqrt{2 \delta} \rho_{n+1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\left(z, z^{*}\right) \in \Pi(X)$. Next, we apply Lemma 2.7 with $x_{n}^{*} \in S_{X^{*}}, x_{n} \in B_{X}$, and $k_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{2 \delta}}{2 \rho_{n}} \in(0,1)$ to obtain $y_{n}^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $y_{n} \in S_{X}$ satisfying

$$
\left\|y_{n}^{*}\right\|=\operatorname{Re} y_{n}^{*}\left(y_{n}\right), \quad\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\delta}{k_{n}}=\sqrt{2 \delta} \rho_{n}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|x_{n}^{*}-y_{n}^{*}\right\| \leqslant k_{n}=\frac{\sqrt{2 \delta}}{2 \rho_{n}}
$$

As $k_{n}<1$ and $\left\|x_{n}^{*}-y_{n}^{*}\right\| \leqslant k_{n}$, we get that $y_{n}^{*} \neq 0$ and so, $\left(y_{n}, \frac{y_{n}^{*}}{\left\|y_{n}^{*}\right\|}\right) \in \Pi(X)$. As we have that $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{2 \delta} \rho_{n}<\sqrt{2 \delta} \rho_{n+1}$, we get from equation (2) that

$$
\left\|x_{n}^{*}-\frac{y_{n}^{*}}{\left\|y_{n}^{*}\right\|}\right\| \geqslant \sqrt{2 \delta} \rho_{n+1}
$$

Summarizing, we have found two sequences $\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)$ in $S_{X^{*}}$ and $\left(y_{n}^{*}\right) \in X^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\limsup \left\|x_{n}^{*}-y_{n}^{*}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2 \delta}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \liminf \left\|x_{n}^{*}-\frac{y_{n}^{*}}{\left\|y_{n}^{*}\right\|}\right\| \geqslant \sqrt{2 \delta}
$$

Now, Lemma 2.4 gives that $X^{*}$ contains almost isometric copies of the real space $\ell_{\infty}^{(2)}$, and so does $X$ (Lemma 2.2), as desired.

## 3. Continuity of the moduli

Our next goal is to show that the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás modulus of a Banach space is continuous in the set of all equivalent norms on a given Banach space endowed with a metric introduced in $[3, \S 18]$.

To do so we need to introduce some notation. Given a Banach space $X$, we denote $\mathcal{E}(X)$ the set of all equivalent norms to the original norm in $X . \mathcal{E}(X)$ is a metric space when endowed with the following distance:

$$
d(p, q)=\log \left(\min \left\{k \geqslant 1: \frac{1}{k} p \leqslant q \leqslant k p\right\}\right) \quad(p, q \in \mathcal{E}(X))
$$

For $p_{0} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ and $k>1$ we consider the open set given by $G\left(p_{0}, k\right)=\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}(X): d\left(p, p_{0}\right)<\log k\right\}$. Given $p \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ we also use $p$ to denote the dual norm in $X^{*}$ and we use the notation

$$
\Pi_{p}(X)=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}: p(x)=p\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}(x)=1\right\}
$$

For $\delta \in(0,2)$, we write $\Phi_{(X, p)}$ and $\Phi_{(X, p)}^{S}$ to denote respectively the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás modulus and the spherical Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás modulus of $X$ when it is endowed with the norm $p$. Besides, we consider the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{p}(\delta)=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}: p(x) \leqslant 1, p\left(x^{*}\right) \leqslant 1, \operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x)>1-\delta\right\} \\
& A_{p}^{S}(\delta)=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}: p(x)=1, p\left(x^{*}\right)=1, \operatorname{Re} x^{*}(x)>1-\delta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we write $d_{p}(A, B)$ to denote the Hausdorff distance between $A, B \subset X \times X^{*}$ associated to the $\ell_{\infty}$-distance $d_{\infty, p}$ in $X \times X^{*}$ when $X$ and $X^{*}$ are endowed with the norm $p$. That is, for $\left(x, x^{*}\right),\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in$ $X \times X^{*}$, we write

$$
d_{\infty, p}\left(\left(x, x^{*}\right),\left(y, y^{*}\right)\right)=\max \left\{p(x-y), p\left(x^{*}-y^{*}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
d_{p}(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in A} \inf _{b \in B} d_{\infty, p}(a, b), \sup _{b \in B} \inf _{a \in A} d_{\infty, p}(a, b)\right\}
$$

Observe that with this notation one has that

$$
\Phi_{(X, p)}(\delta)=d_{p}\left(A_{p}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{(X, p)}^{S}(\delta)=d_{p}\left(A_{p}^{S}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\delta \in(0,2)$. The functions

$$
\Phi_{(X, \cdot)}(\delta): \mathcal{E}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{(X, \cdot)}^{S}(\delta): \mathcal{E}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

are continuous.
To prove this result we need two lemmas which may be of independent interest.

Lemma 3.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\delta \in(0,2), p_{0} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, and $k>1$. Let $\eta>0$ and $p, q \in G\left(p_{0}, k\right)$ satisfying $d(p, q)<\log (1+\eta)$.
Case 1: If $\delta \in(0,1]$, then

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+\frac{2 k \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}
$$

Case 2: If $\delta \in(1,2)$ and $(\delta-1)(1+\eta)^{2}<1$, then

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+2 k \frac{\eta(2+\eta)}{(1+\eta)^{2}}
$$

Proof. We suppose first that $\delta \in(0,1]$ and we write $\delta_{0}=1-\frac{1-\delta}{(1+\eta)^{2}}$. Given $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in A_{p}(\delta)$, define $x_{0}=\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} x$ and $x_{0}^{*}=\frac{p\left(x^{*}\right)}{q\left(x^{*}\right)} x^{*}$ which obviously satisfy $q\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant 1$ and $q\left(x_{0}^{*}\right) \leqslant 1$. Besides, it is immediate to check that

$$
x_{0}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)=x^{*}(x) \frac{p(x) p\left(x^{*}\right)}{q(x) q\left(x^{*}\right)} \geqslant \frac{x^{*}(x)}{(1+\eta)^{2}}>\frac{1-\delta}{(1+\eta)^{2}}=1-\delta_{0}
$$

and so $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{*}\right) \in A_{q}\left(\delta_{0}\right)$. Observe that if $\delta<1$ then $\delta_{0}>\delta$ and we can use Case 1 of Lemma 3.3 in [5] for $X$ endowed with the norm $q$ to obtain $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in A_{q}(\delta)$ satisfying

$$
\max \left\{q\left(x_{0}-y\right), q\left(x_{0}^{*}-y^{*}\right)\right\}<2 \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta}-\sqrt{1-\delta_{0}}}{1-\sqrt{1-\delta_{0}}}=\frac{2 \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}
$$

So we can estimate as follows

$$
p_{0}(x-y) \leqslant p_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+p_{0}\left(x_{0}-y\right) \leqslant p_{0}(x)\left|1-\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right|+k q\left(x_{0}-y\right)<k \eta+\frac{2 k \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}
$$

and an analogous argument gives us the same inequality for the number $p_{0}\left(x^{*}-y^{*}\right)$. Therefore, we have that $d_{p_{0}}\left(\left(x, x^{*}\right), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+\frac{2 k \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}$ for every $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in A_{p}(\delta)$. Exchanging the roles of $p$ and $q$ one obtains $d_{p_{0}}\left(\left(z, z^{*}\right), A_{p}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+\frac{2 k \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}$ for every $\left(z, z^{*}\right) \in A_{q}(\delta)$ and hence

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+\frac{2 k \eta \sqrt{1-\delta}}{1+\eta-\sqrt{1-\delta}}
$$

In the particular case in which $\delta=1$ it suffices to observe that $x_{0}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ and so ( $x_{0}, x_{0}^{*}$ ) belongs to $A_{q}(\delta)$. Therefore one obtains the estimation $d_{p_{0}}\left(\left(x, x^{*}\right), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta$.

Suppose now that $\delta \in(1,2)$ and define this time $\delta_{0}=1+(\delta-1)(1+\eta)^{2}$. Given $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in A_{p}(\delta)$ we consider as in the previous case $x_{0}=\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} x$ and $x_{0}^{*}=\frac{p\left(x^{*}\right)}{q\left(x^{*}\right)} x$ which satisfy $q\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant 1$ and $q\left(x_{0}^{*}\right) \leqslant 1$. Using the facts that $p(x) / q(x)<1+\eta, p\left(x^{*}\right) / q\left(x^{*}\right)<1+\eta$ and $1-\delta<0$, we can write

$$
x_{0}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right)=x^{*}(x) \frac{p(x) p\left(x^{*}\right)}{q(x) q\left(x^{*}\right)} \geqslant(1-\delta) \frac{p(x) p\left(x^{*}\right)}{q(x) q\left(x^{*}\right)}>(1-\delta)(1+\eta)^{2}=1-\delta_{0},
$$

and so $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{*}\right) \in A_{q}\left(\delta_{0}\right)$. Since $2>\delta_{0}>\delta$, we can use Case 2 of Lemma 3.3 in [5] for $X$ endowed with the norm $q$ to obtain $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in A_{q}(\delta)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{q\left(x_{0}-y\right), q\left(x_{0}^{*}-y^{*}\right)\right\} & <2 \frac{2-\delta_{0}}{\delta_{0}} \frac{\delta_{0}-\delta}{\delta_{0}-1+\sqrt{1-2 \delta+\delta \delta_{0}}} \\
& \leqslant 2 \frac{2-\delta_{0}}{\delta_{0}} \frac{\delta_{0}-\delta}{\delta_{0}-1} \leqslant 2 \frac{\delta_{0}-\delta}{\delta_{0}-1}=2 \frac{\eta(2+\eta)}{(1+\eta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this point one can proceed as in the previous case to obtain

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+2 k \frac{\eta(2+\eta)}{(1+\eta)^{2}}
$$

which finishes the proof.
One can obtain an analogous result for the spherical modulus using the same proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\delta \in(0,2)$, $p_{0} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, and $k>1$. Let $\eta>0$ and $p, q \in G\left(p_{0}, k\right)$ satisfying $d(p, q)<\log (1+\eta)$.

Case 1: If $\delta \in(0,1]$, then

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}^{S}(\delta), A_{q}^{S}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+\frac{4 k(1-\delta)\left(2 \eta+\eta^{2}\right)}{\delta+2 \eta+\eta^{2}}
$$

Case 2: If $\delta \in(1,2)$, suppose that $(\delta-1)(1+\eta)^{2}<1$ and $2-\sqrt{1-(\delta-1)(1+\eta)^{2}}<\delta$, then

$$
d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}^{S}(\delta), A_{q}^{S}(\delta)\right)<k \eta+2 k\left(2 \eta+\eta^{2}\right) \frac{\delta-1}{2-\delta} .
$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly the same lines as the proof of Lemma 3.2, using Lemma 3.4 in [5] instead of Lemma 3.3 in the corresponding cases. We observe that when $\delta=1$, Lemma 3.4 in [5] cannot be used. In this case it suffices to take into account that the element $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{*}\right)$ lies in $A_{q}^{S}(\delta)$ if $\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ is in $A_{p}^{S}(\delta)$ so the estimation $d_{p_{0}}\left(\left(x, x^{*}\right), A_{q}^{S}(\delta)\right)<k \eta$ follows as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

We are ready to show that the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli are continuous in the metric space $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Fixed $p_{0} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ and $k>1$, we consider the open set in $\mathcal{E}(X)$ given by $G\left(p_{0}, k\right)=$ $\left\{p \in \mathcal{E}(X): d\left(p, p_{0}\right)<\log k\right\}$. Let $\eta>0$ be such that $(\delta-1)(1+\eta)^{2}<1$ and $p, q \in G\left(p_{0}, k\right)$ satisfying $d(p, q)<\log (1+\eta)$. Then we can estimate as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{(X, p)}(\delta)-\Phi_{(X, q)}(\delta) \leqslant d_{p}\left(A_{p}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)-d_{q}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{q}(X)\right) \\
& \leqslant d_{p}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)+d_{p}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)-d_{q}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)+d_{q}\left(\Pi_{p}(X), \Pi_{q}(X)\right) \\
& \leqslant k d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)+(1+\eta) d_{q}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right) \\
& \quad-d_{q}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)+k d_{p_{0}}\left(\Pi_{p}(X), \Pi_{q}(X)\right) \\
& \leqslant k d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)+k \eta d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{q}(\delta), \Pi_{p}(X)\right)+k d_{p_{0}}\left(\Pi_{p}(X), \Pi_{q}(X)\right) \\
& \leqslant k d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)+2 k \eta+k d_{p_{0}}\left(\Pi_{p}(X), \Pi_{q}(X)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exchanging the roles of $p$ and $q$ we can write

$$
\left|\Phi_{(X, p)}(\delta)-\Phi_{(X, q)}(\delta)\right| \leqslant k d_{p_{0}}\left(A_{p}(\delta), A_{q}(\delta)\right)+2 k \eta+k d_{p_{0}}\left(\Pi_{p}(X), \Pi_{q}(X)\right)
$$

This, together with Lemma 3.2 and the continuity of $\Pi_{p}(X)$ with respect to $p$ [3, Theorem 18.3], gives the continuity of $\Phi_{(X, \cdot)}(\delta)$.

A completely analogous argument allows to prove the continuity of $\Phi_{(X, \cdot)}^{S}$ from Lemma 3.3.
There is a classical way to measure when two Banach spaces are close, the so-called Banach-Mazur distance, and which is related to our approach using the distance between equivalent norms. Given two isomorphic Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, the Banach-Mazur distance between $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
d_{B M}(X, Y)=\log \inf \left\{\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|: T \text { an isomorphism of } X \text { onto } Y\right\} .
$$

Note that $d_{B M}(X, Y) \geqslant 0$ and $d_{B M}(X, Z) \leqslant d_{B M}(X, Y)+d_{B M}(Y, Z)$. Given a Banach space $X$, we write $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to denote the set of all Banach spaces isomorphic to $X$, which is semimetric space when endowed with the Banach-Mazur distance. Then, the result above about the continuity of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli on $\mathcal{E}(X)$ can be easily translated to the new setting.
Corollary 3.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\delta \in(0,2)$. The functions from $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
Y \longmapsto \Phi_{Y}(\delta) \quad \text { and } \quad Y \longmapsto \Phi_{Y}^{S}(\delta) \quad(Y \in \mathcal{I}(X))
$$

are continuous.

The way to deduce the above result from Theorem 3.1 is given by the next lemma, which is well-known (see [6, Exercise 1.75], for instance) and relates $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)$. We include an easy proof for the sake of completeness.
Lemma 3.5. Let $X_{0}, X_{1}$ be Banach spaces. If $T: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{0}$ is an isomorphism, there exists a norm $p_{1} \in \mathcal{E}\left(X_{0}\right)$ such that $\left(X_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\left(X_{1},\|\cdot\|_{X_{1}}\right)$ and satisfying that

$$
\|x\|_{X_{0}} \leqslant p_{1}(x) \leqslant\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|\|x\|_{X_{0}}
$$

for all $x \in X_{0}$.
Proof. Define $p_{1}(x)=\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}(x)\right\|_{X_{1}}$ for every $x \in X_{0}$. Then, it is clear that ( $X_{0}, p_{1}$ ) is isometrically isomorphic to $\left(X_{1},\|\cdot\|_{X_{1}}\right.$ ). Also, for each $x \in X_{0}$ we have

$$
p_{1}(x)=\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}(x)\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|\|x\|_{X_{0}}
$$

and, on the other hand,

$$
\|x\|_{X_{0}}=\left\|T\left(T^{-1}(x)\right)\right\|_{X_{0}} \leqslant\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}(x)\right\|_{X_{1}}=p_{1}(x)
$$

An easy consequence of the continuity of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli is that they coincide for Banach spaces which are almost isometric.
Corollary 3.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be almost isometric Banach spaces (i.e. $d_{B M}(X, Y)=0$ ). Then $\Phi_{X}(\delta)=$ $\Phi_{Y}(\delta)$ and $\Phi_{X}^{S}(\delta)=\Phi_{Y}^{S}(\delta)$ for every $\delta \in(0,2)$.
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