

Some new results on Wilf's conjecture

Shalom Eliahou

Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Calais

IMNSA 2016

July 4th - 8th, Levico Terme

Introduction

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$
- $L = S \cap [0, c[$, the **left part** of S

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$
- $L = S \cap [0, c[$, the **left part** of S
- $D =$ the set of **decomposable elements** $= S^* + S^*$

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$
- $L = S \cap [0, c[$, the **left part** of S
- $D =$ the set of **decomposable elements** $= S^* + S^*$
- $P = S^* \setminus D$ the set of **primitive elements**, i.e. **minimal generators** of S

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$
- $L = S \cap [0, c[$, the **left part** of S
- $D =$ the set of **decomposable elements** $= S^* + S^*$
- $P = S^* \setminus D$ the set of **primitive elements**, i.e. **minimal generators** of S
- $g = |\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$ the **genus** of S

Introduction

Denote the **integer interval** $[x, y[= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq n < y\}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup.

Notation

- $m = \min S \setminus \{0\} = \min S^*$, its **multiplicity**
- c its **conductor**, i.e. $[c, \infty[\subseteq S$ with c minimal
- $c = qm - \rho$ with $\rho \in [0, m[$. Thus $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and $\rho = qm - c$
- $L = S \cap [0, c[$, the **left part** of S
- $D =$ the set of **decomposable elements** $= S^* + S^*$
- $P = S^* \setminus D$ the set of **primitive elements**, i.e. **minimal generators** of S
- $g = |\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$ the **genus** of S

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]
(extended to $|L| \leq 10$ in Cortona 2014, unpublished)

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]
(extended to $|L| \leq 10$ in Cortona 2014, unpublished)
- for $g \leq 50$ [M. Bras-Amoros 2008]

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]
(extended to $|L| \leq 10$ in Cortona 2014, unpublished)
- for $g \leq 50$ [M. Bras-Amoros 2008]
(extended to $g \leq 60$ in [Fromentin-Hivert 2015])

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]
(extended to $|L| \leq 10$ in Cortona 2014, unpublished)
- for $g \leq 50$ [M. Bras-Amoros 2008]
(extended to $g \leq 60$ in [Fromentin-Hivert 2015])
- ...

Notation

Let S be a numerical semigroup. Set $W(S) = |P||L| - c$.

Conjecture (Wilf, 1978)

$$W(S) \geq 0.$$

Some cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $|P| = 2$ [Sylvester 1884]
- for $|P| = 3$ [Fröberg *et al.* 1987]
- for $|L| \leq 4$ [Dobbs and Matthews 2006]
(extended to $|L| \leq 10$ in Cortona 2014, unpublished)
- for $g \leq 50$ [M. Bras-Amoros 2008]
(extended to $g \leq 60$ in [Fromentin-Hivert 2015])
- ...

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

Main tool in proof: **Macaulay's theorem** on Hilbert functions (1927).

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

Main tool in proof: **Macaulay's theorem** on Hilbert functions (1927).

Corollary

*Wilf's conjecture is **asymptotically true** when the genus goes to ∞ .*

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

Main tool in proof: **Macaulay's theorem** on Hilbert functions (1927).

Corollary

*Wilf's conjecture is **asymptotically true** when the genus goes to ∞ .*

Proof.

According to [Zhai 2013], *the proportion of those S satisfying $c \leq 3m$ tends to 1 as $g \rightarrow \infty$,*

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

Main tool in proof: **Macaulay's theorem** on Hilbert functions (1927).

Corollary

*Wilf's conjecture is **asymptotically true** when the genus goes to ∞ .*

Proof.

According to [Zhai 2013], *the proportion of those S satisfying $c \leq 3m$ tends to 1 as $g \rightarrow \infty$, and those S satisfy Wilf's conjecture.* □

More cases satisfying Wilf's conjecture:

- for $c \leq 2m$, i.e. $q \leq 2$ [Kaplan 2012]
- for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$ [Sammartano 2012]

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$.

Main tool in proof: **Macaulay's theorem** on Hilbert functions (1927).

Corollary

*Wilf's conjecture is **asymptotically true** when the genus goes to ∞ .*

Proof.

According to [Zhai 2013], *the proportion of those S satisfying $c \leq 3m$ tends to 1 as $g \rightarrow \infty$, and those S satisfy Wilf's conjecture.* □

Numerical illustration of Zhai's result

Numerical illustration of Zhai's result

Distribution of $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ by genus g .

Numerical illustration of Zhai's result

Distribution of $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ by genus g . The case $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$, is in red.

Numerical illustration of Zhai's result

Distribution of $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ by genus g . The case $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$, is in red.

$g \backslash q$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
21	1	17710	34069	7943	1750	453	172	46	19	15	9	2	2	2	0
22	1	28656	57566	13108	2806	707	249	81	32	16	16	2	2	2	1
23	1	46367	96949	21509	4453	1102	357	132	44	16	17	9	2	2	2
24	1	75024	162911	35248	7052	1741	500	221	60	26	17	18	2	2	2
25	1	121392	273139	57649	11149	2648	750	301	100	42	17	18	10	2	2

Numerical illustration of Zhai's result

Distribution of $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ by genus g . The case $c \leq 3m$, i.e. $q \leq 3$, is in red.

$g \backslash q$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
21	1	17710	34069	7943	1750	453	172	46	19	15	9	2	2	2	0
22	1	28656	57566	13108	2806	707	249	81	32	16	16	2	2	2	1
23	1	46367	96949	21509	4453	1102	357	132	44	16	17	9	2	2	2
24	1	75024	162911	35248	7052	1741	500	221	60	26	17	18	2	2	2
25	1	121392	273139	57649	11149	2648	750	301	100	42	17	18	10	2	2

Macaulay's theorem

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem **characterizes** those numerical functions $i \mapsto h_i$ which arise as the Hilbert function of a suitable R .

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem **characterizes** those numerical functions $i \mapsto h_i$ which arise as the Hilbert function of a suitable R .

Useful for us: knowing h_i for some $i \geq 1$, Macaulay's theorem gives an **upper bound on h_{i+1}** .

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem **characterizes** those numerical functions $i \mapsto h_i$ which arise as the Hilbert function of a suitable R .

Useful for us: knowing h_i for some $i \geq 1$, Macaulay's theorem gives an **upper bound on h_{i+1}** .

For instance, if $\dim R_1 = n$, then $\dim R_2 \leq (n+1)n/2$.

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem **characterizes** those numerical functions $i \mapsto h_i$ which arise as the Hilbert function of a suitable R .

Useful for us: knowing h_i for some $i \geq 1$, Macaulay's theorem gives an **upper bound on h_{i+1}** .

For instance, if $\dim R_1 = n$, then $\dim R_2 \leq (n+1)n/2$. That is,

$$h_1 = \binom{n}{1} \implies h_2 \leq \binom{n+1}{1+1}.$$

Macaulay's theorem

- A **standard graded algebra** is a commutative algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ over the field $\mathbb{K} = R_0$, with $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all i, j , finitely generated by R_1 .
- Equivalently, $R = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where $\deg X_i = 1$ for all i , and where J is a homogeneous ideal.
- The **Hilbert function** of R is the map $i \mapsto h_i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Macaulay's theorem **characterizes** those numerical functions $i \mapsto h_i$ which arise as the Hilbert function of a suitable R .

Useful for us: knowing h_i for some $i \geq 1$, Macaulay's theorem gives an **upper bound on h_{i+1}** .

For instance, if $\dim R_1 = n$, then $\dim R_2 \leq (n+1)n/2$. That is,

$$h_1 = \binom{n}{1} \implies h_2 \leq \binom{n+1}{1+1}.$$

A condensed version

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$. Then $\dim R_i = |iA|$ for all i .

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$. Then $\dim R_i = |iA|$ for all i . Let $J \subseteq R$ be the ideal spanned by all monomials of the form $t^b u^2, t^c u^3$, where b, c either are not Apéry elements or are too large in some specific sense.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$. Then $\dim R_i = |iA|$ for all i . Let $J \subseteq R$ be the ideal spanned by all monomials of the form $t^b u^2, t^c u^3$, where b, c either are not Apéry elements or are too large in some specific sense. Let $R' = R/J$.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$. Then $\dim R_i = |iA|$ for all i . Let $J \subseteq R$ be the ideal spanned by all monomials of the form $t^b u^2, t^c u^3$, where b, c either are not Apéry elements or are too large in some specific sense. Let $R' = R/J$. Applying condensed Macaulay to R' yields Wilf's conjecture for $q \leq 3$ after some calculations.

A condensed version

Theorem (E., 2015, submitted)

Let R be a standard graded algebra. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $x \geq i - 1$ be the unique **real number** such that $h_i = \binom{x}{i}$. Then $h_{i+1} \leq \binom{x+1}{i+1}$.

Apply this estimate to the following standard graded algebra.

Let $A = P \cap L = \{m = a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and let

$$R = K[t^{a_1}u, \dots, t^{a_n}u]$$

where $\deg(t) = 0, \deg(u) = 1$. Then $\dim R_i = |iA|$ for all i . Let $J \subseteq R$ be the ideal spanned by all monomials of the form $t^b u^2, t^c u^3$, where b, c either are not Apéry elements or are too large in some specific sense. Let $R' = R/J$. Applying condensed Macaulay to R' yields Wilf's conjecture for $q \leq 3$ after some calculations.

The depth function

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

Thus:

- the elements of **depth 0** are those elements in the interval $[c, c + m[$. Call it the **threshold interval**.

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

Thus:

- the elements of **depth 0** are those elements in the interval $[c, c + m[$. Call it the **threshold interval**.
- the elements of **depth 1** are those $s \in [c - m, c[\cap S$.

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

Thus:

- the elements of **depth 0** are those elements in the interval $[c, c + m[$. Call it the **threshold interval**.
- the elements of **depth 1** are those $s \in [c - m, c[\cap S$.
- ...
- We have $\delta(0) = q$, since $qm \geq c$ and q minimal

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

Thus:

- the elements of **depth 0** are those elements in the interval $[c, c + m[$. Call it the **threshold interval**.
- the elements of **depth 1** are those $s \in [c - m, c[\cap S$.
- ...
- We have $\delta(0) = q$, since $qm \geq c$ and q minimal

Lemma

For all $s, t \in S$, we have

$$\delta(s + t) \geq \delta(s) + \delta(t) + q - \min(p, 1).$$

The depth function

Define the depth $\delta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: for $s \in S$, set $\delta(s) =$ the smallest integer such that $s + \delta(s)m \geq c$.

Thus:

- the elements of **depth 0** are those elements in the interval $[c, c + m[$. Call it the **threshold interval**.
- the elements of **depth 1** are those $s \in [c - m, c[\cap S$.
- ...
- We have $\delta(0) = q$, since $qm \geq c$ and q minimal

Lemma

For all $s, t \in S$, we have

$$\delta(s + t) \geq \delta(s) + \delta(t) + q - \min(p, 1).$$

Summing depths

Summing depths

Notation

For a finite subset $A \subset S$, set $\tau(A) = \sum_{s \in A} \delta(s)$.

Summing depths

Notation

For a finite subset $A \subset S$, set $\tau(A) = \sum_{s \in A} \delta(s)$.

Notation

Set $X = \text{Ap}(S, m) \setminus \{0\}$, the set of *nonzero Apéry elements*.

Summing depths

Notation

For a finite subset $A \subset S$, set $\tau(A) = \sum_{s \in A} \delta(s)$.

Notation

Set $X = Ap(S, m) \setminus \{0\}$, the set of *nonzero Apéry elements*.

Proposition

We have $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$.

Summing depths

Notation

For a finite subset $A \subset S$, set $\tau(A) = \sum_{s \in A} \delta(s)$.

Notation

Set $X = \text{Ap}(S, m) \setminus \{0\}$, the set of *nonzero Apéry elements*.

Proposition

We have $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$.

Proof.

Use $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$ and $m - 1 = |X| = |P| - 1 + |X \cap D|$. □

Summing depths

Notation

For a finite subset $A \subset S$, set $\tau(A) = \sum_{s \in A} \delta(s)$.

Notation

Set $X = \text{Ap}(S, m) \setminus \{0\}$, the set of *nonzero Apéry elements*.

Proposition

We have $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$.

Proof.

Use $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$ and $m - 1 = |X| = |P| - 1 + |X \cap D|$. □

A graph-theoretic approach

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.
- Edge set $E(G)$: all pairs $\{x, y\}$ of vertices such that $x + y \in X$.

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.
- Edge set $E(G)$: all pairs $\{x, y\}$ of vertices such that $x + y \in X$.

We have: $|X \cap D| \leq |E(G)|$.

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.
- Edge set $E(G)$: all pairs $\{x, y\}$ of vertices such that $x + y \in X$.

We have: $|X \cap D| \leq |E(G)|$. Moreover, if G admits a **k -matching**, i.e. here a set of **independent edges** touching **at least k vertices**, then

$$\tau(X \cap L) \geq k(q-1)/2.$$

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.
- Edge set $E(G)$: all pairs $\{x, y\}$ of vertices such that $x + y \in X$.

We have: $|X \cap D| \leq |E(G)|$. Moreover, if G admits a **k -matching**, i.e. here a set of **independent edges** touching **at least k vertices**, then

$$\tau(X \cap L) \geq k(q-1)/2.$$

This allows in many cases to prove $W(S) \geq 0$.

A graph-theoretic approach

To usefully apply the formula $W(S) = |P|\tau(X \cap L) - q|X \cap D| + \rho$ to Wilf's conjecture, we need good estimates on the red parts.

Definition

The graph $G = G(S)$ is defined as follows:

- Vertex set $V(G) = X \cap L$, the nonzero **left** Apéry elements.
- Edge set $E(G)$: all pairs $\{x, y\}$ of vertices such that $x + y \in X$.

We have: $|X \cap D| \leq |E(G)|$. Moreover, if G admits a **k -matching**, i.e. here a set of **independent edges** touching **at least k vertices**, then

$$\tau(X \cap L) \geq k(q-1)/2.$$

This allows in many cases to prove $W(S) \geq 0$.

Two applications

Two applications

- **First application**

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$,

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

- **Second application**

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

- **Second application**

A. Sammartano proved Wilf's conjecture for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$.

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

- **Second application**

A. Sammartano proved Wilf's conjecture for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P| \geq m/3$,

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

- **Second application**

A. Sammartano proved Wilf's conjecture for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P| \geq m/3$, for $m \leq 12$

Two applications

- **First application**

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

- **Second application**

A. Sammartano proved Wilf's conjecture for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P| \geq m/3$, for $m \leq 12$ and for $|L| \leq 11$.

Two applications

• First application

Sylvester settled Wilf's conjecture for two generators, i.e. for $|P| = 2$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

*Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P \cap L| = 2$, i.e. when there are **exactly two left primitives**, and any number of right primitives.*

(See also Example 4 in Waldi's talk yesterday.)

• Second application

A. Sammartano proved Wilf's conjecture for $|P| \geq m/2$ and for $m \leq 8$.

Theorem (E., ongoing work)

Wilf's conjecture holds for $|P| \geq m/3$, for $m \leq 12$ and for $|L| \leq 11$.

Sketch of proof

Sketch of proof

- 1 It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$.

Sketch of proof

- 1 It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

Sketch of proof

① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.

Sketch of proof

- 1 It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- 1 Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- 2 Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- 3 Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- ③ Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

- ② It suffices to prove Wilf for $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$.

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- ③ Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

- ② It suffices to prove Wilf for $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$. Indeed, we have $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$.

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- ③ Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

- ② It suffices to prove Wilf for $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$. Indeed, we have $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$.

- ③ If $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$, then the graph $G(S)$ cannot have a 5-matching. This is a strong restriction on G !

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- ③ Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

- ② It suffices to prove Wilf for $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$. Indeed, we have $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$.

- ③ If $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$, then the graph $G(S)$ cannot have a 5-matching. This is a strong restriction on G ! One may then look at all possible such graphs. In each case, specific arguments allow to settle Wilf.

Sketch of proof

- ① It suffices to prove Wilf for $|L| < 3q$. Indeed, if this is done, it remains to examine the case $|L| \geq 3q$.

- ① Since $|P| \geq m/3$ by hypothesis, we get

$$W(S) = |P||L| - c \geq m/3 \cdot 3q - qm + \rho = \rho \geq 0.$$

- ② Assume $12 \geq m$. Since Wilf holds for $|P| \leq 3$, we may assume $|P| \geq 4$. Then $|P| \geq 12/3 \geq m/3$, so Wilf holds in this case.
- ③ Since Wilf holds for $q \leq 3$, we may assume $q \geq 4$. Thus, if Wilf is shown to hold for $|L| < 3q$, then it will hold for $|L| < 12$.

- ② It suffices to prove Wilf for $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$. Indeed, we have $|L| = q + \tau(X \cap L)$.

- ③ If $\tau(X \cap L) < 2q$, then the graph $G(S)$ cannot have a 5-matching. This is a strong restriction on G ! One may then look at all possible such graphs. In each case, specific arguments allow to settle Wilf.

Some open cases

Some open cases

1 for $|P| \geq 4$

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$
- 3 for $q \geq 4$

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$
- 3 for $q \geq 4$
- 4 for $m \geq 13$

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$
- 3 for $q \geq 4$
- 4 for $m \geq 13$
- 5 for $|L| \geq 12$

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$
- 3 for $q \geq 4$
- 4 for $m \geq 13$
- 5 for $|L| \geq 12$
- 6 ...

Some open cases

- 1 for $|P| \geq 4$
- 2 for $|P \cap L| \geq 3$
- 3 for $q \geq 4$
- 4 for $m \geq 13$
- 5 for $|L| \geq 12$
- 6 ...

Grazie mille per la sua attenzione :-)