

The Milnor number of plane irreducible singularities in positive characteristic

Evelia García Barroso

Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife

Levico Terme. July, 2016

In this talk we present some results of

- E. García Barroso and A. Płoski, *The Milnor number of plane irreducible singularities in positive characteristic*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 48 (2016) 94-98.

First definitions: intersection multiplicity

K is algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

First definitions: intersection multiplicity

K is algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

A **branch** is a curve $\{f = 0\}$, where $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ is irreducible.

First definitions: intersection multiplicity

K is algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

A **branch** is a curve $\{f = 0\}$, where $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ is irreducible.

For any power series $f, h \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ we define the **intersection multiplicity** $i_0(f, h)$ by putting

$$i_0(f, h) = \dim_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[[x, y]] / (f, h),$$

where (f, h) is the ideal of $\mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ generated by f and h .

First definitions: intersection multiplicity

K is algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

A **branch** is a curve $\{f = 0\}$, where $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ is irreducible.

For any power series $f, h \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ we define the **intersection multiplicity** $i_0(f, h)$ by putting

$$i_0(f, h) = \dim_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[[x, y]] / (f, h),$$

where (f, h) is the ideal of $\mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ generated by f and h .

Property

Let f, h be non-zero power series without constant term. Then $i_0(f, h) < +\infty$ if and only if $\{f = 0\}$ and $\{h = 0\}$ have no common branch.

First definitions: semigroup of a branch

Properties

- $i_0(f, h_1 h_2) = i_0(f, h_1) + i_0(f, h_2)$.
- $i_0(f, 1) = 0$.

First definitions: semigroup of a branch

Properties

- $i_0(f, h_1 h_2) = i_0(f, h_1) + i_0(f, h_2)$.
- $i_0(f, 1) = 0$.

For any irreducible power series $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$, where \mathbf{K} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, we put

$$\Gamma(f) = \{i_0(f, h) : h \text{ runs over all power series such that } h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}\}.$$

First definitions: semigroup of a branch

Properties

- $i_0(f, h_1 h_2) = i_0(f, h_1) + i_0(f, h_2)$.
- $i_0(f, 1) = 0$.

For any irreducible power series $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$, where \mathbf{K} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, we put

$$\Gamma(f) = \{i_0(f, h) : h \text{ runs over all power series such that } h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}\}.$$

$\Gamma(f)$ is a semigroup called the **semigroup associated with the branch** $\{f = 0\}$.

Properties of the semigroup

Lemma

- $\Gamma(f)$ is a numerical semigroup (i.e. $\gcd(\Gamma(f)) = 1$).
- There exists a unique sequence v_0, \dots, v_g such that
 - $v_0 = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \{0\}) = \text{ord } f$,
 - $v_k = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_{k-1})$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$,
 - $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_g$.

Properties of the semigroup

Lemma

- $\Gamma(f)$ is a numerical semigroup (i.e. $\gcd(\Gamma(f)) = 1$).
- There exists a unique sequence v_0, \dots, v_g such that
 - $v_0 = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \{0\}) = \text{ord } f$,
 - $v_k = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_{k-1})$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$,
 - $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_g$.

The sequence v_0, \dots, v_g is called **the minimal sequence of generators** of $\Gamma(f)$.

Properties of the semigroup

Lemma

- $\Gamma(f)$ is a numerical semigroup (i.e. $\gcd(\Gamma(f)) = 1$).
- There exists a unique sequence v_0, \dots, v_g such that
 - $v_0 = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \{0\}) = \text{ord } f$,
 - $v_k = \min(\Gamma(f) \setminus \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_{k-1})$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$,
 - $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \dots + \mathbf{N}v_g$.

The sequence v_0, \dots, v_g is called **the minimal sequence of generators** of $\Gamma(f)$.

Definition

$\Gamma(f)$ is a **tame semigroup** if p does not divide v_k for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, g\}$.

Properties of the semigroup

Let $\mathbf{e}_k := \gcd(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_{g-1} > e_g = 1$ and
- $e_{k-1}v_k < e_k v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Let $\mathbf{n}_k := e_{k-1}/e_k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $n_k > 1$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and
- $n_k v_k < v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Properties of the semigroup

Let $\mathbf{e}_k := \gcd(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_{g-1} > e_g = 1$ and
- $e_{k-1}v_k < e_k v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Let $\mathbf{n}_k := e_{k-1}/e_k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $n_k > 1$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and
- $n_k v_k < v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Properties

- $\Gamma(f)$ is a strongly increasing semigroup.
- $\Gamma(f)$ has **conductor**

$$c(f) = \sum_{k=1}^g (n_k - 1)v_k - v_0 + 1.$$

Properties of the semigroup

Let $\mathbf{e}_k := \gcd(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_{g-1} > e_g = 1$ and
- $e_{k-1}v_k < e_k v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Let $\mathbf{n}_k := e_{k-1}/e_k$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$. Then

- $n_k > 1$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and
- $n_k v_k < v_{k+1}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$.

Properties

- $\Gamma(f)$ is a strongly increasing semigroup.
- $\Gamma(f)$ has **conductor**

$$c(f) = \sum_{k=1}^g (n_k - 1)v_k - v_0 + 1.$$

Milnor number

The **Milnor number** of f is the intersection multiplicity

$$\mu(f) := i_0(f_x, f_y).$$

Milnor number

The **Milnor number** of f is the intersection multiplicity

$$\mu(f) := i_0(f_x, f_y).$$

In **characteristic zero** we have

$$\mu(f) = c(f),$$

for any irreducible power series $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$, and consequently $\mu(f)$ **is determined by** $\Gamma(f)$.

But in positive characteristic is not, in general, true:

Example (Boubakri-Greuel-Markwig)

$f = x^p + y^{p-1}$ and $g = (1 + x)f$, where $p > 2$. Then $\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(g)$,
 $c(f) = c(g) = (p - 1)(p - 2)$ but $\mu(f) = +\infty$ and
 $\mu(g) = p(p - 2)$.

But in positive characteristic is not, in general, true:

Example (Boubakri-Greuel-Markwig)

$f = x^p + y^{p-1}$ and $g = (1 + x)f$, where $p > 2$. Then $\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(g)$,
 $c(f) = c(g) = (p - 1)(p - 2)$ but $\mu(f) = +\infty$ and
 $\mu(g) = p(p - 2)$.

In positive characteristic it is well-known that $\mu(f) \geq c(f)$.

But in positive characteristic is not, in general, true:

Example (Boubakri-Greuel-Markwig)

$f = x^p + y^{p-1}$ and $g = (1 + x)f$, where $p > 2$. Then $\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(g)$, $c(f) = c(g) = (p - 1)(p - 2)$ but $\mu(f) = +\infty$ and $\mu(g) = p(p - 2)$.

In positive characteristic it is well-known that $\mu(f) \geq c(f)$.

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the equality $\mu(f) = c(f)$ in terms of the semigroup associated with f , provided that $p > v_0 = \text{ord } f = \text{multiplicity of } \Gamma(f)$.

Main result

Theorem (GB-P, May 2015)

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity and let v_0, \dots, v_g be the minimal system of generators of $\Gamma(f)$. Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Main result

Theorem (GB-P, May 2015)

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity and let v_0, \dots, v_g be the minimal system of generators of $\Gamma(f)$. Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Example

Let $f(x, y) = (y^2 + x^3)^2 + x^5y$. Then f is irreducible and $\Gamma(f) = 4\mathbf{N} + 6\mathbf{N} + 13\mathbf{N}$, so the conductor is $c(f) = 16$. Let $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0 = 4$. If $p \neq 13$ then $\mu(f) = c(f)$ by Theorem. If $p = 13$ then a direct calculation shows that $\mu(f) = 17$.

Ingredients of the proof

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity with

$$\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}v_g.$$

Since f is unitangent $i_0(f, x) = \text{ord } f = v_0$ or $i_0(f, y) = \text{ord } f = v_0$.

We assume that $i_0(f, x) = \text{ord } f = v_0$.

Ingredients of the proof

We need a sharpened version of Merle's factorization theorem on polar curves:

Theorem (Factorization of the polar curve)

Suppose that $v_0 = \text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \psi_1 \cdots \psi_g$ in $\mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$, where

- (i) $\text{ord } \psi_k = \frac{v_0}{e_k} - \frac{v_0}{e_{k-1}}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$.
- (ii) If $\phi \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ is an irreducible factor of ψ_k , $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, then

$$\frac{i_0(f, \phi)}{\text{ord } \phi} = \frac{e_{k-1} v_k}{v_0},$$

and

- (iii) $\text{ord } \phi \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{v_0}{e_{k-1}}}$.

Ingredients of the proof

Lemma

Suppose that $v_0 = \text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = c(f) + \text{ord } f - 1.$$

Ingredients of the proof

Lemma

Suppose that $v_0 = \text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = c(f) + \text{ord } f - 1.$$

Lemma

Suppose that $p > \text{ord } f$. Then $i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \leq \mu(f) + \text{ord } f - 1$ with equality if and only if $v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$.

Ingredients of the proof

Lemma

Suppose that $v_0 = \text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = c(f) + \text{ord } f - 1.$$

Lemma

Suppose that $p > \text{ord } f$. Then $i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \leq \mu(f) + \text{ord } f - 1$ with equality if and only if $v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$.

Proof: we use the factorization of the polar curve.

Ingredients of the proof

Lemma

Suppose that $v_0 = \text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = c(f) + \text{ord } f - 1.$$

Lemma

Suppose that $p > \text{ord } f$. Then $i_0 \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \leq \mu(f) + \text{ord } f - 1$ with equality if and only if $v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$.

Proof: we use the factorization of the polar curve.

Proof of main theorem: it is a consequence of Lemmas.

What happens if $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} \leq v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

What happens if we do not suppose $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

What happens if $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} \leq v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

What happens if we do not suppose $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

Proposición (Case $g = 1$)

*If $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \mathbf{N}v_1$ (so $c(f) = (v_0 - 1)(v_1 - 1)$)
then*

$$\mu(f) \geq (v_0 - 1)(v_1 - 1)$$

with equality if and only if $v_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $v_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

What happens if $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} \leq v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

What happens if we do not suppose $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > v_0 = \text{ord } f$?

Proposición (Case $g = 1$)

*If $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \mathbf{N}v_1$ (so $c(f) = (v_0 - 1)(v_1 - 1)$)
then*

$$\mu(f) \geq (v_0 - 1)(v_1 - 1)$$

with equality if and only if $v_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $v_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Conjecture

Conjecture

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity with semigroup $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}v_g$. ~~Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > \text{ord } f$.~~ Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Conjecture

Conjecture

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity with semigroup $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}v_g$. ~~Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > \text{ord } f$.~~ Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Second lemma fails if we remove the hypothesis $p > \text{ord } f$.

Conjecture

Conjecture

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity with semigroup $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}v_g$. ~~Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > \text{ord } f$.~~ Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Second lemma fails if we remove the hypothesis $p > \text{ord } f$.

Hefez-Rodrigues-Salomao (arXiv 1507.03179, July 2015)

If $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup then $\mu(f) = c(f)$.

Conjecture

Conjecture

Let $f \in \mathbf{K}[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible singularity with semigroup $\Gamma(f) = \mathbf{N}v_0 + \cdots + \mathbf{N}v_g$. ~~Suppose that $p = \text{char } \mathbf{K} > \text{ord } f$.~~ Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mu(f) = c(f)$
- $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup ($v_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$).

Second lemma fails if we remove the hypothesis $p > \text{ord } f$.

Hefez-Rodrigues-Salomao (arXiv 1507.03179, July 2015)

If $\Gamma(f)$ is a tame semigroup then $\mu(f) = c(f)$.

The other implication is still open.