

Homogeneous numerical semigroups, their shiftings, and monomial curves of homogeneous type

Santiago Zarzuela

University of Barcelona

**International Meeting on Numerical Semigroups with
Applications**

July 4 to 8, 2016

Levico Terme, Italy

Based on joint work with

Reheleh Jafari

IPM and MIM (Kharazmi University), Tehran

- Motivation: a conjecture of Herzog-Srinivasan.
- Homogeneous semigroups and semigroups of homogeneous type.
- Small embedding dimensions and gluing.
- Asymptotic behavior under shifting.

Motivation: a conjecture of Herzog-Srinivasan

- Let $\underline{n} := 0 < n_1 < \dots < n_d$ be a family of positive integers.
- Let $S = \langle n_1, \dots, n_d \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the semigroup the generated by the family \underline{n} .
- Let K be a field and $K[S] = K[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_d}] \subseteq K[t]$ be the semigroup ring defined by \underline{n} .

We may consider the presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(S) \longrightarrow K[x_1, \dots, x_d] \xrightarrow{\varphi} K[S] \longrightarrow 0$$

given by $\varphi(x_i) = t^{n_i}$.

- Set $R := K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.

Now, for any $i \geq 0$ we may consider the i -th (total) Betti number of $I(S)$:

$$\beta_i(I(S)) = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(I(S), K)$$

- We call the Betti numbers of $I(S)$ as **the Betti numbers of S** .

- For any $j \geq 0$ we consider the shifted family

$$\underline{n} + j := 0 < n_1 + j < \cdots < n_d + j$$

and the semigroup

$$S + j := \langle n_1 + j, \dots, n_d + j \rangle$$

that we call the j -th shifting of S .

Conjecture (by J. Herzog and H. Srinivasan):

The Betti numbers of $S + j$ are eventually periodic on j
with period $n_d - n_1$.

Remarks:

- If we start with S a numerical semigroup, it may happen that $S + j$ is not anymore a numerical semigroup.

For instance, let $S = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$: then $S + 1 = \langle 4, 6 \rangle$.

- Also, we may start with a family which is a minimal system of generators of S but the shifted family is not anymore a minimal system of generators of $S + j$.

For instance, $S = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle$: then $S + 1 = \langle 4, 6, 8 \rangle = \langle 4, 6 \rangle$.

- But if S is minimally generated by n_1, \dots, n_d then $S + j$ is minimally generated by $n_1 + j, \dots, n_d + j$ for any $j > n_d - 2n_1$.

The conjecture has been proven to be true for:

- $d = 3$ (A. V. Jayanthan and H. Srinivasan, 2013).
- $d = 4$ (particular cases) (A. Marzullo, 2013).
- **Arithmetic sequences** (P. Gimenez, I. Senegupta, and H. Srinivasan, 2013).
- **In general** (Thran Vu, 2014).

Namely, there exists positive value N such that for any $j > N$ the Betti numbers of $S + j$ are periodic with period $n_d - n_1$.

Remark:

The bound N depends on the **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity** of $J(S)$, the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements in $I(S)$.

The proof of Vu is based on a careful study of the **simplicial complex** defined by **A. Campillo and C. Maríjuan**, 1991 (later extended by **J. Herzog and W. Bruns**, 1997) whose **homology provides the Betti numbers of the defining ideal of a monomial curve**.

The other main ingredient of the proof by Vu is the following technical result:

Theorem

There exists an integer N such that for all $j > N$, any minimal binomial inhomogeneous generator of $I(S)$ is of the form

$$x_1^\alpha u - v x_d^\beta$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and where u and v are monomials in the variables x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} with

$$\deg x_1^\alpha u > \deg v x_d^\beta$$

- Let $I^*(S)$ be the **initial ideal of $I(S)$** , that is, the ideal generated by the **initial forms** of the elements of $I(S)$.
- $I^*(S) \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is an homogeneous ideal. It is the definition ideal of the **tangent cone of S : $G(S)$** .

Turning around the above result by Vu, J. Herzog and D. I. Stamate, 2014, have shown that for any $j > N$,

$$\beta_i(I(S + j)) = \beta_i(I^*(S + j)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0$$

In particular, **for any $j > N$, $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.**

The condition

$$\beta_i(I(\mathbf{S} + j)) = \beta_i(I^*(\mathbf{S} + j)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0$$

corresponds to the definition of varieties of **homogeneous type**.

So what Herzog-Stamate have shown is that for a given monomial curve defined by a numerical semigroup S , **all the monomial curves defined by $S + j$ are of homogeneous type for $j \gg 0$.**

Our purpose is to understand this fact **from the point of view of the Apéry sets**.

Also, to provide a bound which **only depends on the initial data of the family \underline{n}** .

- For that, we will give a condition on the **Apéry set of S with respect to its multiplicity**, that jointly with the Cohen-Macaulay property of $G(S)$ will be nearby equivalent to **the condition by Vu**.

- Then, we will show that these conditions **eventually hold for $S + j$** , with a bound L that we can easily compute in terms n_1, \dots, n_d .

Moreover, this bound will only depend on what may be called **the class of the shifted semigroups**.

- And finally, we will obtain the results by Herzog-Stamate on the Betti numbers of the tangent cone as a consequence of the previous considerations.

Homogeneous semigroups and semigroups of homogeneous type

- Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ a vector of non-negative integers. Then we define the **total order of \mathbf{a}** as $|\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$.

We also set $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i n_i \in S$.

- Given an **expression** of an element $s \in S$: $s = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i n_i$ we call the vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ **a factorization of s** .

Then, we define the **order of s** as the maximum total order among the factorizations of s .

- An expression of s is then said to be **maximal** if the total order of its factorization is the order of s .

A factorization of an element whose total order is maximal is called **a maximal factorization**.

- A subset $T \subset S$ is said to be **homogeneous** if all the expressions of elements in T are maximal.

Definition

We then say that S is **homogeneous** if the **Apéry set** $AP(S, e)$ is homogeneous, where $e = n_1$ is the multiplicity of S .

- If $d = 2$ then S is homogeneous.
- If $e = d$ (maximal embedding dimension) or $e = d - 1$ (almost maximal embedding dimension) then S is homogeneous.
- Let $b > a > 3$ be coprime integers. Then, the semigroup

$$H_{a,b} = \langle a, b, ab - a - b \rangle$$

is a **Frobenius semigroup** (it is obtained from $\langle a, b \rangle$ by adding its Frobenius number). Then, $H_{a,b}$ is homogeneous.

(One can see that in this case, the tangent cone $G(H_{a,b})$ is never Cohen-Macaulay.)

- We call a **generalized arithmetic sequence** a family of integers of the form

$$n_0, n_i = hn_0 + it$$

where t and h are positive integers and $i = 1, \dots, d$.

If S is generated by a generalized arithmetic sequence then S is homogeneous.

- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ we denote by $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ the monomial $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_d^{a_d}$.
- And remember that the defining ideal $I(S)$ may be generated by **binomials** of the form $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$.

For such binomials we have that $s(\mathbf{a}) = s(\mathbf{b})$ and so both \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} provide factorizations of the same element $s \in S$.

- $I(S)$ is called **generic** if it is generated by binomials with full support.

In this case we have that $AP(S, n_i)$ is homogeneous for any i .

- A family of elements of $I(S)$ such that their initial forms generate $I^*(S)$ is called **a standard basis**.

Any standard basis is system of generators of $I(S)$ (but not conversely).

And finding minimal systems of generators of $I(S)$ which are also a standard basis is not easy.

Proposition (1)

The following are equivalent:

- (1) S is homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
- (2) There exists a minimal set of binomial generators E for $I(S)$ such that for all $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$, we have $a_1 \neq 0$.*
- (3) There exists a minimal set of binomial generators E for $I(S)$ which is a standard basis and for all $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in E$ with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{b}|$, we have $a_1 \neq 0$.*

Not any minimal generating set of $I(S)$ satisfies the properties of the previous result

The proof partly consists in constructing a set of generators satisfying these properties from any minimal set of generators, and then removing superfluous generators.

Example (2)

Let $S =: \langle 8, 10, 12, 25 \rangle$. We have that

$$AP(S, 8) = \{25, 10, 35, 12, 37, 22, 47\}$$

It can be seen that it is an homogeneous set and that $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Example (2 cont.)

The set

$$G_1 = \{x_1^3 - x_3^2, x_2^5 - x_4^2, x_1 x_3 - x_2^2\}$$

is a minimal generating set of $I(S)$.

We can change $x_2^5 - x_4^2$ by the two binomials $x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_2^5$ and $x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_4^2$. Then, the set

$$G_2 = \{x_1^3 - x_3^2, x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_2^5, x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_4^2, x_1 x_3 - x_2^2\}$$

is a generating set that satisfies the properties of the previous proposition. Removing the superfluous generator $x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_2^5$ we get the minimal generating set

$$G_3 = \{x_1^3 - x_3^2, x_1 x_2^3 x_3 - x_4^2, x_1 x_3 - x_2^2\}$$

Remember that:

Definition

We say that S is of homogeneous type if $\beta_i(S) = \beta_i(G(S))$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Inspired by the proof of the main result by Herzog-Stamate we have that:

Proposition (3)

Let S be a homogeneous semigroup such that $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then S is of homogenous type.

- Assume that $G(S)$ is a complete intersection.

Then S is also a complete intersection and both S and $G(S)$ have the same number of minimal generators. So we have that S is of homogeneous type.

The following case is of particular interest:

Corollary (4)

Let S be a numerical semigroup generated by a generalized arithmetic sequence. Then S is of homogeneous type.

(The Cohen-Macaulay property of the tangent cone was proven in this case by [L. Sharifan and R. Zaare-Nahandi, 2009.](#))

Numerical semigroups of homogeneous type are not always homogeneous:

Example (5)

Let $S := \langle 15, 21, 28 \rangle$. Then S is of homogeneous type. The defining ideal is generated by a standard basis:

$$I(S) = (x_2^4 - x_3^3, x_1^7 - x_2^5)$$

but it is not homogeneous:

$$3 \times 28 = 4 \times 21 = 84 \in \text{AP}(S, 15)$$

In this case we also have that $G(S)$ is a complete intersection.

Small embedding dimensions and gluing

Now, we study some particular cases. We start with **embedding dimension $d = 3$** and the following remarks:

- If S is not symmetric, S is always homogeneous (and so S is of homogeneous type if and only if $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay).

This the case for $S = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle$.

- If S is symmetric, S is not necessarily homogeneous neither of homogeneous type.

This is the case for $S = \langle 7, 8, 20 \rangle$.

Proposition (6)

Assume $d = 3$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) S is of homogeneous type.*
- (2) $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $\beta_1(S) = \beta_1(G(S))$.*
- (3) $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and S is homogeneous or $I^*(S)$ is generated by pure powers of x_2 and x_3 .*
- (4) Either $(G(S))$ is a complete intersection or S is homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone.*

For **embedding dimension $d = 4$** we start with the following observation:

- S is not necessarily homogeneous neither of homogeneous type.

This is the case for $S = \langle 16, 18, 21, 27 \rangle$ (example taken from [D'Anna-Micale-Smartano, 2013](#)).

S is a complete intersection and $G(S)$ is Gorenstein but not a complete intersection.

In fact, we are able to find examples of both, **symmetric and pseudo-symmetric** numerical semigroups of embedding dimension 4 and **arbitrary multiplicity m** which are

- not of homogeneous type,
- neither homogeneous.

(Taken from the book of **P. A. García Sánchez and J. C. Rosales**, 2009).

We have also studied several other examples with embedding dimension 4 of homogeneous type with non-complete intersection tangent cone.

- In all cases we have that they are homogeneous (and so they are of homogeneous type if and only if the tangent cone is Cohen-Macaulay).

So we ask if for $d > 3$, is there any numerical semigroup of homogeneous type, but not homogeneous and non-complete intersection tangent cone.

Now we study what happens under **gluing** in some cases.

Remember that given two numerical semigroups:

$$S_1 = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle, \quad S_2 = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$$

and p, q two co-prime positive integers such that

$$p \notin \{m_1, \dots, m_d\}, \quad q \notin \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$$

the numerical semigroup

$$S = \langle qm_1, \dots, qm_d, pn_1, \dots, pn_k \rangle$$

is called a **gluing of S_1 and S_2** . If $S_2 = \mathbb{N}$ we then say that S is an **extension of S_1** .

First of all we observe that to be homogeneous is not preserved by gluing, even for extensions:

Example (4, revisited)

Let $S := \langle 15, 21, 28 \rangle$. Then S is not homogeneous.

But S is an extension of $S_1 = \langle 5, 7 \rangle$ with $q = 3$ and $p = 28$.

We are able to find a criterion for the homogeneity of the Apéry set of a gluing.

In the case of extensions, this criterion allows to construct:

- Given S_1 homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone, infinitely many extensions which are homogeneous with Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone.
- For any $d \geq 3$, infinitely many numerical semigroups of embedding dimension d , with complete intersection tangent cone which are not homogeneous.

Asymptotic behavior under shifting

- Let $m_i := n_d - n_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.
- Let $g := \gcd(m_1, \dots, m_{d-1})$ and $T := \langle \frac{m_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{m_{d-1}}{g} \rangle$.

- Let

$$L := m_1 m_2 \left(\frac{gc + dm_1}{m_{d-1}} + d \right) - n_d$$

where c is the conductor of T .

Proposition (11)

Let $j > L$ and $s \in S + j$. If \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' are two factorizations of s with $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{a}'|$, then there exists a factorization \mathbf{b} of s such that $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}|$ and $b_1 \neq 0$.

Corollary (12)

For any $j > L$, the j -th shifted numerical semigroup $S + j$ is homogeneous and $G(S + j)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. In particular, $S + j$ is of homogeneous type.

Proof:

Take E any system of binomials generators of $I(S + j)$. By the previous Proposition 4, for any binomial $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{a}'}$ $\in E$ such that $|\mathbf{a}| > |\mathbf{a}'|$, there exists a binomial $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ such that $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}| > |\mathbf{a}'|$ and $b_1 \neq 0$. Then, substituting $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{a}'}$ by $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}$ and $x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{a}'}$ and then refining to a minimal system of generators, we get that $S + j$ fulfills condition (2) in Proposition 1 and so we are done.

Remark:

The bound L is not optimal.

For instance, for a given numerical semigroup:

$$S_k = \langle k, k + a, k + b \rangle$$

D. Stamate, 2015, has found the bound

$$k_{a,b} = \max\left\{b\left(\frac{b-a}{g} - 1\right), b\frac{a}{g}\right\}$$

such that S_k is of homogeneous type if $k > k_{ab}$. Compared with ours, this is a better bound.

Now, we may consider the differences $s_i = n_d - n_{d-i}$ for all $1 \leq \dots \leq i \leq \dots \leq d - 1$.

Then, the sequence of integers \mathbf{n} only depends on these differences and n_1 .

We call these differences the **shifting type** of \mathbf{n} .

Taking $n_1 = 1$ we obtain the sequence with smallest n_1 among those with the same shifting type. In this case, the bound L only depends on the shifting type.

Hence, for any numerical semigroup S with this shifting type and multiplicity $e > L$, S is homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand, the **width** of a numerical semigroup S is defined as the difference $wd(S) = n_d - n_1$.

It is clear that for a given width, there only exist a finite number of possible shifting types for a numerical semigroup having this width. So we may conclude that:

Proposition (13)

Let $w \geq 2$. Then, there exists a positive integer W such that all numerical semigroups S with $wd(S) \leq w$ and multiplicity $e \geq W$, are homogeneous and $G(S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

SOME REFERENCES

A. V. Jayanthan and Hema Srinivasan, *Periodic occurrence of complete intersection monomial curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 4199-4208.

P. Gimenez, I. Sengupta, and Hema Srinivasan, *Minimal graded free resolutions for monomial curves defined by arithmetical sequences*, J. Algebra **388** (2013), 249–310.

Thanh Vu, *Periodicity of Betti numbers of monomial curves*, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 66–90.

J. Herzog and D. Stamate, *On the defining equations of the tangent cone of a numerical semigroup ring*, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 8–28.

Thank you very much for your attention!