Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Author's personal copy

Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012) 2090-2097

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Nonlinear Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/na

The Liouville equation in an annulus

Asun Jiménez

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 June 2011 Accepted 12 October 2011 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

Keywords: Liouville equation Neumann problem Conformal annulus Constant curvature

ABSTRACT

We give the solutions to the Liouville equation in an annulus \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R}^2 that satisfy a certain Neumann condition on each component of ∂A . As a consequence, we classify all the metrics of constant curvature in A that have constant geodesic curvature on ∂A .

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following elliptic partial differential equation with Neumann boundary conditions:

in $\mathcal{A} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-r\pi} < |z| < 1 \},\$ $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_1} = c_1 e^{\frac{u}{2}} + 2, & \text{on } C_1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_2} = c_2 e^{\frac{u}{2}} - 2e^{r\pi}, & \text{on } C_2 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = e^{-r\pi} \}. \end{cases}$ (P)

Here, v_i denotes the interior unit normal to C_i , i = 1, 2, respectively, and r > 0 is a constant. Moreover, we suppose up to dilation that $K = \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

The solutions to (P) provide conformal metrics $e^{u}|dz|^{2}$ on \overline{A} such that $(A, e^{u}|dz|^{2})$ has constant curvature K on A, and constant geodesic curvature $-c_i/2$ on $C_i \subset \partial A$ for i = 1, 2. And conversely, if Σ is a compact surface diffeomorphic to a closed annulus, and $d\sigma^2$ is a Riemannian surface of constant curvature K on Σ and constant geodesic curvature on each boundary component of $\partial \Sigma$, then $(\Sigma, d\sigma^2)$ is isometric to $(\mathcal{A}, e^u |dz|^2)$ for some solution u to (P) with adequate constants c_1, c_2 and r.

The equation $\Delta u + 2Ke^u = 0$ is called the Liouville equation. An important property of the Liouville equation is that it is conformally invariant. Actually, since different values of r provide annuli that are not conformally equivalent, we are considering a family of problems that are also non-conformally equivalent.

The problem of finding what are the conformal Riemannian metrics on a domain Ω having constant curvature K and constant geodesic curvature along each boundary component of $\partial \Omega$ has been widely studied when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2_+$. In the case that the metric extends smoothly to the whole \mathbb{R} , it was fully solved by Zhang [1] (in the finite-energy case) and by Gálvez and Mira [2] (in general), as an extension of previous results in [3,4] (see also [5–7]). More recently this problem has been generalized to the case when the metric has a singularity at the origin and possibly different values of the geodesic curvature

E-mail address: asunjg@ugr.es.

⁰³⁶²⁻⁵⁴⁶X/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.na.2011.10.009

A. Jiménez / Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012) 2090-2097

on \mathbb{R}^- and \mathbb{R}^+ . This is a work of Jost, Wang, and Zhou in [8] and Gálvez, Mira, and the current author in [9]. The case of the metrics of constant curvature over non-simply connected domains is studied in the works of Chou and Wan [6] (in the case of a punctured disc) and Brito et al. [10] (in the general case). Finally, in [2], the authors use the results in \mathbb{R}^2_+ and a lifting to the universal cover to give a complete classification of the metrics in the punctured unit disc \mathbb{D}^* that have constant curvature and constant geodesic curvature on the boundary.

Our goal in this paper is to classify all the solutions of (P) and deduce for what values of K, c_1 , and c_2 such solutions do exist. This classification is given as our main result in Theorem 1, which is stated and proved in Section 2. The consequences concerning the possible values of the constants c_1 and c_2 and the existence result will be given in Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, in Section 3. Finally, we recall that discs of constant curvature with constant geodesic curvature on the boundary were classified geometrically (see for example [7]). They are isometric to spherical caps, planar discs, or hyperbolic discs, respectively if K = 1, 0, -1. In Section 4 we give the analogous result in the case of an annulus A with constant geodesic curvature on the boundary. We show that all the solutions in Theorem 1 correspond to one of the canonical geometric situations described in Section 4.

This article is part of the Ph.D. Thesis of the author, who would like to thank Professor H. Rosenberg for suggesting this problem during the congress *Algebraic, Geometric and Analytical Aspects of Surface Theory* in Búzios, Brasil in 2010, and Professors Gálvez and Mira for their helpful comments.

2. Analytic description of the solutions to (P)

Theorem 1. Any solution to (P) is given by one of the following expressions, where $z = \operatorname{Re}^{i \operatorname{arg} z}$.

1.

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}\lambda^{2}R^{2(\gamma-1)}}{(K\lambda^{2} + |R^{\gamma}e^{i\gamma\arg z} - z_{0}|^{2})^{2}}$$
(1)

with $\gamma > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that (i) if K = 0 and $z_0 \neq 0$ then $|z_0| \notin [e^{-r\gamma\pi}, 1]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) if K = -1 and $z_0 \neq 0$, then $|z_0| \notin [e^{-r\pi\gamma} - \lambda, 1 + \lambda]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, and (iii) if K = -1 and $z_0 = 0$, then $\lambda \notin [e^{-r\gamma\pi}, 1]$. 2. If K = 0,

$$e^{u} = 4\lambda^2 R^{2(\gamma-1)}.$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, $\gamma \ge 0$. 3. If K = -1,

$$e^{u} = \frac{4}{R^{2}(\lambda + 2\log R)^{2}},$$
(3)

where $\lambda \notin [0, 2\pi r]$, or

$$e^{u} = \frac{\gamma^{2}}{R^{2}(\cos(\theta - \gamma \log R))^{2}},$$
(4)

where $0 < \gamma < 1/r$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\pi/2 + k\pi \notin [\theta, \theta + \gamma r\pi] \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\cos(\theta) > 0$, or

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}R^{2(\gamma-1)}}{(\lambda + 2R^{\gamma}\cos(\theta + \gamma \arg z))^{2}}$$
(5)

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \notin [-2, 2]$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to introduce some preliminaries. For that, we will identify from now on \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{C} , and write $w = s + it \equiv (s, t)$ or $z = x + iy \equiv (x, y)$ for points in the domain of a solution to the Liouville equation. We will also denote as $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ the two-dimensional space form of constant curvature $K \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, which will be viewed as (Σ_K, ds_K^2) , where

$$\Sigma_K = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{C}} & \text{if } K = 1, \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } K = 0, \\ \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C} & \text{if } K = -1, \end{cases}$$

and ds_K^2 is the Riemannian metric on Σ_K given by

$$ds_{K}^{2} = \frac{4|d\zeta|^{2}}{(1+K|\zeta|^{2})^{2}}.$$
(6)

The following classical result, mainly due to Liouville [11] (see also [12,6]), shows the relationship between the Liouville equation and complex analysis.

A. Jiménez / Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012) 2090-2097

Theorem 2. Let $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \equiv \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a solution to $\Delta u + 2Ke^u = 0$ in a simply connected domain Ω . Then there exists a locally univalent meromorphic function g (holomorphic with $1 + K|g|^2 > 0$ if $K \leq 0$) in Ω such that

$$u = \log \frac{4|g'|^2}{(1+K|g|^2)^2}.$$
(7)

Conversely, if g is a locally univalent meromorphic function (holomorphic with $1 + K|g|^2 > 0$ if $K \le 0$) in Ω , then (7) is a solution to $\Delta u + 2Ke^u = 0$ in Ω .

Observe that the function g in the above theorem, which is called the *developing map* of the solution, is unique up to a Möbius transformation of the form

$$g \mapsto \frac{\alpha g - \beta}{\varepsilon \beta g + \bar{\alpha}}, \quad |\alpha|^2 - \varepsilon |\beta|^2 = 1.$$
 (8)

These transformations are isometries of $\mathcal{Q}(\varepsilon)$.

Remark 1. From a geometric point of view, if $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ is a solution to $\Delta u + 2Ke^u = 0$, then its developing map $g : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \to \Sigma_K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ provides a local isometry from $(\Omega, e^u |dz|^2)$ to $\mathcal{Q}(K) \equiv (\Sigma_K, ds_K^2)$, where ds_K^2 is given by (6).

Although Theorem 2 is only valid for simply connected domains, we will be able to apply formula (7) by passing to the universal cover of *A*, in order to obtain the solutions of (P). The way to do this will be shown in Lemma 1. The same method is used for solving the Liouville equation in other non-simply connected domains (see [10,2]).

There is another holomorphic function attached to any solution *u* of the Liouville equation that will be important in our study. We will denote it by *Q*, and it is given by the formulas below, where *g* is the developing map of *u*:

$$Q := u_{zz} - \frac{1}{2}u_z^2 = \{g, z\} := \left(\frac{g_{zz}}{g_z}\right)_z - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{zz}}{g_z}\right)^2.$$
(9)

Here, by definition, $u_z = (u_x - iu_y)/2$ (and $g_z = g'$), and $\{g, z\}$ is the *Schwarzian derivative* of the meromorphic function g with respect to z. Observe that Q is holomorphic, i.e. it does not have poles, and it does not depend on the choice of the developing map g. We will call it the *Schwarzian map* associated to the solution u.

Lemma 1. Solving problem (P) is equivalent to obtaining the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + 2Ke^{v} = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma = \{w = s + it \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} w < \pi\}, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = c_{1}e^{v/2} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -c_{2}e^{v/2} & \text{on } \mathbb{R} + \pi i, \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{P})$$

that are $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic. Specifically, the solutions of (P) are given by formula (7), where $g = \tilde{g} \circ \Phi^{-1}$, with $\Phi : \Gamma \to A$ given by $\Phi(w) = e^{irw}$, and \tilde{g} the developing map associated to (\tilde{P}) .

Proof. It is clear that Φ defines a conformal diffeomorphism between \mathcal{A} and the quotient Γ / \sim , where $w \sim w' \Leftrightarrow w' = w + \frac{2\pi}{r} \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, it is well known (see [10]) that, if $\Phi : \Omega_2 \to \Omega_1$ is a conformal map between two domains, the solutions of the Liouville equation in Ω_2 are given by

$$v = u \circ \Phi + 2\log|\Phi'|,\tag{10}$$

where *u* is a solution in Ω_1 . Moreover, the developing map associated to *u* can be written as $g(z) = \tilde{g}(\Phi^{-1}(z))$. In general, if Φ is a covering map, *g* is multivalued unless Ω_1 is simply connected.

Then, to prove the lemma, we only need to check that, if *u* is a solution of (P), then

$$v(s,t) = u(\Phi(s,t)) + 2\log r - 2rt$$
(11)

is a solution of (\tilde{P}) which is $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic. Conversely, if v is a $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic solution of (\tilde{P}) , then

$$u(x, y) = v(\Phi^{-1}(x, y)) - 2\log r - \log(x^2 + y^2)$$
(12)

is a solution of (P). But this a simple computation, taking into account the following facts.

(i) Formula (11) comes from (10), and (12) is just its inverse.

(ii) Φ is $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic and Φ^{-1} is multivalued in the following way: $\Phi^{-1}(x, y) = \Phi^{-1}(x, y) + 2\pi/r$.

2092

(iii) It holds that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_1} = -xu_x - yu_y$$
 on C_1 and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_2} = e^{\pi r}(xu_x + yu_y)$ on C_2 . \Box

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $v \in C^2(\overline{\Gamma})$ be a $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic solution of (\tilde{P}) . Then, its associated Schwarzian derivative $\tilde{Q} = v_{ww} - \frac{1}{2}v_w^2$ will also be $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic. Moreover, because of the boundary conditions in (\tilde{P}), we have that

$$\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Q}(s,0) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c_1}{2} v_s(s,0) e^{v(s,0)/2} - \frac{c_1}{2} v_s(s,0) e^{v(s,0)/2} \right) = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Q}(s,\pi) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{c_2}{2} v_s(s,\pi) e^{v(s,\pi)/2} + \frac{c_2}{2} v_s(s,\pi) e^{v(s,\pi)/2} \right) = 0.$$
(13)

So, by the Schwarz reflection principle for harmonic functions, we can extend Im \tilde{Q} from $\overline{\Gamma}$ to \mathbb{C} as a $(2\pi i)$ -periodic function. As Im \tilde{Q} is also $(2\pi/r)$ -periodic, we deduce from (13) that Im $\tilde{Q} = 0$.

Consequently, $\tilde{Q} = c = \text{constant}$ for a certain $c \in \mathbb{R}$. It is well known that the solutions of the Schwarzian equation $\{\tilde{g}, w\} = c$ are of the form $\tilde{g}(w) = \psi(w)$ if c = 0, or $\tilde{g}(w) = \psi(e^{\sqrt{-2c}w})$ if $c \neq 0$, where ψ is a Möbius transformation. Thus, by Lemma 1, the developing map $g : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ associated to the solutions of (P) can be written as

$$g(z) = \frac{Az^{-i\frac{\sqrt{-2c}}{r}} + B}{Cz^{-i\frac{\sqrt{-2c}}{r}} + D}, \quad \text{if } c \neq 0,$$
(14)

or

$$g(z) = \frac{-Ai\log z/r + B}{-Ci\log z/r + D}, \quad \text{if } c = 0, \tag{15}$$

for some *A*, *B*, *C*, $D \in \mathbb{C}$ with AD - BC = 1. Here, $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi + B}{C\xi + D}$. We will denote $\gamma = \sqrt{-2c/r}$ if c < 0, or $i\gamma = \sqrt{-2c/r}$ if c > 0. So, from (14) and (15), we obtain the following expressions.

If c > 0,

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}|z^{\gamma-1}|^{2}}{(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2} + (KA\overline{B} + C\overline{D})z^{\gamma} + (K\overline{A}B + \overline{C}D)\overline{z}^{\gamma} + (K|A|^{2} + |C|^{2})|z|^{2\gamma})^{2}};$$
(16)

if *c* < 0,

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}|z^{-i\gamma-1}|^{2}}{(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2} + (KA\overline{B} + C\overline{D})z^{-i\gamma} + (K\overline{A}B + \overline{C}D)\overline{z}^{i\gamma} + (K|A|^{2} + |C|^{2})|z^{-i\gamma}|^{2})^{2}};$$
(17)

and, if c = 0,

$$e^{u} = 4/(r^{2}|z|^{2}(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2} - i(KA\overline{B} + C\overline{D})\log z/r + i(K\overline{A}B + \overline{C}D)\log \overline{z}/r + (K|A|^{2} + |C|^{2})|\log z|^{2}/r^{2})^{2}).$$
(18)

We determine now which of them are valid solutions in terms of the constants A, B, C, D.

Assume first of all that $K|A|^2 + |C|^2 \neq 0$. Then, we can take

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{|K|A|^2 + |C|^2|}, \qquad z_0 = -\frac{K\overline{A}B + \overline{C}D}{K|A|^2 + |C|^2},$$
(19)

so (16)-(18) yield, respectively,

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}\lambda^{2}|z^{\gamma-1}|^{2}}{(12^{2}-1)^{2}},$$
(20)

$$(K\lambda^{2} + |z^{\gamma} - z_{0}|^{2})^{2}$$

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma \lambda |z^{-\gamma}|}{(K\lambda^{2} + |z^{-i\gamma} - z_{0}|^{2})^{2}},$$
(21)

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\lambda}{r^{2}|z|^{2} \left(K\lambda^{2} + |-\frac{i}{r}\log z - z_{0}|^{2}\right)^{2}}.$$
(22)

Observe that, due to the behavior of the function $\arg(z)$ in \mathcal{A} , $z^{-i\gamma} = z^{-i\gamma}e^{2\pi\gamma}$. Thus, in (21), the multivaluation of the numerator cannot be compensated with the multivaluation of the denominator, and so this metric is excluded. In the same

2093

A. Jiménez / Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012) 2090-2097

way, it is easy to see that (22) is never well defined in A. Hence we also exclude it. On the other hand, (20) is well defined only when we are in one of the following cases.

- If z₀ = 0 and Kλ² + |z^γ|² ≠ 0. The last condition is always satisfied in A if K = 1, 0. When K = -1, it is equivalent to the condition λ ∉ [e^{-πrγ}, 1]. Such solutions are always radially symmetric.
 If z₀ ≠ 0, γ ∈ N and Kλ² + |z^γ z₀|² ≠ 0. The last condition is always satisfied if K = 1. However, if K = 0, it is equivalent to the condition |z₀| ∉ [e^{-rγπ}, 1], and, if K = -1, it reduces to |z₀| ∉ [e^{-rγπ} λ, 1 + λ]. These solutions are not radially symmetric.

(23)

Hence, we have obtained all the solutions of the first type as stated in Theorem 1.

Let us consider now the case $K|A|^2 + |C|^2 = 0$, and so it must hold that K = 0, -1. Then, writing

$$KA\overline{B} + C\overline{D} = d.$$

(16) can be simplified as

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}|z|^{2(\gamma-1)}}{(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2} + 2|d \parallel z|^{\gamma}\cos(\arg d + \gamma \arg z))^{2}}$$

Because of the condition $K|A|^2 + |C|^2 = 0$, we have that d = 0 if K = 0 and |d| = 1 if K = -1. Thus, if K = 0, e^u is well defined if and only if $D \neq 0$. We then obtain the solutions (2) (for $\gamma > 0$). When K = -1, we have to impose that $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|D|^2 - |B|^2 \notin [-2, 2]$ for e^u to be well defined. This solution corresponds to the not radially symmetric solution (5). Now, if *d* is as in (23) then (17) can be written as

$$e^{u} = \frac{4\gamma^{2}e^{2(\gamma \arg z - \log |z|)}}{(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2} + 2|d|e^{\gamma \arg(z)}\cos(\arg(d) - \gamma \log |z|))^{2}}$$

Then it is easy to see that, if K = 0, and so d = 0, the function e^u is not well defined. If K = -1, we need that $|B|^2 = |D|^2$ and $\pi/2 + k\pi \notin [\arg(d), \arg(d) + \gamma r\pi] \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (in particular $\gamma < 1/r$) in order that e^u is well defined in A. As the condition $g(1) = \psi(1) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is necessary, we have that $\cos(\arg(d)) > 0$. We then obtain the radially symmetric solutions in (4). Finally, from (23), the expression of (18) reduces to

$$e^{u} = \frac{4}{|z|^{2}(r(K|B|^{2} + |D|^{2}) + 2|d|(\sin(\arg d)\log|z| + \arg z\cos(\arg d)))^{2}}.$$

If K = 0, as d = 0, this conformal factor is well defined provided that $D \neq 0$. Thus, calling $\lambda^2 = 1/(r|D|)^2$, we obtain the solutions in (2) (for $\gamma = 0$). If K = -1, we need to impose that $\arg d = \pi/2 + k\pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, $d = (-1)^k i$, and that $(-1)^k (|D|^2 - |B|^2) \notin [0, 2\pi]$. Calling $r(|D|^2 - |B|^2)(-1)^k = \lambda$, we obtain the solutions in (3). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Necessary and sufficient conditions for existence

The following lemma follows from a simple computation that we omit.

Lemma 2. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ be a solution to (P) given by one of the expressions (1)–(5) in Theorem 1. Then, its associated constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are given as follows.

• For u as in (1),

$$c_1 = S \frac{-K\lambda^2 - |z_0|^2 + 1}{\lambda}, \qquad c_2 = S \frac{e^{r\pi\gamma}(K\lambda^2 + |z_0|^2) - e^{-r\pi\gamma}}{\lambda},$$

where

$$S = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign}(1-\lambda) & \text{if } K = -1, \ z_0 = 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For u as in (2), $c_1 = -\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}$ and $c_2 = \frac{e^{\pi r \gamma} \gamma}{\lambda}$. For u as in (3), $c_1 = 2 \operatorname{sign}(\lambda)$, and $c_2 = -2 \operatorname{sign}(\lambda)$.
- For *u* as in (4), $c_1 = 2\sin(\theta)$, and $c_2 = -2\sin(\theta + r\pi\gamma)$.
- For u as in (5), $c_1 = -|\lambda|$, and $c_2 = |\lambda|e^{\pi r\gamma}$.

Now, we use Lemma 2 to deduce for which values of K, c_1 , and c_2 a solution of (P) exists.

Corollary 1. Given $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a solution to problem (P) if and only if

• K = 1 and $c_1 + c_2 > 0$;

A. Jiménez / Nonlinear Analysis 75 (2012) 2090–2097

- K = 0 and (i) $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ with $c_i < 0$ for some $i = \{1, 2\}$, or (ii) $c_1 = 0 = c_2$;
- K = -1 and (i) $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ with $c_1 < -2$ and $c_2 > 2$ (or with $c_1 > 2$ and $c_2 < -2$), or (ii) $c_1 = \pm 2$ and $c_2 = \mp 2$, or (iii) $c_1 + c_2 < 0$ with $0 \le |c_i| < 2$ for both $i = \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. When K = 1, all the solutions are given by (1). Then, since

$$c_1 = \frac{-\lambda^2 - |z_0|^2 + 1}{\lambda}, \qquad c_2 = \frac{e^{r\pi\gamma}(\lambda^2 + |z_0|^2) - e^{-r\pi\gamma}}{\lambda},$$
(24)

a simple computation shows that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$. Conversely, if we consider c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, taking $z_0 = 0$, it is easy to obtain two constants λ , $\gamma > 0$ such that formula (24) is satisfied. Thus, for K = 1, the condition $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ is also sufficient for the existence of a solution. Moreover, these constants are completely determined by the conformal structure of A (given by r). Observe that, if the solution is given by (1), for all the values K = 1, 0, -1 we had the restriction $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ if $z_0 \neq 0$. Therefore, in such cases the choice of c_1 and c_2 will have another technical restriction in terms of the conformal structure, in order to obtain a solution.

When K = 0, we have more possibilities, since the solutions to (P) are given by either (1) or (2). If the solution is given by (1), then

$$c_1 = \frac{-|z_0|^2 + 1}{\lambda}, \qquad c_2 = \frac{e^{r\pi\gamma}|z_0|^2 - e^{-r\pi\gamma}}{\lambda},$$
(25)

and because of the restrictions in Theorem 1 we deduce that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ and that (i) if $|z_0| > 1$, then $c_1 < 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, and (ii) if $|z_0| < e^{-r\pi\gamma}$ then $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 < 0$. Conversely, if we have c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $c_1 < 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, then we can chose $z_0 = 0$ and find λ , $\gamma > 0$ (unique for each fixed r > 0) such that (25) holds.

On the other hand, if the solution is given by (2) and $\gamma = 0$, as $c_1 = 0 = c_2$, the metric always exists, given any parameter $\lambda > 0$, for any conformal structure. If $\gamma \neq 0$ in (2), then

$$c_1 = \frac{-\gamma}{\lambda}, \qquad c_2 = \frac{e^{r\pi\gamma}\gamma}{\lambda},$$
 (26)

and so $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $c_1 < 0$, and $c_2 > 0$. Hence, given c_1 and c_2 under these assumptions, we trivially find λ , $\gamma > 0$ such that (26) is satisfied. Looking at the solution (2) and the solution (1) when $z_0 = 0$, we see that they differ by an inversion; that is, the role of c_1 and c_2 in (26) and (25) is interchanged.

If K = -1, the solution u to (P) can be given by formulas (1) or (3)–(5). If u is given by (1), then from Lemma 2 we know that

$$c_1 = S \frac{\lambda^2 - |z_0|^2 + 1}{\lambda}, \qquad c_2 = S \frac{e^{r\pi\gamma} (-\lambda^2 + |z_0|^2) - e^{-r\pi\gamma}}{\lambda},$$
(27)

and a simple computation shows that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$. Moreover, from the restrictions in Theorem 1, we have that

(i) if $z_0 \neq 0$, then $|z_0| > 1 + \lambda$, and so $c_1 < -2$ and $c_2 > 2$, or $|z_0| < e^{-r\pi\gamma} - \lambda$, and therefore $c_1 > 2$ and $c_2 < -2$; (ii) if $z_0 = 0$, then either $\lambda > 1$, and so $c_1 < -2$ and $c_2 > 2$, or $\lambda < e^{-r\pi\gamma}$, and then $c_1 > 2$ and $c_2 < -2$.

Conversely, consider c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ and $c_1 < -2$ (respectively, $c_1 > 2$) and $c_2 > 2$ (respectively, $c_2 < -2$). Then, at least for the case $z_0 = 0$, we can always find (just by solving a second-order equation) parameters $\gamma > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ (respectively, $\lambda < e^{-r\pi\gamma}$) such that (27) holds.

By means of Lemma 2, if $c_1 = \pm 2$ and $c_2 = \mp 2$, we can always obtain a solution of type (3) for a convenient choice of $\lambda \notin [0, 2\pi r]$ provided that the equalities $c_1 = 2\text{sign}(\lambda)$ and $c_2 = -2\text{sign}(\lambda)$ are satisfied.

If the solution *u* is given by (4), we have

$$c_1 = 2\sin(\theta), \qquad c_2 = -2\sin(\theta + r\pi\gamma), \tag{28}$$

for a certain $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > 0$ under the restrictions

$$\pi/2 + k\pi \notin [\theta, \theta + \gamma r\pi], \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \cos(\theta) > 0.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Thus it is easy to deduce that $c_1 + c_2 < 0$ and that $0 \le |c_i| < 2$ for both $i = \{1, 2\}$. Conversely, because of the behavior of the sin and cos functions, given c_1 and c_2 under these assumptions we can always find $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that (28) and (29) are satisfied.

Finally, if the solution is given by (5), as

$$c_1 = -|\lambda|, \qquad c_2 = |\lambda|e^{\pi r\gamma},\tag{30}$$

we are led again to the relation $c_1 + c_2 > 0$; and since in this case $\lambda \notin [-2, 2]$, then $c_1 < -2$ and $c_2 > 2$. But it is easy to see from (30) that we have a restriction involving the conformal structure. Only when $c_1/c_2 = -e^{\pi r \gamma}$ for a certain $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ we will obtain solutions of type (5). Therefore, not all the conformal structures are admissible for the existence of such solutions. \Box

4. Classification of constant curvature annuli

As explained in the introduction, the solutions to (P) provide Riemannian annuli of constant curvature with constant geodesic curvature on each boundary component, and vice versa. Next, we use this connection to give the geometric counterpart to Theorem 1.

From a geometric point of view, there are several ways to produce constant curvature annuli with constant geodesic curvature on each boundary component, as we explain next.

- (1) First of all, one has the induced metric of any annulus A' in $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ whose boundary consists of two disjoint circles. Observe that by composing with a finite-folded covering map of this annulus A' we also obtain conformal metrics in the same conditions. The conformal structure of such metrics depend on the covering number.
- (2) Second, assume that A' is a radially symmetric annulus in Q(K). That is, its boundary consists of two circles C'_1, C'_2 , and A' is foliated by geodesic arcs in Q(K) that meet both C'_1 and C'_2 orthogonally. Then, we may consider the sector of A' bounded by two of these radial geodesics, which make some angle γ , possibly greater than 2π . After identifying those geodesics, the quotient space is a topological annulus and the metric ds^2_K restricted to A' projects to a well-defined metric of constant curvature K on this quotient. Hence, we obtain a conformal metric satisfying the desired conditions. One can make similar constructions in the following cases.
- (3) When K = 0: by considering a strip in \mathbb{R}^2 instead of a radially symmetric annulus, and identifying two different line segments orthogonal to the boundary of the strip.
- (4) When K = -1: by considering the region of $\mathcal{Q}(-1) \equiv \mathbb{D}$ bounded by two horocycles with the same ideal point $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$, together with two geodesic arcs in $\mathcal{Q}(-1)$ starting at p, and identifying these arcs.
- (5) When K = -1: by considering the region of $\mathcal{Q}(-1) \equiv \mathbb{D}$ bounded by two circle arcs with common ideal endpoints $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, together with two geodesic arcs in $\mathcal{Q}(-1)$ which meet the previous two circles orthogonally and that we identify.

With this, let us prove as a consequence of Theorem 1 that these five types of Riemannian annuli provide all possible conformal metrics of constant curvature *K* on an annulus A, with constant geodesic curvature on ∂A .

Theorem 3. Let $(\Sigma, d\sigma^2)$ be a Riemannian surface diffeomorphic to a closed annulus. Assume that $d\sigma^2$ has constant curvature on Σ , and constant geodesic curvature along each boundary component of $\partial \Sigma$. Then, $(\Sigma, d\sigma^2)$ is isometric to one of the five examples of Riemannian annuli described above.

Proof. Up to a conformal change of coordinates, we may view $(\Sigma, d\sigma^2)$ as $(\mathcal{A}, e^u |dz|^2)$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-r\pi} < |z| < 1\}$ for some r > 0, and u is a solution to (P) for some adequate constants K, c_1 , and c_2 . We now analyze from a geometric point of view the possible expressions for u, as given by Theorem 1.

We consider first the solutions given by (1). In this case, we know by the proof of Theorem 1 that the associated developing map is $g(z) = \psi(z^{\gamma})$ with $\gamma > 0$ and $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi+B}{C\xi+D}$ a certain Möbius transformation.

If $z_0 \neq 0$, we have the restriction $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, g is univalued on \mathcal{A} . Moreover, $g(\mathcal{A})$ is a topological annulus \mathcal{A}' in $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ whose boundary consists in two circles, and the map g defines a γ -folded covering map from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A}' . Thus, by Remark 1, we see that $(\mathcal{A}, e^u | dz |^2)$ is isometric to the annulus \mathcal{A}' endowed with the metric ds_K^2 , covered a number $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ of times. That is, $(\mathcal{A}, e^u | dz |^2)$ is isometric to the first type of canonical annulus of constant curvature defined before.

Now, suppose we are in the case when $z_0 = 0$, and so γ is not necessarily an integer. The multivalued function z^{γ} maps \mathcal{A} into a piece of the annulus $\mathcal{B} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-r\pi\gamma} < |\xi| < 1\}$ bounded by the segment $[e^{-r\pi\gamma}, 1]$ and $R_{2\pi\gamma}([e^{-r\pi\gamma}, 1])$, where R_t denotes from now on the rotation by angle t. These two segments correspond to the splitting by z^{γ} of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$. Because of the condition $z_0 = 0$, we have from (19) that $K\overline{AB} + \overline{CD} = 0$. Then it is easy to prove that, for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi \circ R_{\theta} \circ \psi^{-1} = \phi$, where ϕ is an isometry of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ described in (8). That is, for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(e^{i\theta}z) = \phi_{\theta}(g(z))$ for a certain isometry of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$, ϕ_{θ} . On the other hand, observe that this kind of metrics coincides with one of the canonical solutions that solve the Newmann problem in \mathbb{R}^2_+ , given by formula (5) in [9]. Thus, we have from Lemma 1 in [9] that, since $[e^{-r\pi}, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}_+, g([e^{-r\pi}, 1])$ is a geodesic arc in $\mathcal{Q}(K)$. Hence, $g(\mathcal{A})$ is a piece of an annulus \mathcal{A}' which is radially symmetric, that is, foliated by geodesic arcs meeting $\partial \mathcal{A}'$ orthogonally. These geodesic arcs are the image of the segments orthogonal to $\partial \mathcal{A}$ that foliate \mathcal{A} . Such a piece, $g(\mathcal{A})$, is bounded by two of those geodesic arcs that make an angle $2\pi\gamma > 0$, where γ can be greater than 1. As explained before, they correspond to the splitting of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$. We see then that $(\mathcal{A}, e^u | dz|^2)$ is isometric to the domain $g(\mathcal{A})$, where the extremal geodesic arcs are identified, endowed with the projection of ds_K^2 . Thus, these solutions correspond to canonical annuli of type (2) mentioned before.

Consider now the case K = 0, when the solution is given by (2) with $\gamma \neq 0$. We have again, by the proof of Theorem 1, that $g(z) = \psi(z^{\gamma})$, where now the Möbius transformation $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi+B}{C\xi+D}$ satisfies that C = 0, that is, it is the composition of a dilation with an isometry of $Q(0) \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, g(A) lies in an annulus A', which is radially symmetric in Q(0), and the image of the segments orthogonal to ∂A that foliate A are segments (and so geodesic arcs) in A' which are orthogonal to $\partial A'$. As in the case of the solutions of type (1), g(A) is a portion of such an annulus A' delimited by two of those segments which correspond to the split of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$ and that make an angle $2\pi\gamma$, possibly greater than 2π . Hence, we are led again in this case to an annulus of type (2).

If $\gamma = 0$ in formula (2), we know that $g(z) = \psi \left(-\frac{i}{r} \log z\right)$, where, as before, ψ is the composition of a dilation with an isometry of $\mathcal{Q}(0)$. The multivalued function $-\frac{i}{r}\log z$ maps \mathcal{A} into the strip $\Gamma = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} w < \pi\}$, where the segment $[e^{-\pi r}, 1]$ splits into the vertical segments $S_1 = \{\xi \in \overline{\Gamma} : \operatorname{Re} \xi = 0\}$ and $S_2 = \{\xi \in \overline{\Gamma} : \operatorname{Re} \xi = 2\pi/r\}$. So, g(A) is a piece of the strip $\psi(\Gamma)$ bounded by the segments $\psi(S_1)$ and $\psi(S_2)$. This solution makes $(A, e^u | dz |^2)$ isometric to the domain g(A), where $\psi(S_1)$ and $\psi(S_1)$ are identified, endowed with the projection of ds_0^2 . Thus, it corresponds to an annulus of type (3) described before.

Assume next that K = -1 and that the solution is given by formula (3). Then, the developing map associated to it is $g(z) = \psi(-\frac{i}{r}\log z)$, where $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi+B}{C\xi+D}$ is a Möbius transformation satisfying |A| = |C|, i.e. it maps the point of infinity into a point $p = \frac{A}{C} \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. Thus, we deduce that $g(\mathcal{A})$ lies in $\psi(\Gamma)$, which is the region limited by two horocycles \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 that are tangent at p. Observe also that the image by ψ of the vertical segments foliating Γ will be arcs of curves that start at p and which are orthogonal to both C_1 and C_2 . Hence they are geodesic arcs that foliate the region between C_1 and C_2 . Two of those geodesic arcs, corresponding to the splitting of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$, are identified to obtain the quotient which, with the projected metric ds_{-1}^2 , is isometric to $(\mathcal{A}, e^u |dz|^2)$. These solutions correspond with annuli of type (4) mentioned before.

If K = -1 and the solution is given by the formula (4), then the associated developing map is $g(z) = \psi(z^{-i\gamma})$, where $\gamma < 1/r$ and the Möbius transformation $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi+B}{C\xi+D}$ is such that |A| = |C| and |B| = |D|. Note that the multivalued function $z^{-i\gamma}$ maps C_1 into the segment $S_1 = [1, e^{2\pi\gamma}]$ and C_2 into its rotated $S_2 = R_{\pi\gamma r}([1, e^{2\pi\gamma}])$. And the two circle arcs centered at the origin with radii 1 and $e^{2\pi\gamma}$, respectively, that join the endpoints of S_1 and S_2 , correspond to the splitting by the function $z^{-i\gamma}$ of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$. Thus A is mapped by $z^{-i\gamma}$ into the region delimited by S_1, S_2 , and these two circle arcs. On the other hand, it is easy to check that ψ maps the line passing through the origin corresponding to the arguments $\pi/2 - \theta$ and $-\pi/2 - \theta$ (where θ is the parameter appearing in (4)) into $\partial \mathbb{D}$. As a consequence, all the circles centered at the origin (since they are orthogonal to such a line) will be mapped by ψ into geodesics of $\mathcal{Q}(-1) \equiv \mathbb{D}$ that will foliate g(A). By all the reasoning before, we deduce that g maps A into the region bounded by (i) two circle arcs (the image of C_1 and C_2) that meet at two points $p_1 = A/C$, $p_2 = B/D \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ with angle $\pi \gamma r$ and, (ii) two geodesic arcs orthogonal to them. These geodesic arcs that we identify correspond to the splitting by g of the segment $[e^{-r\pi}, 1]$. Hence, $(\mathcal{A}, e^u | dz|^2)$ is isometric to this quotient of $g(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the projection of the metric ds_{-1}^2 . It is then a Riemannian annulus of type (5).

Finally, if K = -1 and the solution is given by formula (5), we have again $g(z) = \psi(z^{\gamma})$. Now, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\psi(\xi) = \frac{A\xi + B}{C\xi + D}$ is such that |A| = |C|. Thus we can deduce as before that g is a γ -folded covering map from A into an annulus $A' \subset \mathcal{Q}(-1)$. In this case, the boundary of A' is intersected orthogonally by two curves with common ideal point $p = \frac{A}{C} \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. These curves are the images by g of the real and the imaginary axes. Thus, we are led again in this case to an annulus of type (1).

Acknowledgment

The author is partially supported by MEC-FEDER, Grant No. MTM2010-19821.

References

- [1] L. Zhang, Classification of conformal metrics on \mathbb{R}^2_+ with constant Gauss curvature and geodesic curvature on the boundary under various integral finiteness assumptions, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 16 (2003) 405–430.
- J.A. Gálvez, P. Mira, The Liouville equation in a half-plane, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 4173–4187.
- [3] Y.Y. Li, L. Zhang, Liouville-type theorems and Harnack-type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations, J. Anal. Math. 90 (2003) 27–87.
- [4] B. Ou, A uniqueness theorem for harmonic functions on the upper half plane, Conform. Geom. Dyn. 4 (2000) 120–125.
- [5] W. Chen, C. Li, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991) 615–622.
- [6] K.S. Chou, T. Wan, Asymptotic radial symmetry for solutions of $\Delta u + e^u = 0$ in a punctured disk, Pacific J. Math. 163 (1994) 269–276.
- [7] F. Hang, X. Wang, A new approach to some nonlinear geometric equations in dimension two, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2006) 119-135.
- [8] J. Jost, G. Wang, C. Zhou, Metrics of constant curvature on a Riemann surface with two corners on the boundary, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Non Linear Analysis 26 (2009) 437-456.
- [9] J.A. Gálvez, A. Jiménez, P. Mira, The geometric Neumann problem for the Liouville equation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations (in press).
- [10] F. Brito, J. Hounie, M.L. Leite, Liouville's formula in arbitrary planar domains, Nonlinear Anal. 60 (2005) 1287–1302.
- [11] J. Liouville, Sur l'equation aux differences partielles $\frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda}{\partial u \partial v} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$, J. Math. Pures Appl. 36 (1853) 71–72. [12] R.L. Bryant, Surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space, Astérisque 154–155 (1987) 321–347.