

# On the Markov complexity of numerical semigroups

Apostolos Thoma

Department of Mathematics  
University of Ioannina

International meeting on numerical semigroups  
with applications

Levico Terme  
Tuesday 5 July 2016

This is joint work with Hara Charalambous and Marius Vladioiu

Let  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m$  be a vector configuration in  $\mathbb{Q}^m$  and  $\mathbb{N}A := \{l_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + l_n \mathbf{a}_n \mid l_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  the corresponding affine semigroup.

Let  $A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \dots \mathbf{a}_n] \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  be an integer matrix with columns  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  we let  $\mathbf{u}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{u}^-$  be the unique vectors in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  with disjoint support such that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-$ .

## Definition

The toric ideal  $I_A$  of  $A$  is the ideal in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by all binomials of the form  $x^{\mathbf{u}^+} - x^{\mathbf{u}^-}$  where  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ .

A Markov basis of  $A$  is a finite subset  $M$  of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  such that whenever  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  (i.e.  $A\mathbf{w}^t = A\mathbf{u}^t$ ), there exists a subset  $\{\mathbf{v}_i : i = 1, \dots, s\}$  of  $M$  that connects  $\mathbf{w}$  to  $\mathbf{u}$ . This means that  $(\mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{v}_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq s$  and  $\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{v}_i$ . A Markov basis  $M$  of  $A$  is minimal if no subset of  $M$  is a Markov basis of  $A$ .

## Theorem

*(Diaconis-Sturmfels 1998)  $M$  is a minimal Markov basis of  $A$  if and only if the set  $\{B(\mathbf{u}) = x^{\mathbf{u}^+} - x^{\mathbf{u}^-} : \mathbf{u} \in M\}$  is a minimal generating set of  $I_A$ .*

## Definition

An irreducible binomial  $x^{\mathbf{u}^+} - x^{\mathbf{u}^-}$  in  $I_A$  is called *primitive* if there exists no other binomial  $x^{\mathbf{v}^+} - x^{\mathbf{v}^-} \in I_A$  such that  $x^{\mathbf{v}^+}$  divides  $x^{\mathbf{u}^+}$  and  $x^{\mathbf{v}^-}$  divides  $x^{\mathbf{u}^-}$ .

## Definition

The set of all primitive binomials  $x^{\mathbf{u}^+} - x^{\mathbf{u}^-}$  of a toric ideal  $I_A$  is called the Graver basis of  $I_A$ . The set of all  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $B(\mathbf{u}) = x^{\mathbf{u}^+} - x^{\mathbf{u}^-}$  is in the Graver basis of  $I_A$  is called the Graver basis of  $A$ .

The Graver basis of a toric ideal  $I_A$  is very important.

- Every circuit belongs to the Graver basis
- Every reduced Gröbner basis is a subset of the Graver basis
- The universal Gröbner basis is a subset of the Graver basis
- If the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}A$  is positive ( $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cap \mathbb{N}^n = \{0\}$ ) then all minimal systems of generators (minimal Markov bases) are subsets of the Graver basis
- If the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}A$  is not positive ( $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cap \mathbb{N}^n \neq \{0\}$ ) then there is at least one minimal system of generators (minimal Markov basis) that is a subset of the Graver basis
- The Graver basis contains Markov bases for all subconfigurations of  $A$

# Lawrence liftings

For  $A \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $r \geq 2$ , the  $r$ -th *Lawrence lifting* of  $A$  is denoted by  $A^{(r)}$  and is the  $(rm + n) \times rn$  matrix

$$A^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{matrix} A & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & A & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & A \\ I_n & I_n & \cdots & I_n \end{matrix}}^{r\text{-times}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify an element of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)})$  with an  $r \times n$  matrix: each row of this matrix corresponds to an element of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  and the sum of its rows is zero. The *type* of an element of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)})$  is the number of nonzero rows of this matrix.

Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_r \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)}) \text{ then } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(1)} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(2)} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(3)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(r)} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)}).$$

The same result is true if in the position of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)})$  we put the Graver basis of  $A^{(r)}$  or the universal Markov basis of  $A^{(r)}$ .

## Definition

The universal Markov basis of  $A^{(r)}$  is the union of all minimal Markov bases.



$$\text{If } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_r \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)}) \text{ then } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_r \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r+1)}).$$

The same result is true if in the position of  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A^{(r)})$  we put the Graver basis of  $A^{(r)}$  (and  $A^{(r+1)}$ ) or the universal Markov basis of  $A^{(r)}$  (and  $A^{(r+1)}$ ).

# Markov complexity

The study of  $A^{(r)}$ , for  $A \in M^{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$  was motivated by considerations of hierarchical models in Algebraic Statistics. Aoki and Takemura in 2002 while studying Markov bases for the Lawrence liftings of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

they proved that the type of any element in a Markov basis of  $A^{(r)}$  is at most 5. While the type of any element in the Graver basis of  $A^{(r)}$  is at most 9.

## Definition

The Markov complexity of  $A$  is the largest type of any vector in the universal Markov basis of  $A^{(r)}$  as  $r$  varies.

## Definition

The Graver complexity of  $A$  is the largest type of any vector in the Graver basis of  $A^{(r)}$ , as  $r$  varies.

In the previous example the Markov complexity is 5 and the Graver complexity is 9.

## Theorem

*Sturmfels and Santos (2003)*

*The Graver complexity of  $A$  is the maximum 1-norm of any element in the Graver basis of the Graver basis of  $A$ .*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_1 = |u_1| + |u_2| + \cdots + |u_m|.$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Graver basis of $A$

The Graver basis of  $A$  has 15 elements

1 -1 0 -1 1 0 0 0 0  
1 -1 0 0 0 0 -1 1 0  
0 0 0 1 0 -1 -1 0 1  
1 0 -1 -1 0 1 0 0 0  
0 0 0 1 -1 0 -1 1 0  
1 0 -1 0 0 0 -1 0 1  
1 -1 0 -1 0 1 0 1 -1  
0 0 0 0 1 -1 0 -1 1  
0 1 -1 0 0 0 0 -1 1  
1 0 -1 -1 1 0 0 -1 1  
0 1 -1 0 -1 1 0 0 0  
0 1 -1 1 -1 0 -1 0 1  
0 1 -1 -1 0 1 1 -1 0  
1 0 -1 0 -1 1 -1 1 0  
1 -1 0 0 1 -1 -1 0 1

The Graver basis of the Graver basis of  $A$  has 853 elements.  
The element

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0, 1)$$

has the maximum 1-norm which is 9, so the Graver complexity of  $A$  is 9.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Theorem

*Kahle*

*The Graver complexity of  $B$  is 27.*



## Theorem

*Sturmfels and Santos (2003)*

*The Graver complexity of  $A$  is the maximum 1-norm of any element in the Graver basis of the Graver basis of  $A$ .*

## Theorem

*Sturmfels and Santos (2003)*

*The Markov complexity of  $A$  is finite.*

Up to now, no formula for  $m(A)$  (the Markov complexity of  $A$ ) is known in general and there are only a few classes of toric ideals for which  $m(A)$  has been computed.

**Question:** What is the Markov complexity of monomial curves in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ ? (or what is the Markov complexity of  $A = (n_1 \ n_2 \ n_3)$ ?)

# Markov complexity for monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^3$ which are not complete intersections.

Suppose that  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $I_A$  is not a complete intersection ideal. For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  we let  $c_i$  be the smallest element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $c_i n_i = r_{ij} n_j + r_{ik} n_k$ ,  $r_{ij}, r_{ik} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

## Theorem

(Herzog 1970) Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  be a set of positive integers with  $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$ , and with the property that  $I_A$  is not a complete intersection ideal. Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = (-c_1, r_{12}, r_{13})$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = (r_{21}, -c_2, r_{23})$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = (r_{31}, r_{32}, -c_3)$ . Then  $A$  has a unique minimal Markov basis,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{0}$ .

Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  be such that  $I_A$  is not a complete intersection. Let  $r \geq 3$  and let  $T$  be the subset of containing all vectors of type 2 whose nonzero rows are of the form  $\mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}$ , with  $\mathbf{u}$  in the Graver basis of  $A$  and all vectors of type 3 whose nonzero rows are permutations of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3$ . Moreover  $|T| = k \binom{r}{2} + 6 \binom{r}{3}$ , where  $k$  is the cardinality of the Graver basis of  $A$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  be such that  $I_A$  is not a complete intersection. Then the Markov complexity of  $A$  is 3. Moreover, for any  $r \geq 3$  we have a unique minimal system of generators of cardinality  $k \binom{r}{2} + 6 \binom{r}{3}$ , where  $k$  is the cardinality of the Graver basis of  $A$ .*

# Markov complexity for monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^3$ which are complete intersections

Suppose that  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is a monomial curve such that  $I_A$  is a complete intersection ideal. For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  we let  $c_i$  be the smallest element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $c_i n_i = r_{ij} n_j + r_{ik} n_k$ ,  $r_{ij}, r_{ik} \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Herzog in 1970 shows that either  $(0, -c_2, c_3) \in M(A)$  or  $(c_1, 0, -c_3) \in M(A)$  or  $(-c_1, c_2, 0) \in M(A)$ . We recall the description of the universal Markov basis of  $A$  when  $(0, -c_2, c_3) \in M(A)$ .

## Proposition

*Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  be a set of positive integers such that  $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$ ,  $I_A$  is a complete intersection and  $(0, -c_2, c_3) \in M(A)$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = (-c_1, r_{12}, r_{13})$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 = (0, -c_2, c_3)$ . The universal Markov basis of  $A$  is*

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \{\mathbf{u}_2, d \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{u}_1 : -\lfloor \frac{r_{13}}{c_3} \rfloor \leq d \leq \lfloor \frac{r_{12}}{c_2} \rfloor\}.$$

# Markov complexity for monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^3$ which are complete intersections

## Theorem

*Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  be such that  $I_A$  is a complete intersection. Then the Markov complexity of  $A$  is 2. Moreover, for any  $r \geq 2$  we have a unique minimal system of generators of cardinality  $k \binom{r}{2}$ , where  $k$  is the cardinality of the Graver basis of  $A$ .*

# Graver complexity of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^3$

The next Theorem gives a lower bound for the Graver complexity of a monomial curve  $A$  in  $A^3$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  such that  $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$  and  $d_{ij} = \gcd(n_i, n_j)$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$g(A) \geq \frac{n_1}{d_{12}d_{13}} + \frac{n_2}{d_{12}d_{23}} + \frac{n_3}{d_{13}d_{23}}.$$

In particular, if  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  are pairwise prime then  $g(A) \geq n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ .

This shows that in general the upper bound for Markov complexity is rather crude: given any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , one can find appropriate  $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  so that the  $g(A) \geq k$ , while  $m(A) \leq 3$ .

## Examples

(a) Let  $A = \{3, 4, 5\}$ . Computations with 4ti2 show that the maximum 1-norm of the elements of the Graver basis of the Graver basis of  $A$  is 12 and thus the Graver complexity of  $A$  equals the the lower bound  $3 + 4 + 5$  of the Theorem.

(b) Let  $A = \{2, 3, 17\}$ . Computations with 4ti2 show that the maximum 1-norm of the elements of the Graver basis of the Graver basis of  $A$  is 30 and thus the Graver complexity of  $A$  is 30, while the lower bound of the Theorem is  $22 = 2 + 3 + 17$ .

Computing Markov complexity is an extremely challenging problem, and a formula for it seems hard to find in general.



# Thank you