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#### Abstract

The forced sine-Gordon equation can be considered as a natural extension to partial differential equations of the forced pendulum equation. It is known that, if $f$ is almost periodic and not too large, the pendulum equation has almost periodic solutions. Our aim is to extend this result to the sine-Gordon equation. A crucial tool in the proofs is a recent maximum principle for the telegraph equation. This maximum principle holds up to space dimension three.


## 1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to study almost periodic solutions of the sine-Gordon equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta_{x} u+c u_{t}+a \sin u=f(t, x) \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $n=1,2$ or $3,0<a<\frac{c^{2}}{4}, c>0, f$ is almost periodic and $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$.
As it is usual, it is convenient to consider the linear equation associated to (1). In our case it is the telegraph equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta_{x} u+c u_{t}+\lambda u=f(t, x) \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In dimension one ( $n=1$ ) it is known that the solutions of (2) gain regularity (see Refs. 3 and 5). This is sufficient to have compactness and we can apply a reasoning due to Amerio (see Ref. 1) in order to get our purpose. When $n=3$ there is no regularity and we can not use Amerio's ideas.

Nevertheless we are going to give a simpler argument which is based on completeness, more exactly on Banach Contraction Principle. Anyway (with compactness or with completeness) we need a maximum principle

[^0]and this is impossible when $n \geq 4$ (see Ref. 4). So this is the reason why we take $n=1,2$ or 3 .

On the other hand, the results that we are going to get can be considered as extensions of the equivalent ones for the forced pendulum equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+c \dot{u}+a \sin u=h(t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, in Ref. 2 it is proved the following
Theorem 1.1. If $c \geq 0$ and $h$ is almost periodic and such that $\|h\|_{L^{\infty}}<a$, then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that (3) has a unique solution $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ almost periodic satisfying

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}+\varepsilon \leq u(t) \leq \frac{3 \pi}{2}-\varepsilon
$$

Moreover, $\dot{u}$ is almost periodic also.
Again the proof is based on an argument of Amerio's type and the compactness plays a crucial role.

Remark 1.1. In the previous theorem we consider $c \geq 0$ and in equation (1) we take $c>0$. The reason of this difference is that we are going to use a maximum principle that fails for the wave equation $(c=0)$.

Finally, and before going on to the following section, we must recall the notion of almost periodicity. Since $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}$ is a locally compact topological group we can use Bochner definition. Given a function $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a vector $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \tilde{\alpha}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}$, the translate $T_{\alpha} f$ is defined as

$$
\left(T_{\alpha} f\right)(t, x)=f\left(t+\alpha_{0}, x+\tilde{\alpha}\right)
$$

A continuous function $f$ is almost periodic if from every sequence $\left\{\alpha_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}$ it is possible to extract a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_{\alpha_{k}} f$ has a uniform limit. The class of almost periodic functions will be denoted by $A P\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$, endowed with the $L^{\infty}$-norm it becomes a Banach space immersed in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$.

A similar definition will be considered when we will take a function $h$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In this case, we will use the spaces $A P^{k}(\mathbb{R})=\{h \in A P(\mathbb{R}) / h \in$ $C^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h^{(j)} \in A P(\mathbb{R})$ for each $\left.1 \leq j \leq k\right\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 2. Ordinary differential equations

Before considering equation (1), we are to going to apply our strategy to obtain a new proof of Theorem 1.1.

First we recall some results on the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+c \dot{u}-\lambda u=h(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c \geq 0$ and $\lambda>0$.
Lemma 2.1. If $h \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ then (4) has a unique solution $u \in$ $C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

with $\nu=\sqrt{\lambda+\frac{c^{2}}{4}}$. Moreover, if $h(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $u(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
In Ref. 6, Sec. 6, there is an explicit formula for $u$ which uses a Green's function. The proof of the lemma is trivial with it.

From (5), it is easy to verify that

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha} u-T_{\beta} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left\|T_{\alpha} h-T_{\beta} h\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

for arbitrary numbers $\alpha, \beta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. A similar inequality is valid for $\dot{u}$. So, we have proved the following

Lemma 2.2. If $h$ is in $A P(\mathbb{R})$ then $u \in A P^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
Remark 2.1. It is possible to improve the previous two lemmas. In fact, $u \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and a $L^{\infty}$-estimate exists for $\ddot{u}$. Therefore, $u$ belongs to $A P^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Now we are ready to give the different proof of Theorem 1.1. We fix constants $A$ and $U$ satisfying

$$
\|h\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq A<a, \quad 0<U<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad a \sin U>A
$$

We consider the complete metric space

$$
\Omega=\left\{u \in A P(\mathbb{R}) /\|u-\pi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq U\right\}
$$

and the mapping $\mathcal{F} u=v$, where $v$ is the almost periodic solution of

$$
\ddot{v}+c \dot{v}-a v=-a u-a \sin u+h(t) .
$$

From its definition we can say that $\mathcal{F}$ maps $\Omega$ into $A P(\mathbb{R})$ and the fixed points of $\mathcal{F}$ correspond to the almost periodic solutions of (3) satisfying $\|u-\pi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq U$.

Next we prove that $\mathcal{F}$ maps $\Omega$ into itself. Given $u \in \Omega$, we know that $-U \leq u-\pi \leq U$ and we observe that the function $\varphi(\xi)=-a \xi-a \sin \xi$ is decreasing. Hence

$$
a \pi-a U+a \sin U \leq a u+a \sin u \leq a \pi+a U-a \sin U .
$$

Constants $\pi+U$ and $\pi-U$ are solutions in $A P(\mathbb{R})$ of

$$
\ddot{w}_{1}+c \dot{w}_{1}-a w_{1}=-a(\pi+U) \quad \text { and } \quad \ddot{w}_{2}+c \dot{w}_{2}-a w_{2}=-a(\pi-U)
$$

respectively. We can apply Lemma 2.1 to compare $w_{2}=\pi-U, v$ and $w_{1}=\pi+U$. In fact, $\pi-U \leq v(t) \leq \pi+U$ everywhere.

Once we know that $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$ we must prove that $\mathcal{F}$ is a contraction. To do this we consider $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Omega$ with $v_{1}=\mathcal{F} u_{1}, v_{2}=\mathcal{F} u_{2}$. The difference $d=v_{1}-v_{2}$ is a solution of

$$
\ddot{d}+c \dot{d}-a d=-a\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)-a\left(\sin u_{1}-\sin u_{2}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\left\|u_{1}+\sin u_{1}-u_{2}-\sin u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq(1+\cos (\pi-U))\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}},
$$

we can apply (5) to conclude that

$$
\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{a} a\left\|u_{1}+\sin u_{1}-u_{2}-\sin u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq k\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

with $k=1-\cos U$. Since $k<1$ the fixed point of $\mathcal{F}$ will be the searched almost periodic solution. Letting $A$ to tend to $a$ and $U$ to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the uniqueness of the fixed point shows that there are no other almost periodic solutions in the ball $\|u-\pi\|_{L^{\infty}}<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Finally, $u$ satisfies $\ddot{u}+c \dot{u}-a u=g(t)$ with $g(t)=$ $-a u-a \sin u+h(t)$. Because $g \in A P(\mathbb{R})$, we conclude that $u \in A P^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 2.2. Having in mind Remark 2.1, we prove that $u \in A P^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
In the previous proof we have used a classical maximum principle in o.d.e.'s. We can use an anti-maximum principle (see Ref. 5) to obtain a new result in the ball $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}<\frac{\pi}{2}$ (for $c>0$ and $0<a \leq \frac{c^{2}}{4}$ ). Now we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+c \dot{u}+\lambda u=h(t), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c>0$ and $0<\lambda \leq \frac{c^{2}}{4}$. We sum up in the following lemmas the results for (6) which correspond to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 for (4).

Lemma 2.3. If $h \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ then (6) has a unique solution $u \in$ $C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (5) and

$$
\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}},
$$

with $\nu=\sqrt{\frac{c^{2}}{4}-\lambda}$ if $0<\lambda<\frac{c^{2}}{4}$ and $\nu=\frac{e c}{4}$ if $\lambda=\frac{c^{2}}{4}$. Moreover, if $h(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $u(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.4. If $h$ is in $A P(\mathbb{R})$ then $u$ belongs to $A P^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
Remark 2.3. Remarks 2.1 and 2.2 also can be applied in this case.

## 3. Partial differential equations

In this section we are going to see results of almost periodicity for (1) that were exposed in Refs. 3 and 4. First we will state some results for bounded solutions of the telegraph equation (2). We recall in a precise manner the concept of solution when $n=3$. Cases $n=1$ and $n=2$ are similar.

Definition 3.1. Let $c>0$ and $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$. A bounded solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{L} u+\lambda u:=u_{t t}-\Delta_{x} u+c u_{t}+\lambda u=f(t, x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
u\left(t, x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi, x_{3}\right)=u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}+2 \pi\right)=u(t, x)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a function $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}}\left(\mathfrak{L}^{*} \phi+\lambda \phi\right) u=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}} f \phi
$$

for all $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{L}^{*} \phi=\phi_{t t}-\Delta_{x} \phi-c \phi_{t}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L} u+\lambda u=f \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}\right), \quad u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key results is the following one, valid for $n=1,2$ or 3 .
Lemma 3.1. For each $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{c^{2}}{4}\right]$ and each $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$, the problem (7) has a unique solution $u$ such that
(i) if $n=1$ then $u \in W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$.
(ii) if $n=2$ then $u$ is continuous.

Moreover, if $f \geq 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}$, then $u \geq 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}$.
Remark 3.1. $W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ denotes the Banach space of functions $u \in$ $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ which are Lipschitz-continuous, with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, \infty}}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}+[u]_{L i p},
$$

where $[u]_{\text {Lip }}$ is the best Lipschitz constant of $u$.

Remark 3.2. When $n=3$, the solution $u$ can be discontinuous. An example is shown in Ref. 4.

Remark 3.3. When $n=4$ there is not maximum principle. An example for $\lambda=\frac{c^{2}}{4}$ is shown in Ref. 4 too.

Remark 3.4. The bounded solution of equation (7) satisfies the estimate

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

Our final result is the following
Theorem 3.1. Assume that

$$
0<a \leq \frac{c^{2}}{4}, \quad f \in A P\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \text { and }\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}<a
$$

Then the equation (1) has a solution $u$ in $A P\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$. Moreover it satisfies $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}<\frac{\pi}{2}$ and it is unique among the almost periodic solutions having this property.

The proof is similar to the o.d.e. case.
Remark 3.5. If $n=1$ then $u$ is more regular, namely, $u \in W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$.
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